

1

United Nations Development Programme Country: Tanzania



PROJECT DOCUMENT

Project Title:	Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network for Biodiversity Conservation in Tanzania		
	Cluster 1: Growth for reduction of income poverty		
	Component 2: Environment and Climate Change		
UNDAP Outcome(s) and Output(s):	Outcome 2: Relevant MDAs, LGAs and Non-State Actors improve enforcement of environment laws and regulations for the protection of ecosystems, biodiversity and the sustainable management of natural resources		
	<u>Output</u> 2.3: Improved capacity for sustainable management of protected areas, coastal forest, and marine ecosystems including policy and regulatory frameworks		
Executing Entity/ Implementing Partner:	Tanzania Forest Services (TFS), Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourismer: (MNRT)		

Programme Period:	5 years	Total budget	USD 23,700,000	1
Atlas Award ID: Project ID: PIMS# Start date: End Date: Management Arrangements:	00083123 00091754 5106 2014 2019 NIM	GEF Government UNDP TFCG EAMCEF WWF WCS	USD 4,100,000 USD 15,000,000 USD 1,000,000 USD 1,800,000 USD 1,000,000 USD 400,000 USD 400,000	
			MINISTRY OF FIN P. O. Box 911 DAR BS SALA	
Agreed by (Government):			MINISTRY P.O.	Box 911

Agreed by (Government):

5100-1017

Date/MonthNear

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICEA TRANZZONIA FOI<f.Sr {TFS) GI.I'Jct 32, 0AA-£s..SA.W.M

S-SALAA

Agreed by (Executing Entity/Implementing Partner): Date/MonthNear

EVELO AMMAG 29.6.2015 Agreed by (UNDP): Date/Month/year

Brief Description

The *Forest Nature Reserve* (FNR) category of protected area (PA) offers the highest level of protection under the Forest Act in Tanzania. FNRs are state-owned and managed by Tanzania Forest Service (TFS). No extraction of woody or animal species is allowed in FNRs and activities are generally restricted to research, education and nature-based tourism.

To date, five FNRs - Amani (8,380ha); Uluguru (24,115ha); Kilombero (134,511ha); Nilo (6,22Sha); and Rungwe (13,652ha) - have been formally proclaimed. Of these, one -Rungwe - is however not yet fully operational.

A further six sites representing centers of high biodiversity and endemism – Chome (14,283ha), Magamba (9,283ha), Mkingu (23,388ha), Uzungwa Scarp (32,763ha), Rondo Plateau (14,000ha) and Minziro (25,000ha) - have been proposed for proclamation as FNRs. Of these, only one-Rondo Plateau – is in the process of proclamation and operationalisation.

The project has been organised into *two components*, and will be implemented over a period of five years. The first component of the project will support the continued expansion of the FNR network by facilitating the gazetting of five new FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minzim and Uzungwa Scarp) and improving the planning, operations and governance of these five new FNRs, as well as one existing FNR (Rungwe).lt will also encourage a more consistent and cohesive approach to the planning and management of the six targeted FNRs as an integral part of the broader network of 11 FNRs. Component I has four key areas of project support: (i) securing the conservation statlls and boundaries of the six FNR<;;(ii) supplementing the core staffing complement, infrastructure and equipment in the six FNRs; (iii) strengthening the governance of, and benefit sharing in, the six FNRs; and (iv) enhancing the capacity of the TFS to plan and administer the six FNRs as an integral part of the wider FNR network.

The second component of the project is focused on enhancing the financial sustainability of the entire network of II FNRs to ensure that they incrementally develop the capacity (over the longer-term) to generate adequate financial resources to cover the full costs of their management.

Component two has tluee key areas of project support: (i) facilitating public-private partnerships in the commercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs; (ii) marketing the destinations, attractions, facilities and services of FNRs; and (iii) implementing other income-generating activities in targeted FNRs.

'fhe total costs of investment in the project is estimated at US\$23,700,000, of which US\$4,100,000 co1 stitutes grant funding from GEF and US\$19,600,000 comprises co-financing.

Table of Contents

ACRONYMS	
SECTION I: ELABORATION OF THE NARRATIVE	
PART I: Situation Analysis	
Context and global significance	
Threats, Root causes and Impacts	
Long-term solution and baniers to achieving the solution	
Stakeholder analysis	
Baseline analysis	
PART II: Strategy	
Project Rationale and Policy Conformity	
Incremental Cost Reasoning	
Project Goal, Objective, Outcomes and Outputs/activities	
Indicators and risks	
Cost-effectiveness	
Country Ownership: Country Eligibility and Country Driven ness	
Linkages to UNDP Country Programme	
Linkages with GEF-Financed Projects	
Project consistency with national priorities/plans	
Sustainability and Replicability	
PART III: Management Arrangements	
Project Implementation arrangement	
Financial and other procedures	
Audit Clause	
PART IV: Monitoring Framework and Evaluation	
Monitoring and reporting	
PART V: Legal Context	
SECTION II: STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK (SRF)	79
SECTION III: TOTAL BUDGET AND WORKPLAN	85
SECTION IV: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
PART I: Terms of Reference for project staff	
PART II: Project maps	94
PART III: Stakeholder Involvement Plan and Coordination with other Related Initiatives	97
PART IV: Letters of co-financing commitment	105
PART V: METT, Capacity Development and Financial Scorecards	
PART VI: Technical Reports and Information	108
SIGNATURE PAGE	109

ACRONYMS

APO	Annual Plan of Operation	
APR	Annual Progress Repm1	
APW	Annual Plan of Work	
AWP	Annual Work Plan	
CA	Conservation Area	
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity	
CBF	Community Based Forestry	
CBFM	Community Based Forest Management	
CBNRM	Community-Based Natural Resource Management	
CBO	Community Based Organisation	
CEPF	Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund	
CFR	Community Forest Reserve	
CI	Conservation International	
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species	
со	(UNDP) Country Office	
COP	Conference of Pmlies	
CPAP	CountryProgramme Action Plan	
DANIDA	Danish Agency for Development Assistance	
DFID	United Kingdom Department for International Development	
DFO	District Forest Officer	
DLR	Depat1ment of Lands and Registration	
DLUP	District Land Use Plan	
DO	Declaration Order	
DPG	Development Parlners Group	
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo	
EAC	East African Community	
EAM	Eastern Arc Mountains	
EAMCEF	Eastern Arc Mountains Conservation Endowment Fund	
EARO	Eastem Africa Regional Office (IUCN)	
EBA	Endemic Bird Area	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EMA	Environmental Management Act	
EOI	Expression Of Interest	
EU	European Union	
EUTC	East Usambara Tea Company	
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation (of the United Nations)	

FBD	Forest and Beekeeping Division (of the MNRT)
FCFP	Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (World Bank)
FINNJDA	Finnish International Development Agency
FIP	Forest Investment Programme (World Bank)
FFI	Fauna and Flora International
FNR	Forest Nature Reserve
FR	Forest Reserve
FRA	Forest Resource Assessment
GCA	Game Controlled Area GOP
	Gross Domestic Product GEF
	Global Environment Facility
GG	Government Gazette
Gil	Gender Inequality Index
GIS	Geographical Information System
GMP	General Management Plan
GN	Goven1ment Notice GNI
	Gross National Income
GoT	Government of Tanzania
GR	Game Reserve
HOI	Human Development Index
lAS	Invasive Alien Species
IBA	Important Bird Area
ICER	Incremental Cost Effectiveness Ratio
IFC	International Finance Corporation (of the World Bank)
IT	Information Technology
ITCZ	Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone
meN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
JAST	Joint Assistance Strategy
JFM	Joint Forest Management
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JMA	Joint Management Agreement
KBA	Key Biodiversity Area
KfW	KreditanstaltfiirWiederaufbau LAFR
	Local AuthorityForest Reserve
LORA	Local Government Reform Agenda
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MDA(s)	(Government) Ministries, Departments and Agencies
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
METT	Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool

MFEA	Ministry of Fin"nce and Economic Aff"irs					
MJUMITA	MitandaoyaJamUyaUsitnmniziwaMisitu Tanzania (Community Network in Forest					
	Conservation in Tanzania)					
	MkakatiwaKukuza m1 KupunguzaUmaskini Tanzania (N"tional Strategy for Growth					
MKUKUTA	and Reduction of Poverty)					
MNRT	Ministry of Natural Resources "nd Tourism					
MOU	Memomndum of Understanding					
MPI	Multidimensional Poverty Index					
MWECL	Ministry of Water, Construction and Lands					
MWLD	Ministry of Water "nd Livestock Development					
NAFORMA	N"tion"l Forestty Resources Monitoring and Assessment					
NBSAP	Nationel Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan					
NEP	National Environment"[Policy					
NFP	National Forest Programme					
NFR	N"tion"l Forest Reserve					
NGO	Non-Government Organisation					
NIM	National Implementation (Modality)					
NORAD	Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation					
NP	N"tion"l Park					
NPO	Not for Profit Organisation					
NSGRP	National Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty					
PA	Protected Area					
PC	Project Coordinator					
PES	P"yment for Ecosystem Services					
PFM	Participatmy Forest Management					
PGR	Parti"l Game Reserve					
PIR	Project Implementation Report					
PoWPA	(CBD) Programme of Work on Protected Are"s					
ррр	Public-Priv"te -P"rtnership/ Purchasing Power Parity					
PPR	Project Progress Report					
PRSP	Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper					
PSC	Project Steering Committee					
RCU	(UNDP) Regional Coordinating Unit					
RFP	Request For Proposal					
RMP	Reserve Management Plan					
RTA	(UNDP) Regional Technic"!Adviser					
SBAA	Standard Basic Assistance Agreement					
SLA	Service Level Agreement					
SME	Small to Medium Enterprises					
SO	Strategic Objective					

	L
SP	Strategic Programme
TAFORI	Tanzania Forestry Research Institute
TANAPA	Tanzania National Parks Authority
TANROADS	Tanzania Roads Agency
TAWIRI	Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute
TBA	Tanzania Building Agency
TBW	Total Budget and Wark plan
TFCG	Tanzania Forest Conservation Group
TFCMP	Tanzania Forest Conservation and Management Programme
TFF	Tanzania Forest Fund
TFS	Tanzania Forest Service
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TTB	Tanzania Tourism Board
TTFC	Tanzania (National) Tree Seed Centre
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Environment, Scientific and Cultural Organisation
UNFCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
URT	United Republic of Tanzania
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
VEMP	Village Environmental Management Plan
VFMA	Village Forest Management Area
VFR	Village Forest Reserve
VLFR	Village Land Forest Reserve
VPO	Vice Presidents Office
WB	World Bank
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
wcs	Wildlife Conservation Society
WCST	Wildlife Conservation Society of Tanzania
WD	Wildlife Division (of the MNRT)
WHS	World Heritage Site
WMA	Wildlife Management Area
WWF	World Wide Fund for Nature

SECTION I: ELABORATION OF THE NARRATIVE

PART I: Situation Analysis

CONTEXT AND GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE

Administrative context

1. Tanzaniais the largest country in East Africa, covering an area of 945,087 km² (of which 886,037 km² is surface land). It borders Uganda and Kenya to the north; Rwanda, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) to the west; and Zambia, Malawi and Mozambique to the south (see Map 1 below). The country's eastern borders lie on the Indian Ocean. It has 800 km of coastline, with a continental shelf in the region of 30,000 km².



Map 1: Topographic map of Tanzania

2. Tanzania is a unitary republic- the United Republic of Tanzania (URT) - formed by the union of Tanganyika and Zanzibar in 1964. There are two governments: the *Union Governmentof* the URT and the *Revolutionmy Government of Zanzibar*. The Union Government has authority over all union matters in the URT and over all other matters concerning Mainland Tanzania; while the Revolutionary Government of Zanzibar has authority in Tanzania Z1nzibar (i.e. the Zanzibar archipelago) over all matters which are not union matters. Each Central Government has three organs - the Executive, Judiciary and Legislature - that have powers over the conduct of public affairs.

3. The URTs administration is organized into 30 regions (or "*mikoa*") - each made up of several Districts - with twenty-five regions on the mainland, three on Unguja (known informally as Zanzibar Island) and two on Pemba Island. Local Government authorities assist each central government in each administrative region.

4. All land in the URT¹ is vested in the President, who holds the land in tmst for present and future generations. Land can only be acquired through custom/tradition or a grant by the Commissioner for Lands, who administers land on behalf of the President (as set out in the National Land Policy). The 1999 National Land Act and Village Land Act provide the legal framework for Tanzania's three land tenure categories -'reserved' land, 'village' land and 'general' land. <u>Reserved land</u> is land set aside by the government for a specific pmpose (including forest reserves, game parks/reserves, public utilities/highways, 'hazardous land' and land designated under the Town and Country Planning Ordinance). <u>Village land</u> is land that is under the direct management of village governments² and includes land for settlement as well as local use, contained within the "village area".<u>Generalland</u> is a residual land category, and is broadly defined as the remaining land in Tanzania which is not classified as reserved land or village land³.

5. The Capital City is Dodoma, and the major commercial city is Dares Salaam. The official currency is the Tanzanian Shilling. The national language is *Kiswahili,with* English widely used in official communication.

6. In 2013, it was estimated that the Tanzania mainland had about 48 million ha of forests and woodlands, representing around 43% of total land area (NAFORMA, 2013). These forests and woodlands are administratively distributed as follows:

Designated	Forest Area	%of	Comments
Owner/Classification	(ba)	Total	ч.
Central Government	16.8 million	35%	This includes all National Forest Reserves, Forest Nature
			Reserves and the forests and woodlands located in other categories of protected areas (e.g. National Parks and Game Reserves).
Local Authorities	3.36 million	7%	This includes all Local Authority Forest Reserves (mostly production forests). These forests are poorly managed and

Table I. Administmtive Distribution of Forests and Woodlands in Tanwnia

¹ For the sake of brevity, the United Republic of Tanzania (URT) is tenned 'Tanzania' for the remainder of this Project Document. ² Communities have a strong autonomy in the use of village land, based on the rightsdeveloped under President Nyrere's 'ujama' villagisation programme in the 1970s.

he 1999 Village Land Act defines general land as 'all public land which is not resen-ed land or village land'. The 1999 Land Act however defines general land more broadly as 'all public laud, which is not resen-ed land or village land <u>and</u> includes wwccupied or unused village land'. The terms 'unoccupied' and 'unused' are not explicitly defined in the act.

Designated	Forest Area	%of	Comments
Owner/Classification	• (ha) ,	Total	· · · · ·
			thecate of degradation is high due to the impacts of charcoal
			production, illegal logging and destructive fires.
Village Governments	21.6 million	45%	This includes all forest/woodland resources owned by villages
			under the Village Land Act, but restricted in use under the
			Forest Act unless the village declare or gazette it as a Village
			Forest Reserve. If not gazetted or declared, the central
			Government collects revenues from the forests/woodlands
			within the village land boundaries.
Private Sector	3.36 million	7%	Some private companies, such as the East Usambara Tea
			Company (EUTC), own some natural forests. However, many
			individuals and some companies have opted to plant
			commerical plantations, especially in the Southern Highlands
			regions (lringa, Njombe and Mbeya) and the Kagera region.
			As at 2012, a total of about 350,000ha was under some form
			of plantation forestry.
Forests in General	2.88 m.illion	6%	Includes forests managed under the Forest Act, and mainly
Land			used to collect central government revenues. Degradation of
			forest and woodland resources is high in these forests as a
			result of charcoal-making and uncontrolled harvesting for
			timber and poles.

Geographical context

Tanzania has a wide variety of physical features, from a nmrow coastal belt with sandy beaches to an 7, extensive plateau covered by savannah and woodland vegetation with altitude ranging from 1,000 to 2,000m. The plateau is fringed by narrow belts of forest highland. Tanzania shares several major fresh water bodies including Lake Victoria (the largest in Africa), Lake Tanganyika (the longest and deepest in Africa) and Lake Nyasa. The mainland includes a large central plateau of ancient and heavily eroded landforms which support various woodland habitats. A series of mountain ranges rise out of this plateau, each with different histories but all supporting natural forest, grassland and 'heath' vegetation types, In the far west of the country the Mahale Mountains and associated smaller ranges occupy the margins of the Albettine Rift, a system that has resulted in the deep depressions of Lake Tanganyika. In the north a series of large volcanoes arise from the plains, including Kilimanjaro (Africa's highest mountain at 5,895m) and Mount Meru. Fmther east, in a broad Arc from Kilimanjaro to south-westem Tanzania, a series of uplifted blocks of ancient rock form the Eastern Arc and associated Southern Highlands. The Great Rift Valley runs from northeast of Africa through central and southem Tanzania and adds to the distinctive landscape. At Lake Nyasa it splits, with one branch proceeding south beyond the Lake to Mozambique and another branch to the nmth-west alongside Burundi, Rwanda, Tanzania and western Uganda. The rift valley is dotted with lakes, including Lakes Rukwa, Tanganyika, Nyasa, Kitanghi, Eyasi and Manyara, as well as the scenic Ngorongoro Crater. From the highlands and the central plateau flow drainage systems connected to the Indian Ocean, Atlantic Ocean, and Mediterranean Sea.

8. Tanzania has a tropical climate but has regional variations due to topography. In the highlands, temperatures range between 10° and 20° C during cold and hot seasons respectively. The rest of the country

has temperatures rarely falling lower than 20°C. The hottest period extends between November and Febmary (25-31°C) while the coldest period occurs between May and August (15-20°C).

9. Seasonal rainfall is driven mainly by the migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ICZ). The ICZmigratessouthwards through Tanzania in October to December, reaching the south of the country in January and Febmary, and returning nmthwards in March, April, and May. This causes the north and east of Tanzania to experience two distinct wet periods- the short rains (or "*Vuli*") in October to December and the long rains (or "*Masika*") from March to May – while the southern, westem, and central parts of the country experience one wet season that continues October through to April or May.

Socio-economic context

10. Tanzania has a population of 44,928,923, of which 43,625,354 are on the Tanzania mainland and 1,303,569 are in Tanzania Zanzibar (2012 Population and Housing Census).

II. Between 1990 and 2012, Tanzania's Human Development Index (HOI) value increased from 0.353 to 0.476⁴, positioning the country at !52 out of 187 countries and territories (UNDP Human Development Report, 2013). Between 1980 and 2012, Tanzania's life expectancy at birth increased by 8.4 years, the average number of years of schooling increased by 2.6 years and the expected years of schooling increased by 2.1 years. Tanzania's Gross National Income (GNI)in 2012 was 73.59 billion PPP (Purchasing Power Parity) dollar. The GNI per capita has increased by about 69 percent between 1990 (500 PPP dollars) and 2012 (1,590 PPP dollm).

12. Tanzania has a Gender Inequality Index (Gil) value of 0.556, ranking it 119 out of 148 countries in the 2012 index. In Tanzania, 36 percent of parliamentary seats are held by women, and 5.6 percent of adult women have reached a secondary or higher level of education (compared to 9.2 percent of their male counterpails). For every 100,000 live births, 460 women die from pregnancy-related causes; and the adolescent fertility rate is 128.7 bitths per 1000 live births. Female paiticipation in the labour market is 88.2 percent compared to 90.3 for men.

13. The most recent survey data available for estimating Multidimensional Poverty Index $(MPI)^5$ figures for Tanzania were collected in 2010. The country's MPI value - which is the share of the population that is multi-dimensionally poor, adjusted by the intensity of the deprivations - was 0.332. In Tanzania 65.6 percent of the population lived in multidimensional poverty (the MPI 'head count') while an additional 21 percent were vulnerable to multiple deprivations. The intensity of deprivation – that is, the average percentage of deprivation experienced by people living in multidimensional povel1y – in Tanzania was 50.7 percent'.

14. Tanzania continues to do well in maintaining overall macroeconomic stability which, along with institutional and policy reforms, has been a fundamental factor behind the strong economic growth rates in the country. The main drivers of growth are agriculture, manufacturing, wholesale and retail trade, transport and communication activities. The economy has also continued to record strong expmt growth.

⁴ It is however misleading to compare values and rankings with those of previously published reports, because the underlying data and methods have changed.

¹ne MPI identifies multiple deprivations in the same households in education, health and standard of living. The education and health dimensions are based on two indicators each, while the standard of living dimension is based on six indicators.

⁶ If the household deprivation score is 33.3 percent or greater, that household (and everyone in it) is considered multidimensionally poor.

The real growth rate of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)- estimated at US\$27.98 billion in 2012- has increased annually between 2010 and 2012 at an average of 6.6% per annum. Tanzania's medium-term growth prospects are around 7%, significantly boosted by natural gas discoveries.

15. Rapid aggregate GDP growth has however not led to substantial reductions of poverty or **improvements in overall socio-economic conditions for most of the population. Tanzania remains one of** the world's poorest economies in terms of per capita income (Gross National Income per capita' of US\$570 in 2012).

16. The under-performance in the agriculture sector (which accounts for more than a quarter of the GDP, provides 85% of exports and employs about 80% of the work force) has been a key factor in jobless growth and continued high levels of unemployment.Tanzania's industrial and construction sector - accounting for 24.1% of GDP, one of the fastest growing in Africa (2010 rankings)- has also been severely affected by **persistent power shortages.**

17. The country has vast mineral resources including gold, diamonds, coal, iron ore, uranium, nickel, chrome, tin, platinum, coltan, niobium and other minerals. It is the third-largest producer of gold in Africa, after South Africa and Ghana, and is a unique source of Tanzanite gemstones. Tanzania also has considerable reserves of natural gas.

18. Tourism, one of the fastest growing sectors in the country, accounts for an estimated !7% of Tanzania's GDP.With 1,077,000 international tourists visiting Tanzania in 2012, tourism contributed more than 25% of foreign exchange earnings. The value for international tourism receipts(i.e. expenditures by international inbound visitors, including payments to national carriers for international transpmt) in Tanzania was estimated at US\$1,712,000,000 in 2012. Tourism generates around 250,000 (direct and indirect) jobs in Tanzania (-2% of the labour force).Notably, tourism in Tanzania is concentrated in the Northern Circuit (Arusha, Kilimanjaro and Zanzibar), with more than 90 percent of visitors spending most of their time in these areas.

19. The forestry sectorsupplies more than 90% of the country's energy resources (primarily in the form of charcoal and firewood). It also supplies about 75% of the country's construction materials. Forestry-relatedactivities cunently support employment of about 730,000 people. The forestry sectorgenerates approximately 10-15% of exports and 10% of foreign exchange earnings. In 2011 the sector accounted for about 2.2% of GDP.

Biodiversity context-global significance

20. Tanzania is a major repository of globally significant biodiversity, ranking amongst the top countries in tropical Africa in terms of the representivity of ecoregions, richness of species and extent of species endemism. Tanzania lies at the meeting point of six major bio-geographic zones: the dry Somali-Maasai; savannahs; the acacia-commiphora woodlands; the Guinea-Congolian forest; the coastal forest mosaic; and the scattered afro-montane/afro-alpine areas. Over thhty major vegetation communities are recognized, hosting more than 10,000 plant species (of which more than 15% are endemic).

21. The species inventory includes more than 300 manunal species, over 1,100 species of birds (one of the largest avifauna in Africa, with 56 species of global conservation concern) and over 360 species of

⁷ Using the ATLAS method (World Bank, 2013).

herpetofauna (of which 99 species are endemic). According to the IUCN Red List, Tanzania ranks 15lh in the world in terms of mammal diversity (with 359 species) and 20thfor amphibian diversity (178 species). There are 7 'Alliance for Zero Extinction' sites, 4 natural World Heritage Sites and 4 Ramsar sites.

22. Tanzania contains two areas designated by Conservation International as Global Biodiversity Hotspots: the Eastern Afro-montane forests (Eastern Arc and Albertine Rift components); and the Eastern African Coastal Forests. It also has eight WWF-designated Critical Eco-Regions: the Albertine Rift Montane Forest; Kenya-Tanzania Montane Forest; Eastern Arc Forest; Southern Rift Forest / Grassland mosaic; Coastal Forest Mosaic; Guinea-Congolian Forest Mosaic; Acacia Savannah; and Miombo Woodland.

23. Wetlands constitute about 10% of Tanzania's land area. Theyinclude a wide range of inland, coastal and marinehabitats with some common features. Four wetlands, with a total surface area of about 4,868,424 ha, have been designated as Ramsar sites: the Kilombero valley floodplain; Lake Natron Basin;Malagarasi-Muyowosi wetlands; and the Rufiji-Mafia-Kilwa Marine Ramsar site.

Biodiversity context - forests and woodlands of the Tanzania mainland

24. The main forest and woodland types are the extensive <u>miombo</u> woodlands in lowland areas across the central and southern parts of the country, the acacia woodlands in the northern regions, the coastal forest/woodland mosaic in the east, mangrove forests along the Indian Ocean coast, and the<u>closed canopy</u> high forests on the ancient mountains of the Eastern Arc in the east, on the Albertine Rift and Lake Tanganyika in the west, and on the younger volcanic mountains in the north.

25. Most of Tanzania's forests are of the dry woodland type (Miombo woodlands and Acacia savannah woodlands, totalling -39.5 million ha), with the remainder comprising:coastal forests (-800,000 ha); Eastern Arc and other montane catchment forests (-350,000 ha); mangrove forests (-Ll5,000ha); wetland forests (-200,000ha) and Guinea-Congolean lowland forests (-670,000 ha).

26. The miombo woodlands and acacia woodlands of Tanzania constitute huge wilderness areas that suppott some of the largest assemblages of large mammals in the world, including large herbivores (e.g. elephant, rhinoceros, hippo, giraffe, buffalo), migratory plains game (e.g. zebra, wildebeest, eland and gazelle) and large predators (African wild dog, lions, leopards, cheetahs, crocodiles and two species of hyena). The country is also a major staging post and destination for avifauna migrating south during the boreal winter. Well known avifauna include vultures, raptors, ostrich, bustards, cranes and storks. The high turnover of biodiversity across the landscape presents a challenge to conservation managers, as large areas need to be managed to conserve a bio-geographically representative sample of biodiversity.

27. Five high forest systems exist in Tanzania, each containing significant biodiversity and considerable endemism(see Map 1 in <u>Section IV. Part II: Project maps</u>): (i) the *Eastem Arc Mountains*, part of the Eastern Afromontane hotspot, and geologically ancient, dating back at least 30 million years and possibly 100 million years, have played an important role as refugia for plants and animals, and as centres of speciation over the millennia; (iv) the *highlands of the Albertine Rift*, also patt of the Eastern Afromontane hotspot, are a complex mosaic of mountain forests and montane grasslands. (iii) the *Soutllem Rift Highlands*, part of the Southem Rift montane forest-grassland mosaic are a rich repository of montane forest and grassland biodiversity; (iv) the *eastern African Coastal Forestsecoregion* includes a variety of biodiversity rich habitats close to the coastline, including scmb forest, dry evergreen forest, woodland,

riverine forest, and thickets and (v) the *lowland Guinea-Congo/ian forest* that supports representative examples of the forest fauna found further to the West in Central Africa.

Protected area context

28. Protected Areas (PAs) provide the principal instrument utilised by the Tanzanian Government to conserve the nation's biodiversity heritage.

29. Different categories of PAs exist in Tanzania and have different legal requirements, ownership and tenure. Tanzania's PAs are grouped into seven categories, according to the degree of protection offered to the land and wildlife. These are (in order of greatest to least protection): National Parks (NP); Forest Nature Reserves (FNR); Game Reserves (OR); Forest Reserves (FR); Conservation Areas (CA);Partial Game Reserves (PGR) andGame Controlled Areas (GCA).

30. The national PA system currently includes: 16 National Parks; 5 Forest Nature Reserves; 34 Game Reserves; one Conservation Area (Ngorongoro Conservation Area);43 Game Controlled Areas and 621 Forest Reserves, which together cover 1,744,900 hectares, or more than 18% of the country. In addition, thereare 14 Wildlife Management Areas (WMA),three Biosphere Reserves' and several hundred Village Forest Reserves (VFR) which foster sustainable natural resource use,and act as dispersal areas for wildlife. Collectively, these conservation areas cover about 27% of the country's land surface area (almost 250,000 km').

31. Tanzania has seven sites designated as UNESCO World Heritage Sites (WHS):three cultural -the Ruins of KilwaKisiwani/Ruins of SongoMnara; Zanzibar Stone Town; and Kondoa paintings - and four natural - Ngorongoro Conservation Area; Serengeti National Park;Selous Game Reserve; and Kilimanjaro National Park.A serial nomination for nine of the Eastern-Arc Mountain (EAM) forests (including all gazetted FNRs) to be inscribed as World Heritage Site was submitted to the World Heritage Convention in 2010, butwas withdrawn in 2011 by the Government of Tanzania.

Forest Reserves and Forest Nature Resell'es

- 32. The Forest Act (14 of 2002) provides for four types of forests:
 - (i) <u>National Forest Reserves</u> (NFR)⁹ managed by Central Government which consist of: NFRs managed for protection (such as catchment forests); NFRs managed for production (such as plantations, mangroves or some miombo woodland reserves); Forest Nature Reserves (FNR) managed for conservation; and Forests on general lands which are managed by central government.
 - (ii) Local Authority *Forest Rese ves* (LAFR)¹⁰ which are reserved by local government and consist of: LAFRs managed for *protection* (such as catchment forests); and LAFRs managed for *production* (plantation and natural forests).

⁸ Serengeti-Ngorogoro; Lake Manyara and East Usambara Biosphere Reserve.

Minister may declare, by order (published in the national gazette) any area ofland to be a NFR.

¹ Minister may declare, by order (published in the national ga1.ette) any area of land to be a LAFR.

- (iii) Village *Forest Reserves* (VFR) which consist of:Village Laud Forest Reserves (VLFR); Community Forest Reserves (CFRs); and forests which are not reserved, are on village land and of which the management is vested in the Village Council.
- (iv) <u>Private Forests</u> which are:forests on village land held by one or more individuals under a customary right of occupancy; or Forests on general or village land of which the rights of occupancy or a lease have been granted to a person or persons or a partnership or a corporate for the purpose of managing the forest.

33. 'Forest Reserves' fall under the legal authority of central government (NFRs), District Councils (LAFRs) or village government (VLFRs and CFRs). Most of the Forest Reserves are owned and managed by the central government, tluough the Tanzania Forest Services (TFS). About 600,000 ha of Forest Reserves are under the ownership and management of local government. Approximately 2 million ha of Forest Reserves fall within existing National Parks and Game Reserves, and are managed by Tanzania National Parks (TANAPA) or the Wildlife Division (WD)of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT) respectively.

34. Around 1.6 million ha of Forest Reserves are conserved and managed as <u>catchment forests</u>, with the primary objective of regulating water-flow, preventing surface run-off and soil erosion and providing water for drinking, power supply, industries and inigation schemes¹¹.

35. *TheForest Nature Reselve* (FNR) categoryof NFR offers the highest level of protection under the Forest Act. FNRs are state owned and managed, and no extraction of woody or animal species is allowed ¹². Activities in FNRs are generally restricted to research, education and low impact nature-based tourism.

36. To date, five FNRs - Amani (8,380ha); Uluguru (24,115ha); Kilombero (134,51lha); Nilo (6,225ha); and Rungwe (13,652ha) - have been formally proclaimed. Of these, one-Rungwe - is however not yet fully operalional¹³. The table below provides a brief overview of the geography and vegetation of each of the five proclaimed FNRs. A more detailed description of the proclaimed FNRs is appended in Section **TV**. Part VII.

FNR	Size Ota)	Gazette	Geography	Vegetation
	0111)			
Amani	8,380	GN 151 and	Altitude ranges from 300 – 1,128 m	The two main forest types are semi-
		152 of 1997	(Kimbo Peak), with a central plateau	deciduous forests in the lowlands,
			having a mean altitude of 930 m. The	particularly Mnyuzi Scarp with its
			western side borders Lwengera	lower rainfall, and tall luxuriant
			Valley and rises sharply from	submontane evergreen forests in the
			lowlands at150-300 m to form rocky	mountains above 750 m, where
			escarpments, such as Mnyuzi Scarp.	rainfall is higher and the largest
L			Amani is the largest forested block	trees reach 65 min height.
			within the East Usambara Mountains	Other vegetation types include dry

Table 2: Geography alld Vegetatiol1 oftlle Proclaimed FNRs

¹¹Most of the montane forests possess high water catchment value and are the main sources of major rivers, including the: Great and Little Ruaha; Kilombero; \Varni; Ruvu; Kihansi; Pangani; and Zigi rivers.

¹² Except in limited cases-such as Amani PNR, where access agreements for collection of dead wood are in place BRATE is currently infinestated using the state of the state

FNR	Size (ha)	Gazette	GeograJJhy	Vegetation
			and occupies the southern extremity these mountains. The catchment is drained by Zigi River and its tributaries, which supplies water to Tanga Town as well as Hale and Pangani hydropower stations in the Lwengera Valley.	 bushland (2%), grassland, barren of rocky area and waterbodies (all< I%). Dense sub*montane forest covers about half and dense lowland forest about one third of the Nature Reserve.
Uluguru	24,115	GN 296of 2008	Altitude mnges from 600 m (Bunduki Gap)-2,638 m (Kimhandu). The reserve forms part of the Uluguru Mountains and provides an important watershed for the Ruvu river (which supplies water to Dares Salaam).	The vegetation comprises sub montane (below 1,500 m), montane (1,600-2,400 m) and uppermontane (above 2,400 m) forests, as well as grassland withswampy areas atLukwangulc Plateau, and Kimhandu and Lupanga peaks.
Kilombcro	134,511	GN 182 of 2007	Altitude ranges from 1,040-2,600 m (Nyumbanitu Peak). The Nature Reserve occupies the middle portion of the Udzungwa Mountains, lying between Udzungwa Mountains National Park and Udzung wa Scarp Forest Reserve. It comprises a highly undulating chain of mountains that descend to the lowlands and meet the wetlands of Kilombero Valley, one of Tanzanian's primary agricultural areas and a Ramsar site.	In upland areas, the vegetation comprises moist and dry montane, upper montane forest with some patches of bamboo and upland grassland. In the drier, lower-lying areas, there is lowland forest, some of which has been replaced by woodland and grassland.
Nilo	6,225	GN 234 of 2007	Altitude ranges from 400- 1,S06m. There are two main peaks: Nilo (1,506 m) in the north-west and Lutindi (1,400 m) to the south west. The reserve lies in the north-west part of the East Usambara Mountains. Nilo is a Y-shaped ridge system, with an eastern ann that lies close to Semdoe Forest Reserve and a western arm that looks across the Lwengera Valley to the West Usambaras. A central ridge runs along its southern leg.	The main vegetation types are: dense montane forest above1,250m, sub-montane forest at 850 - 1,250 m and lowland forest below 850 m. The sub-montane forest can be exceptionally tall and luxuriant, with the largest trees reaching 58 m in height in favourable sites.
Rungwe	13,652	GN 386 of 2009	The topography varies from hilly to steeply dissected, with elevation ranging from 1,500- 2,981 mat the summit provides a crucial watershed for the Usangu basin, the Great Ruaha River- headwaters to the	The reserve comprises montane and upper-montane forest, bamboo and montane grassland, and smaller patches of bushland and heath at higher elevations.

P/MSS/06 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

FNR	Size	Gazette	Geography	Vegetation
	Om)			
			Ruaha National Park, to Mbeya and	
			Iringa regions. The mountain (linked	
			to the Kitulo-Livingstone range)	
			provides waters to the entire Kyela	
			Valley and its cocoa, banana, coffee	
			and tea industries.	

37. A further six sites representing centers of high biodiversity and endemism- Chome (14,283ha), Magamba (9,283ha), Mk:ingu (23,388ha), Uzungwa Scarp (32,763ha), Rondo Plateau (14,000ha) and Minziro (25,000ha) -have been proposed for proclamation as FNRs. Of these, one -Rondo Plateau -has significantly advanced the process of formal proclamation and is ctmently being operationalized in anticipation of its declaration as a FNR. A further three sites – Chome, Magamba and Mk:ingu – are pmtially operationalized in anticipation of their future declaration as FNRs, although the process of drafting a Declaration Order has not yet been completed. The table below provides a brief overview of the geography and vegetation of each of the additional six sites that have been proposed for proclamation as FNRs.

Proposed FNR	Size	Geography	Vegetation		
	(ha)				
Chome	14,283	Altitude ranges from 1,250 - 2,463 m (Mt Shengena, the highest peak of South Pare). Chome lies on the highest ridges and plateau of the ancient crystalline South PareMountains. The Reserve has a high catchment value due to the high rainfall and itsextensive forest cover.	Main vegetation types are submontane, montane and upper montane forest. Montane forest occurs above 1,500 m, with a drier type on lower slopes and rain shadow areas, and a wetter type covering about 60% of the Reserve mainly on eastern and western slopes of valleys at 2,000-2,300m. Moss- covered upper montane forest occurs above 2,300 m, with elfin forest on the highest ridges. Primary heath occurs along rocky ridges in shallow, acidic soils, while secondary heath and grassland have colonized large areas between 1,600 m and 2,000 min drier montane forest that have been subject to fires.		
Magamba	9,283	Altitude ranges from 1,650 m to the peak of K wahondo at some 2,300 m. The land drops sharply to the west of Shume on the edge of Vest Usambara scarp.	Comprises sub-montane and upper montane forests, wetter than those of the Pare Mountains further to the west. Dry montane forest occurs in the northern and western portions of Shume. Other vegetation types include grasslands and shrublands.		
Mkingu	23,388	Altitude ranges from 380 - 2,140 m (Maskati peak). The landscape is undulating, with sharp broken mountains and some very steep terrain. Peaks include Mkindo and Mndela.	There are seven vegetation types occurring in Mkingu: lowland rain forest, sub-montane forest, montane forest, upper montane forest, drier-montane forests,heath and miombo woodlands.		

Table 3. Geography alld Vegetatioll of the 6 Sites Proposed for Proclamalioll

PIMS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

Proposed FNR	Size (Ita)	Geography	Vegetation
Uzungwa Scarp	32,763	Altitude ranges from 300-2,068 m. Uzungwa Scarp covers the steep east- facing Udzungwa escarpment and part the undulating upland plateau. The southern boundary is the Chita River, the northern boundary the Kidete River and the western boundary the Ruaha, Iwolo and Lukosi rivers.	Comprises lowland, submontane and montane forests, with areas of seasonally inundated mbuga grassland and grassland of with bushes. Lowland forests are relatively dry and have a low, often broken canopy with woodland species except near streams. Submontane forests are well developed, though they have dry forest species on the ridges. Much of the montane forest on the plateau above the scarp is secondary, and may have been cultivated in the historical past. Extensive stands of bamboo are reported from the western side.
Rondo Plateau	14,000	Rondo Plateau is among the most extensive and highest massifs in the southeflst of Tanzania, rising to an altitude of 900m.	\Vhile Rondo is essentially a typical coastal forest, it contains significant elements more characteristic of montane forest. The upper slopes of the mountain support large areas of semi-deciduous hardwood forest.
Minziro	25,000	Altitude ranges from.1,125- 1,140m.The general area is flat with small rocky outcrops. Minziro village is situated on a hill in the centre of the reserve.	Minziro is the largest forested area in north- west Tanzania and is essentially an outlier of the Guinea-Congo lowland swamp forests. A few kilometres to the south, the vegetation changes dramatically to seasonally flooded grassland with pockets of woodland and papyrus on the river edge.

38. It is envisaged that the future network of FNRs will eventually cover a total area of 305,000ha,distributed across II key sites (see Map 2 below). The individual sites have been selected following a two-step strategic planning process: the first for the Eastern Arc Mountains ecoregion held at Amani FNR in 2005; and the second, for the three other representative areas of high forests, held in Kibaha in 2007. All high biodiversity forest ecoregions in mainland Tanzania now have at least one FNR (either gazetted or proposed for gazettal). A systematic approach was employed during the planning process that aimed to define those reserves that were so biologically valuable that they needed to be given maximum protection. Selection criteria vaty from site to site but included: to preserve habitats, ecosystems and species in as undisturbed a state as possible; to maintain genetic resources in a dynamic and evolutionmy state; to maintain established ecological processes; and to provide scientific research opportunities. Ail II FNR sites are identified as: Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs); Important Bird Areas (ffiAs) and Important Plant Areas (IPAs). Four sites are also Alliance for Zero Extinction sites and eight form part of a proposed serial nomination for inscription of the Eastern Arc Mountains forests as a World Heritage site.



Map 2: Distribution of the network of current and proposed (Forest) Nature Reserves (shown in black) on mainland Tanzania

Forest governance · Participatory Forest Management

39. While the Forest Act (2002) states as its intent, '... to delegate responsibility for the management of forest resources to the lowest possible level of local management consistent with the furtherance of national policies' it does not make explicit provision for Patlicipatory Forest Management (PFM). The Act rather supports the implementation of PFM approaches in two ways: (i) enabling local connunities to declare and gazette Village, Group or Private Forest Reserves; and (ii) allowing communities to enter into agreements with government and other forest owners for joint forest management agreements.

40. Tanzania is cunently promoting two different models of PFM:

41. The first model, Community-Based Forest Management (CBFM), is one where rural cmmnunities have well defined and legally enforceable rights to own, manage and benefit from forest and woodland resources within their local areas, through the establishment of village forests (i.e. Village Land Forest Reserves, Community Forest Reserves or Private Forests). The Village Land Act No. 5 (1999), the Local Government Act No.7 (1982) and the Forest Act No. 14 (2002) provide the legal basis for villages and or individuals or group of individuals to own and manage forest resources on village and private land. The rights and responsibilities of local level forest managers under CBFM are clear and unambiguous. Under CBFM villagers, individuals or groups retain all rights to use, harvest and sell forest products within their forest reserve in line with their approved forest management plan. Most of the costs and benefits relating to management and utilization are can ied by the owner. The role of central government is minimal (Districts only have a role in monitoring). In return, owners (beit villages, individuals or group of individuals) must demonstrate the ability to manage and protect their forest over the long term, and to the benefit of local people. Many studies conducted over the past decade in Tanzania all point to the fact that when rights and responsibilities are fully devolved, the incentives" appear to be sufficient for communities to invest in forest restoration and their long term management.

42. The second PFM model, .Joint Forest Management (JFM), is one that takes place on "reserved land"-land that is owned and managed by either central or local government. Villagers typically enter into management agreements to share benefits and responsibilities for the management with the forest owner. Thus, communities living around the forest enter into Joint Management Agreements (JMAs) with either central or local government regarding the use and management of the forest. Under JFM arrangements, each village defines an area within the forest that it will jointly manage with government. Such areas are called Village Forest Management Areas (VFMAs). To date, only a limited number of JMAs have been signed by the government", patlicularly those relating to NFRs. These is largely due to the fact that the law is not yet clear on how the benefits of forest management, particularly in forest reserves managed for timber production purposes, can be equitably shared with patlicipating cmmnunities¹⁶. The evidence that JFM results in improved forest condition in Tanzania appears to be mixed. Research carried out to date would indicate that in some areas JFM appears to be working as an effective management tool with which to restore and sustain forest condition while in others **it** appears to be little better than when managed exclusively by the state.

43. The table below sunuuarises the tate of coverage of CBFM and JFM across mainland Tanzania in 2012 (TFS, 2013):

Joint Forest Management	0 9	Conimunity Based Forest Management		
Area of forest covered by JFM	5,392,095 ha	Area of forest covered by CBFM	2,366,693 ha	
management arrangements		arrangements		
Number of \illages with signed JFMs 224		Number of villages with CBFM	513	

Table 4: Coverage of CBFM alld JFM across mainlalld Tallzallia

¹⁴These include: waiving state royalties on forest produce; retaining 100% of revenue from sale of forest products; levying and retaining fine; exemption from the "reserved tree species list"; and confiscation of forest produce harvested illegally and equipment used in the process.

¹⁵-yo date the vast majority of JMAs that have been developed between villages and central government cover montane catchment forests with high biodiversity and other ecosystem-service values. Given the high conservation status of many of the forests under joint management arrangements, the total level of permitted benefits that may be legally harvested from the forests is very low. ¹⁶ The government is however currently finalizing the guidelines for benefit sharing in JFM arrangements.

JointForest Management	•	Community Based Forest Management		
		established		
Number of villages with JFMs in	828	Number of villages with CBFM	710	
process of being established		in process		
Number of National Forest Reselves	181	Number of declared Village	523	
with JFM		Land Forest Reserves		
Number of Local Authority Forest	101	Number of gazetted Village Land	71	
Resetves with JFM		Forest Reserves		
Primm)• forest types where JFM has	Montane,	Primary forest types where	Miornbo, Coastal	
been promoted	mangrove and	CBFM has been promoted	and Acacia	
	coastal forests		woodlands	
Percentage of total area reserved by	41%	Percentage of public land forests	12.1%	
National or Local Govemmellf under		now under CBFM arrangements		
some form of Joint Management				
Agreement				
Primmy Regions where JFM	Morogoro,	Percentage of villages on	11.7%	
implemented	Iringa, Pwani,	mainland Tanzania that are		
	Tanga,	engaged in CBFM activities		
	Kilimanjaro			
Number of districts where JFM is	65	Number of districts where	69	
implemented		CBFM is implemented		

lustitutioual coutext -Forest Nature Reserves (FNR)

44. The *Division of Environment(DoE)* in the *Vice President's Office* (VPO) has overall responsibility for the coordination of national and international matters related to environmental management. The Division of Environment- led by a Director -comprises three Sections: Environmental Natural Habitats Conservation; Environmental Management of Pollution; and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The coordination of biodiversity management- including forest biodiversity-falls within the mandate of the Environmental Natural Habitats Conservation.

45. The *Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism* (MNRT) has the responsibility for overseeing themanagement of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in Tanzania. The *Forest and Beekeeping Division(FBD)* within the MNRT is in tum directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the fmestry sector.

46. The *Tanzania Forest Service* (TFS) Agency is an executive agency (in terms of the Executive Agencies Act Cap 245, through the Establishment Order GN 269 of July 2010) that is mandated with the establishment and management of national Forest Reserves (both natural and plantations), bee reserves and forest and bee resources on reserved land. According to the Establishment Order, TFS owns all central **government forest reserves (including mangrove forests, nature reserves, catchment forests, coastal forests,** productive forest reserves and proposed bee reserves), an area of -15 million ha. The agency also manages 15 industrial plantations (194,072ha), of which 90,000ha are planted.

47. TFS is headed by a Chief Executivewho is currently assisted by three acting Directors, 4 acting Heads of Units and seven acting Zonal Managers (see organogram in Figure 1 below). The Chief Executive, Directors and Heads of Units constitute the 'management team' of the Agency. The



management team is responsible for providing strategic direction and technical and professional support to the zonal offices.

Figure 1: Organisational structure oftlze TFS Head Office

48. The operational management of government Forest Reserves is devolved to the sevenZona/ *Offices*, each headed by a ZonalOffice Manager (see organogram of the Zonal Office in Figure 2 below). The Zonal Office Manager reports directly to the Chief Executive. Each zone is spatially divided into a number of districts, each district headed by a District Manager reporting to the Zonal Office Manager.



Figw-e 2: 01-ganisational structure of the TFS Zonal Offices

49. Each Forest Nature Reserve located within one of the 7 Zonesis typically headed by a Conservator, repmting directly to the Zonal Office Manager¹⁷. The FNR operational and administrative staff

complement, reporting to the Conservator, usually includes a team of Forest Rangers, Law Enforcement and Administrative staff.Each FNR is divided into 'ranges' that are then controlled by Forest Rangers. The **Forest Rangers assist and report to the Conservator on conservation and enforcement issues related to** his/her range. In order to enable the Forest Rangers discharge their duties properly, Rangers' Posts are constructed within or close to their ranges. These posts provide space for an office, and some facilities for accommodation and storage. Each FNR works to a defined set of action plans, work plans and budgets, defined on an annual basis in June-July.

50. The TFS has a cmTent total staff complement of 1,584 staff, of which approximately 104 are committed to the direct management of FNRs.

51. There are a number of technical level institutions that undertake forest-based research on behalf of the government. The *Tanzania Forestry Research Institute* (TAFORI) is a National Institution (established by Act 5 of 1980) whose primary mandate is to conduct, co-ordinate and promote forestry-based research activities and to document and disseminate the results of this research. Its Head Office is in Morogoro, with seven research centres located in different ecological zones of the country (Dodoma Arid Zone Afforestation Research Centre; Kibaha Lowland Afforestation Research Centre; LushotoSilviculture Research Centre; Mulya Lake Zone Afforestation Research Centre; Moshi Timber Utilization Research Centre). The *Tanzania National Tree Seed Centre* (TTSC) based in Morogoro hosts a professional botanist and experts in the cultivation and storage of seeds from native and exotic tree species. The *Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute* located in Amsha undertakes targeted research in the field of wildlife conservation in Tanzania.

52. Tanzania also has a number of higher education institutions that provide training in forest conservation and management. There are three Universities and a number of technical training institutions,

¹⁷The hierarchical reporting line between the Conservator and the affected District Manager is however not yet clearly defined.

including: Sokoine University of Agriculture (Faculty of Forestry and Nature Conservation) in Morogoro; University of Dar es Salaam; College of African Wildlife Management on the slopes of Mt Kilimanjaro;Olmotonyi Forest/y Training Institute near Arusha; and the Forestry Industl)' Training Institute in Moshe.

53. A large number of international and national environmental and conservation NGOs have been, or are currently directly involved in, forestry conservation initiatives in Tanzania. These include: Tanzania Forest Conservation Group (TFCG); Fauna and Flora Illfemational (Tanzania); Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS); Wildlife Conservation Society of Tanzania (WCST); World Wildlife Fund -Tanzania Country Office (WWF-TCO); /UCN East Africa Regional Office (IUCN-EARO); Mitandao ya Jamii ya Usimamizi wa Misitu Tanzania (Community Network in Forest Conselvation in Tanzania) (MJUMITA); Mpingo Conselvation and Developmellf Initiative (MCDI);Natural Forest Resources Managemellf and Agroforestly Cellfre (Tanzania); CARE (Tanzania); African Wildlife Foundation (Tanzania); Jane Goodall Institute; and theTanzania Natural Resource Forwn.

54. Development pattners providing funding, development and technical support to the conservation of government Forest Reserves (including FNRs) include: *Royal Norwegian Government; DFID; FAO; UNEP; Governmellf of Finland;GIZ; USAID; UNDP; DANIDA; World Bank, EU, World Land Trust* and *JICA*. The *Developmellf Parfllers Group* (DPG), established in 2004, cunently includes 17 bilateral and 5 multilateral development agencies. The collective approach of the DPG to management aid in Tanzania is guided by the Joint Assistance Strategy (JAST).

Policy and Legislative context -Forest Nature Reserves

55. Major policies and their respective legislation, that have a direct bearing on sustainable forest management include, illfer *alia:* the National Environment Policy; the Environment Management Act; the Land Policy; the Land Act; and the Village Land Act.

56. The *National Environment Policy* (NEP, 1997) articulates the relationship between poverty and environmental degradation, and identifies six major environmental problems for urgent attention: (i) land degradation; (ii) lack of accessible good quality water for both mral and urban inhabitants; (iii) environmental pollution; (iv) loss of wildlife habitats and biodiversity; (v) deterioration of aquatic systems; and (vi) deforestation. It seeks to strengthen the mainstreaming of environmental management into other **sectors, including: agriculture; livestock; water and sanitation; health; transport; energy; mining; human** settlement; industry; tomism; wildlife; forestry; and fisheries.

57. The *Environmellfal Management Act* (EMA, 2004) provides the legal and institutional framework for the sustainable management of environment and natural resources in the country. It clarifies the environmental management mandates of the national, regional and local level institutions, civil society, private sector and other stakeholders. It also defines key environmental planning and management tools and provides for environmental quality standards, economic instmments, and meeting of international obligations.

58. The overall aim of the *Land Policy* (1995) is to promote and ensure a secure land tenure system, encourage the optimal use of land resources, and facilitate broad-based socio-economic development without endangering the ecological balance of the environment. The relevant objectives and goals of the National Land Policy are: (i) village Councils shall administer Village Lands in consultation with Village

Assemblies; (ii) the government will assist villages in demarcating their boundaries and implementing their management authority over these lands; (iii) Village Land Use Planning will be simplified for speedy execution; and(iv) government will ensure that permits and licenses for natural resources exploitation will be made with regard to land use polices and environmental and conservation policies.

59. The Land Act (1999) and the Village Land Act (1999) empower village governments with the devolution of management rights over land. It enables villages to draft and enforce bylaws". It allows for the creation of Certificates of Village Land and the Right of Occupancy to Forest Land for both conununities and individuals. Finally, it establishes management institutions for Conununity Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) and Conununity-Based Forestry (CBF) at village level (like Village Assembly, Village Council, Village Environment Conunittee, Village Natural Resource Management Conunittee and Village scouts or guards). The Act makes legal provision for common property to be registered as statutory entitlements in Customary Lands.

60. The *Land Use Planning Act* (2007) provides for a village government to develop and adopt a land use plan, for vetting by the village assembly. Once the land use plan has been vetted, the village can then begin to implement the plan without further approvals or delays.

61. Several major policies to support Forest Management in Tanzania have been developed over the past decade. Foremost are the *Forest Policy* (1998)¹⁹ - which was operationalised through the *Forest Act(2002)* -and the *National Forest Programme* (NFP, 2001)²⁰. These policy and legal documents have been accompanied by regulations and guidelines, including a major effort to involve communities in forest management through the promotion of Participatory Forest Management across both Forest Reserves and forest on village lands.

62. In line with the Forest Policy, the Forest Act and the Village Land Act, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism has issued *draftGuide/inesfor Community-Based Forest Management* (2001)²¹ to provide practical guidance to its staff and to district and village authorities for implementation. The guidelines make clear that the target population for community-based forest management are residents living within and adjacent to the forest domain. The establishment of joint management committees (village and sub-village level) and joint management agreements are also promoted.

63. The *Tanzania Forest Service Agency Strategic Plan* $(2010-2013)^{22}$ aims to contribute to national social and economic development goals by: (i) enhancing the management and conservation of forestand bee resources; and (ii) increasing the contribution of the forest and bee keeping sector to the national GOP.

64. Other key sectoral policies, strategies and legislation directly affecting forest management include *inter alia:*

Legislation	D.ate	Brief description
National Policy	1991	Through its objectives, the tourism policy identifies the need to: involve local
for Tourism		people in wildlife conservation through improving local tourism; improve

Table 5: Legislatio11 affecting Forest Mallageme111 ill Tat zallia

¹⁸ But not to coJlect fines.

¹⁹ The Forest Policy is currently under review. A revised policy is expected to be adoptedin2014.

w The NFP is currently also under review. A revised Forest Programme is expected to be adopted in 2014.

²¹These guidelines are currently in the process of being revised and updated. A revised set of guidelines are expected to be adopted and gazetted in 2014.

²² The TFS Strategic Plan is currently also under review. A revised Strategic Plan is expected to be adopted in 2014

Legislation	Date	Brief description	
	•	protection of tourist attractions; improve safmi (tourist) hunting; and improve	
		publicity.	
National	1997	The policy advocates the allocation of land for agricultural development	
Agriculture and		should be on a long-term basis, with a minimum tenure of 33 years. Village	
Livestock Policy		title deeds should in practice be permanent, and title deeds should be	
		provided to land users.	
Wildlife	2002	Allows the Minister of Natural Resources and Tourism to declare land set	
Management		aside by a village government as a Wildlife Management Area (WMA).	
Area Regulations		Participation in the use and management of WMAs is realised through	
		Community Based Organisation (CBO) and/or village governments.	
National Energy	2003	Provides a policy framework for addressing the biomass energy needs	
Policy		(particularly charcoal and fuel wood) of more than 90% of the population.	
Rural Energy Act	2005	Provides the legal framework for addressing mral energy needs through the	
		diversification energy sources as a way of reducing over dependence on	
		biomass energy and therefore reduce pressure of forest resources	
Water Resources	2009	Provides for the institutional and legal framework for the management and	
Management Act		development of water resources. It vests ownership of water resources in the	
		President (as tmstee) and puts in place mechanisms for harvesting and using	
		water. It provides for the establishment of a National Water Board and	
		catchment-specific Basin Water Boards.	
Wildlife	2009	Defines wildlife protected areas, their establishment, management and	
Conservation Act		imposed restrictions. The Act focuses on Game Reserves, Wetland areas,	
		Wetland reserves and Game Controlled Areas but also deals with protection	
		of wildlife corridors, dispersal areas, buffer zones and migratory routes.	
Biofuel	2009	Provides guidelines on, and recommendations for, preventing the negative	
guidelines		impacts of biofuel production on natural forests	
National Strategy	2010	Mainstreams various national objectives for environmental management	
for Growth and		under three clusters for development: (i) growth and reduction of income	
Reduction of		poverty; (ii) improvement of quality of life and social well-being; and (ii)	
Poverty		governance and accountability.	

65. In 2009, Tanzania embarked on the road towards REDD+ by fornmlating a national framework to guide the development of a REDD+ Strategy. Tanzania was supported by the UN-REDD programme (US\$4.3 million) and the Royal Norwegian Government (US\$80 million) to prepare the national strategy and action plan for implementation of the REDD+ activities including institution strengthening/set up as well as development of REDD demonstration projects. The UN-REDD Programune is a collaborative partnership between the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), the UN Development Programme (UNDP) and the UN Environment Programune (UNEP) and seeks to assist Tanzania to prepare and implement a national REDD+ strategy as part of the REDD readiness phase.

66. Progress with REDD+ to date includes: (i) the development and implementation of 9 pilot projects; (ii) preparation and approval of the National REDD+ Strategy (2012); (iii) the process of establishing a national Carbon Monitoring Center; (iv) capacity building and technical support for developing a national

Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system; (iv) the process of establishing a national REDD Tmst Fund; (v) development of REDD+ Social and Environmental Safeguards and Standards and (vi) the dissemination of information of REDD+ and awareness-raising.

Tanzania is also part of the World Bank Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF), but does not 67. currently receive any funding from it because the readiness phase is already funded by other programmes (i.e. the Royal Norwegian Government and UN-REDD). FCPF membership is a way for Tanzania of keeping up-to-date with international REDD+ policy and to learn from other partnership members. For the FCPF, Tanzania has submitted a Readiness Plan Idea Note (R-PIN) in 2009, followed by a REDD Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) in 2010. Tanzania has also developed and approved its national REDD+ Strategy Plan in June 2012 and its Action Plan in July 2012.

The initial period 2009-2011 for UN-REDD support has been extended twice: once until June 2012 and then for an additional year until 2013. In addition, with support from the Government of Finland and of the FAO, Tanzania has undertaken a comprehensive counll-y-wide forest inventory through the National Forest Resource Monitoring and Assessment programune (NAFORMA). NAFORMA has collected significant social and biophysical data at the district level, and this data is providing cmcial inputs into both the National REDD+ Strategy and the national MRV processes especially in the establishment of the baseline and reference emission levels.

69. The first phase of the UN-REDD support programme, and the linked NAFORMA project, should be completed in early 2014.

THREATS, ROOT CAUSES AND IMPACTS

70. Despite the government's efforts, Tanzania's forests and the biodiversity that they contain are still under considerable threat, with an average of 1 % of the forest area being lost evel-y year²³.

Direct threats to the forests include clearance for subsistence agriculture, charcoal production, timber 71. extraction and wildfires. In recent years, additional pressures have emerged, including the threat of mining (e.g. for alluvial gold) and clearance for biofuels (e.g. planting of Jatrophacurcas, palm oil, sunflowers or sugarcane). A prioritised list of direct tlueats - in terms of their area (extent), importance (severity) and required actions (urgency)- is summarised below (adapted from the *Eastern Arc Strategy*, 2009):

Threat	Extellt	Severity	Urge11cy	Total ²⁴
Uncontrolled fire	10	9	10	29
Conversion of natural habitats to agriculture	9	10	9	28
	_			20
Jllegallog gillg	7	7	6 -	21
Ullsustaillable collection of firewood alld buildillg materials	8	6	7 -	17
ll lappropriate mining practices		8	8	

Table 6: Threats to Forests - Severity, Extent and Urgency

nate mining practices

²³Between 1990 and 2010, mainland Tanzania lost 8 million hectares(-19 per cent) of its forest cover, equivalent to an average annual loss of about 400,000 hectares. ²⁴ Where: red represents a <u>very high</u> ranking of threat; orange a high ranking; yellow a <u>medium</u> ranking; and <u>green a</u> low ranking.

Unsustainable hunting/poaching	6	5	4	15
Unsustainable collection of medicinal plants	5	3	2	10
Unsustainable collection for the pet trade	3	I	3	1
lm•asive species	2	2	I	5

72. Clearing of land for agricultureconstitutes one of the main drivers of deforestation in mainland Tanzania.Because the soil quality is poor and can only support small-scale subsistence agriculture, most agricultural development involves short-term shifting cultivation, concentrating on food crops like cassava, maize, sorghum, millet and rice. The effects of drought, flooding and/or soil erosion, accompanied by an increasing loss of soil fertility, is leading to declining productivity of arable crop fields forcing small-scale farmers to further encroach into adjacent forest and woodland areas in search of alternative farmland. Limited agricultural skills and poor farming practices in rural conununities further reduce the productivity of cultivated lands. The rural population is increasing at an average rate of 3% annually, and the concomitant demand for additional farmland is increasing every year. This is further exacerbated by an influx of refugees from neighbouring countries.

73. <u>Uncontrolled buming</u> to clear farmland, to trigger new growth for livestock grazing, to drive animals for hunting, to collect honey (smoke is used to drive bees from their hives) and to reduce populations of tsetse flies and ticksis also threatening forests, often replacing rare, endemic forest species with more conunon wide-ranging fire-adapted species. The risk of the outbreak of destructive forest wildfires is particularly intense during the period prior to cultivation, when fires are set to burn trash and clear agricultural fields.

74. Burning of woody plants for <u>charcoal production</u> is causing major habitat loss in areas close to large cities, and alongside main roads. The impact of charcoal production is further exacerbated by the use of inefficient production methods in the rural areas and inefficient stoves used to burn the charcoal when cooking food in urban areas. Traditionally charcoal producers have practiced selective felling but, due to low intensity of trees per unit area and the increasing demand for charcoal in urban areas, charcoal producers have repmledly resorted to practicing clear felling of some forests. For example, most of the natural vegetation of Forest Reserves on the outskills of Dar es Salaam has been cleared for charcoal production to meet the ever growing demand for cooking fuel in the city.

75. In areas away from towns and roads, the degradation of forests is largely resulting from the collection of <u>firewood</u> and wood for<u>building materials</u>, and timber. Most of the country's rural population rely on wood fuel for their fuel needs, because alternative energy sources (like kerosene and electricity) are much more expensive and/or unavailable.

76. The total demand for wood (including firewood, charcoal, building materials and timber) in mainland Tanzania is currently estimated at about 87 million m^3 / annum (NAFORMA, 2013). However,

total annual growth of trees on the mainland is only about 113 million m³/ annum. Of this total annual

growth, about 57% (-65 million m^3 annum) is located outside the protected forest areas. Assuming then

that all the wood is harvested from the unprotected forests; tllis still means that there is a wood deficit of about 22.5 million m^3 / annum (see Figure 3 below). This deficit is placing the forests within protected

PRODOC PIMS5106 Enhancing tlle Forest Nature Reselves Network in Tanzania

forests (including the FNRs) under increasing pressure (for fuel wood in particular) from adjacent conununities.



Figure 3: Annual wood deficit in Tanzania

77. <u>Illegalloggingi</u>s being driven by a very high demand for specific, targeted timber tree species. In the North and South Pare Mountain forest blocks, illegal harvesting of camphor (*Ocoteausambarensis*) and *Podocarpususambarensis* is high. In the west (Kigoma, Rukwa and Taborn Regions), the Miombo woodlands have been overharvested to the extent that most of the dominant miombo species (e.g. *Jubemadia, Brachystergia, Commiplwra, Pterocmpusango/ensis, Bmphiakirkii* and *Swartziaspecies*) rue now locally extinct. In the Southern regions (Lindi and Mtwara, including forests in Bagamoyo, Kisarawe, Mkuranga and Rufiji Districts in the Coast Region as well as those in Handeni, Kilindi, Mkinga and Muheza Districts in Tanga Region), most of the valuable timber species - including *Dalbergiamelano:Aylon*. ('Mpingo'), *P.angolensis, M. excelsa , B. kirkii, Afzeriaquansensis* ('Mkongo') and *Brachystergia* and *Julbemadia* species - are under heavy logging pressure. Recent data (NAFORMA, 2013) suggests that about 26%²⁵ of the illegal wood cutting is taking place in protected forest areas.

78. <u>Bush-meat hunting</u> has in some areas- such as the Gendagenda Forest Reserve in Handeni district, Uzungwa Scarp Forest Reserve and the Noto/Chitoa Plateau forests in Lindi region - impacted on truge mammal populations (elephant, buffalo, leopard, etc.) to the extent that only smaller species now remain. The main hunting threat to forests is primarily from domestic consumption - for ungulates (e.g. dik-dik, warthog, duiker and bushpig) and primates (e.g. colobus monkey) - rather than external markets. In some instanceendemic species, such as theUdzungwa red colobus in Uzungwa Scarp Forest Reserve, are being hunted to the brink of local extirpation.Some faunal species, such as the endemic tlu-ee-horned chameleon, are also being illegaJly captured for the export market.

²⁵ Exact extent still to be verified.

PRODOC PIMS5106 E11lumcing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

79. Destmctive <u>mining practices</u> are also negatively impacting areas of forest natural habitat, and associated catchments. Uncontrolled illegal mining for alluvial gold is of particular concern. For example, artisanal gold mining around the national forest reserve of Shengena in Same district (Kilimanjaro region) is resulting in measurably high levels of water pollution (from the chemicals used to extract gold from ores) and felling of trees and shmbs (in the misguided belief that gold was attached to the roots). Similarly, a flood of illegal small-scale artisanal gold miners (up to 40,000 people) are compromising the ecological integrity of the watercourses and wetlands of the East Usambara catchment forest (including the Amani FNR and Balangai FR) in search of alluvial gold. Bauxite extraction from areas adjacent to Shengena (Chome NFR) is leading to serious land degradation. Commercial and small-scale mining for titanium, silica, limestone, iron and manganese on coastal sands is also resulting in the localised destinction of coastal forests.

80. Recent fieldwork in the East Usambaras has suggested tha<u>tinvasive alien species</u> (IAS) are a more serious problem than had previously been realised. Aggressively spreading IAS *includeinter alia:* the Umbrella tree (*Maesopsiseminii*), an aggressive invader of disturbed forests;Spanish Cedar (*Cedrelaodorata*), a fast-growingfmit-bearing tree invading forest gaps and disturbed forest areas; Lantana (*Lantana camara*), a spreading shrub colonising the forest edges of most mountain forests; the Feather Palm (*Arengapimwta*), a rapidly spreading invasive in forests of the East Usambara; and Blackberry (*Rubus sp.*), a spreading slu11b that is becoming an increasingly serious problem in the forest understory.

8!. Illegal harvestingof a number of plant species- such *asCather edulis* ('Mimngi'), *Pmnus africana* and Sandalwood ('Misandali') for industrial processing - is threatening the ecological viability of local populations. The unsustainable levels of the collection and expmt of some plant species – such as the endemic African violet (*Saintpaulia* species) that are largely confined to the Eastern Arc mountains- may even potentially lead, over the long-term, to their extinction. While there is still limited knowledge of the extent, and impact of, the collection of medicinal plants (e.g. *Artemesiaafra, Rumexusambarensis* and *Vernonia sp.* for treating malaria; *Psidiasp.* and *Plectranthusbarbatus* for fevers; or *Ricinuscommunis, VemoniasubligeraCrassocephalumbojeri* for stomach problems), it is anticipated that the localised negative impact on populations of the targeted species may be significant.

82. Underlying these threats are however deeper social, political and economic issues including an increasing demand for agricultural and timber products, endemic poverty, weak governance, marginalization of rural communities and women, weak land tenure, andlow levels of political will to conserve forests. Many of the communities living adjacent to these forests are amongst the poorest in Tanzania. High rates of poverty within these communities mean that there is a high dependency on natural resources to meet food, fuel and shelter requirements. In the context of a growing population, a widening division between rich and poor and growing threats from climate change, these issues are liable to result inincreasing rates of deforestation, irreversible biodiversity loss and deeper poverty for forest adjacent **communities, particularly women.**

83. Tanzania's *Initial National Communicationmder the UNFCCC* (URT, 2003) shows that the main consequences of <u>climate changea</u>re a rise in the mean daily temperature (on average, by $3 - 5^{\circ}$ C throughout the counuy) and a rise in the mean annual temperature (on average by $2 - 4^{\circ}$ C). The report also indicates that there will be an increase in rainfall in some parts of the counhy, while other parts will experience decreased rainfall. Some areas of nmthern Tanzania will get wetter (between 5 - 45% wetter), whilst others, especially in the south, will experience severe reductions in rainfall (up to 10%). This change in rainfall would make the central, westem and southem part of the counhy increasingly unsuitable for



Figure 3: Ammalwood deficit in Tanzania

77. Illegal loggingis being driven by a very high demand for specific, targeted timber tree species. In the North and South Pare Mountain forest blocks, illegal harvesting of camphor (*Ocoteausambarensis*) and *Podocarpususambarensis* is high. In the west (Kigoma, Rukwa and Tabora Regions), the Miombo woodlands have been overha rvested to the extent that most of the domina nt miombo species (e.g. *Jubernadia, Brachystergia, Commiphora, Pterocmpusango/ensis, Bmphiakirkii* and *Swartzias pecies*) are now locally extinct. In the Southern regions (Lindi and Mtwara, including forests in Bagamoyo, Kisarawe, Mkura nga and Rufiji Districts in the Coast Region as well as those in Handeni, Kilind i, Mkinga and Muheza Districts in Tanga Region), most of the valuable timber species - including *Dalbergiamelanoxylon* ('Mpingo'), *P.angolensis, M. excelsa, B. kirkii, Afzeriaquansensis* ('Mkongo') and *Brachystergia* and *Julbernadia* species - are under heavy logging pressure. Recent data (NAFORMA, 2013) suggests that about 26%²⁵ of the illegal wood cutting is taking place in protected forest areas.

78. <u>Bush-meat hunting</u> has in some areas - such as the Gendagenda Forest Reserve in Handeni district, Uzungwa Scarp Forest Reser ve and the Noto/Chitoa Plateau forests in Lindi region - impacted on large mammal populations (elephant, buffalo, leopard, etc.) to the extent that only smaller species now remain. The main hunting threat to forests is primarily from domestic consumption - for ungulates (e.g. dik-dik, warthog, duiker and bushpig) and primates (e.g. colobus monkey)-rather than external markets. In some instanceendem.ic species, such as theUdzu ngwa red colobus in Uzungwa Scarp Forest Reserve, are being hunted to the brink of local extilpation.Some faunal species, such as the endemic tluee-horned chameleon, are also being illegally captured for the export market.

PRODOC P/MS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

²⁵ Exact extent still to be verified.

79. Destmctive mmmg practices are also negatively impacting areas of forest natural habitat, and associated catchments. Uncontrolled illegal mining for alluvial gold is of particular concern. For example, artisanal gold mining around the national forest reserve of Shengena in Same district (Kilimanjaro region) is resulting in measurably high levels of water pollution (from the chemicals used to extract gold from ores) and felling of trees and shrubs (in the misguided belief that gold was attached to the roots). Similarly, a flood of illegal small-scale artisanal gold miners (up to 40,000 people) are compromising the ecological integrity of the watercourses and wetlands of the East Usambara catchment forest (including the Amani FNR and Balangai FR) in search of alluvial gold. Bauxite extraction from areas adjacent to Shengena (Chome NFR) is leading to serious land degradation. Conm1ercial and small-scale mining for titanium, silica, limestone, iron and manganese on coastal sands is also resulting in the localised destruction of coastal forests.

80. Recent fieldwork in the East Usambaras has suggested thatinvasive alien species (IAS) are a more serious problem than had previously been realised. Aggressively spreading IAS *includeinter alia:* the Umbrella tree (*Maesopsiseminii*), an aggressive invader of disturbed forests;Spanish Cedar (*Cedrelaodorata*), a fast-growingfruit-bearing tree invading forest gaps and disturbed forest areas; Lantana (*umtana camara*), a spreading shrub colonising the forest edges of most mountain forests; the Feather Palm (*Arengapinnata*), a rapidly spreading invasive in forests of the East Usambara; and Blackberry (*Rubus sp.*), a spreading shrub that is becoming an increasingly serious problem in the forest understory.

81. Illegal harvestingof a number of plant species- such asCather edulis ('Mirungi'), Pnmus africana and Sandalwood ('Misandali ') for industrial processing - is threatening the ecological viability of local populations. The unsustainable levels of the collection and export of some plant species – such as the endemic African violet (Saintpaulia species) that are largely confined to the Eastern Arc mountains- may even potentially lead, over the long-term, to their extinction. While there is still limited knowledge of the extent, and impact of, the collection of medicinal plants (e.g. Artemesiaafra, Rumexusambarensis and Vernonia sp. for treating malaria; Psidiasp. and P/ectranthusbarbatus for fevers; or Ricinuscommunis, VemoniasubligeraCrassocephalumbojeri for stomach problems), it is anticipated that the localised negative impact on populations of the targeted species may be significant.

82. Underlying these threats are however deeper social, political and economic issues including an increasing demand for agricultural and timber products, endemic poverty, weak governance, marginalization of rural communities and women, weak land tenure, andlow levels of political will to conserve forests. Many of the communities living adjacent to these forests are amongst the poorest in Tanzania. High rates of poverty within these cmmnunities mean that there is a high dependency on natural resources to meet food, fuel and shelter requirements. In the context of a growing population, a widening division between rich and poor and growing threats from climate change, these issues are liable to result inincreasing rates of deforestation, irreversible biodiversity loss and deeper poverty for forest adjacent **communities, particularly women.**

83. Tanzania's *Initial National Communicationunder the UNFCCC* (URT, 2003) shows that the main consequences of climate changeare a rise in the mean daily temperature (on average, by $3-5^{\circ}C$ throughout the country) and a rise in the mean annual temperature (on average by $2-4^{\circ}C$). The report also indicates that there will be an increase in rainfall in some parts of the country, while other parts will experience decreased rainfall. Some areas of northern Tanzania will get wetter (between 5 - 45% wetter), whilst others, especially in the south, will experience severe reductions in rainfall (up to 10%). This change in rainfall would make the central, westem and southern part of the country increasingly unsuitable for

agricultural production". Climate change projections also indicate that the frequency and severity of extreme climatic events (especially the incidence of droughts and floods) will increase.

84. Forest responses to climate change are unceltain.Highly fragmented forest or species populations will probably be more vulnerable to the effects of climate change. The *National Adaptation Programme of Action* (2007) forecasts a change to drier forests and ecosystems as a result of climate change. An impact assessment on forest ecosystems predicts that subtropical thorn woodland will be completely replaced, while subtropical dry forest and subtropical moist forest will decline in area by 61% and 64% respectively. There will be aconcomitant increase in the cover of tropical very dry forest, tropical dry forest and tropical moist forest. The Forest Gap Model predicts that some species will be more vulnerable to climate change than others, particularly those: (i) that are drought/heat intolerant; with low germination rates; (ii) with low survival rate of seedlings; and (iii) with limited seed dispersaVmigration capabilities.

85. Since many nual communities depend on forests for timber and non-timber forest products, the adverse climate change impacts will significantly reduce the ability of the forests to sustain their livelihoods.

LONG-TERM SOLUTION AND BARRIERS TO ACHIEVING THE SOLUTION

86. The establishment, and effective management, of a representative national system of protected areas is an integral part of the country's overall strategy to address the threats and root causes of biodiversity loss. In Tanzania thePA system encompasses a number of *sub-networks* of different categories of protected areas - designed to conserve wildlife (e.g. National Parks, Game Reserves) and forests (e.g. Forest Reserves, Forest Nature Reserves)- under the management authority of a range of different conservation agencies.

87. A sub-network of Forest Nature Reserves (FNR) – administered by the Tanzania Forest Service (TFS) -has been established to conserve the most biodiversity-rich high forest ecosystems in the country.

88. The <u>long-term solution</u> sought by the Government of the United Republic of Tanzaniafor the subnetwork of FNRsis characterised by:(i) an ecologically representative sub-network of legally secure FNRs that is configured to ensure that populations of forest species can persist in the wild; (ii) amandated and fully accountable management institution that is responsible for the efficient and cost-effective management of the sub-network of FNRs; and (iii) individual FNRs within the sub-network of FNRs that are sufficiently staffed, adequately resourced and sustainably funded to achieve their defined management objectives.

89. The main baniers o achieving this long-term solution are outlined below:

Barrier]: Management deficiencies in the expansion, planning and operational management of FNRs

90. Amani FNR was proclaimed in 1997. The systematic selection of additional areas proposed for proclamation as FNRs was later completed in 2005. After 2005, the Forestry and Beekeeping Division (FBD) of the MNRT - with suppmt from development pmtners -undertook the work required to gazette the selected sites as new FNRs. By 2009, four additional FNRs- Ulugum; Kilombero; Nilo; and Rungwe-

²⁶ For example, it is estimated that the projected temperature and rainfaJl changes could decrease the average annual maize yield by 33% and thecotton and coffee yields by up to 20%.

were formally proclaimed. However, despite all the technical and consultative preparatory work already being completed, the process of proclaiming the remaining six targeted areas – Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Uzungwa Scarp, Rondo Plateau and Minziro – has stalled. The current state of progress in formally declaring these six targeted areas may be summarised as follows:

Table 7. Declaration Stants of Proposed FNRs
Stage of Declaration
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
All the preparatory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
A draft Declaration Order was sent to the Chief Parliamentary Draftsman in the Attorney General's
Chambers in September 2013 for vetting.
Once vetted, the Declaration Order will be returned to MNRT for the Minister's signature. The
signed Declaration Order will then be forwarded to the office of the Attorney General for publication
in the Government Gazette.
All the preparatory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
A draft Declaration Order was sent to the Chief Parliamentary Draftsman in the Attorney General
Chambers in September 2010 for vetting.
No further progress has however been made since.
All the prepartory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
No Declaration Order has yet been drafted.
All the preparatory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
No Declaration Order has yet been drafted.
All the preparatory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
No Declaration Order has yet been drafted.
All the preparatory work for declaring the site as a FNR has been completed, including consultations
with the local (ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages.
No Declaration Order has yet been drafted.

Table 7. Declaratiou Stahts of Proposed FNRs

91. There is thus a need to expedite and complete the formal declaration processes of the remaining six areas targeted for gazetting as FNRs.

92. The ecological viability of the network of FNRs is being further compromised by the increasing fragmentation of both the existing and proposed FNRs as a result of the unplanned conversion of the immediately adjacent forest, woodland, savannah and/or wetland areas for shifting cultivation and permanent agriculture. The ongoing biological isolation of these FNRs is affecting faunal movement corridors, reducing dispersal areas, compromising water yields from catchment areas, increasing the risk of erosion, and reducing the viability of species population sizes. While there has been some recent progress in addressing the problem of the fragmentation of FNRs - such as linking the forests of Ulugum through the Bunduki corridor- there is still a critical need to better integrate the FNRs into broader landscape-based land use and conservation initiatives (e.g. linking Kilombero, Udzungwa Mountains NP, Nyanganje FR and Uzungwa Scarp tlu-ough the Mngeta corridor). Although the MNRT and TFS have recognized the need for this landscape-level approach, implementation is hampered by Tanzania's complex administrative
system. The central government is responsible for establishing policy and ensuring its effective implementation, but the responsibilities for land use planning and management lie with District Administrations. These district authorities have limited capacities to effectively integrate biodiversity planning into their work, and lack both scientific and socio-economic data needed to develop trade-offs between conservation and economic imperatives. The capacity of Districts to regulate unplanned/illegal land conversion is also weak. This problem is further compounded by the inefficient integration of enforcement activities between TFS and the Districts (as well as with other agencies, such as TANAPA). TFS, in turn, also has limited institutional capacity (staff, skills, knowledge) to properly plan and implement a more integrated landscape management approach to complement its forest conservation mandate.

93. A number of FNRs are also spatially proximate to formal protected areas - such as national parks, game reserves or wildlife management areas - which are administered by a number of different conservation agencies, such as TANAPA and the Wildlife Division of MNRT. However, the coordination of planning and management between TFS and these other conservation agencies to achieve landscape-scale conservation objectives is still sub-optimal. Improved coordination could significantly improve the long term sustainability of the forest reserves, pruticularly in some of the smaller isolated FNRs. Better cooperation may also even open up opportunities for rationalising boundaries, establishing spatial conidors and/or sharing resources, capacities and knowledge between institutions.

94. There is currently virtually no institutional capacity within the TFS to provide coordination, strategic direction and specialist/technical conservation suppmt services to the FNR network. Although a small specialised Nature Reserves 'Coordination Unit' located in Morogoro (and linked to the Eastern Arc Mountain Forests Conservation Endowment Fund) previously fulfilled some of these functions on behalf of the FBD, this unit has subsequently been decommissioned and staff redeployed to other functional units in the newly established TFS. In the absence of this coordinating hub, management planning support for FNRs is now primarily delivered through the Zonal Office of the TFS. The implication of tllis is that there is now no harmonised approach to the overall planning of the network of FNRs, and litnited specialist, technical and professional expertise to address the idiosyncratic conservation management needs of the FNRs (e.g. nature-based tourism development; integrated fire management planning; footpath planning and maintenance; integrated IAS management; strategic and annual management planning; applied research; species monitoring; rehabilitation/restoration management). Because the Conservator of each FNR reports directly to the Zonal Office Manager (who in turn repmts directly to the Chief Executive), there is also little conuunication with, and collaboration between, the Conservators and staff of other FNRs located in the different Zones.

95. The cunent staffing complement in the FNRs -many of whom are approaching retirement age -is inadequate to meet the basic *in situ* operational requirements of the reserves. Key management, technical and professional skills me also not sufficiently represented in the FNR staff complement. The number of technical staff completing forestry and certificate courses at the Forestry Training Institute at Olmotonyi (Arusha) has --<lue to funding constraints- been declining over the years. The salaries of reserve staff are presently all paid from the state budget, with salary scales based on a very low public service rate of remuneration (average for certificate holders -US\$223/month; average for Diploma holders – US\$254/month; average for Degree holders-US\$351/month), with negligible benefits. The implication is that experienced, skilled personnel are often difficult to retain within the FNRs. Reserve staffare poorly resourced and equipped to effectively administer the FNRs. Enforcement capability is thus weak as a result

of inadequate numbers, trammg and equipment, with illegal activities in and around the FNRs consequently poorly regulated. In the absence of regular reserve patrols and maintenance of reserve boundaries, encroachments and illegal harvesting of wood, plant material and bushmeat is becoming increasingly rife²⁷. The risk of fires that spread from adjacent farmlands into forests remains largely uncontrolled, while the aggressive spread of IAS – such as *Measopsiseminii* and *Cedrella* sp. in Amani; *Acacia mearnsii* and *Eucalyptus* spp. in Chome and Magamba; or *Pinuspinaster* in Rungwe- are not being effectively contained. With the exception of Amani, Kilombero and Uluguru, the remaining gazetted FNRs (Nilo and Rungwe) and the six sites targeted for proclamation currently have inadequate infrastmeture (buildings, roads, services) to meet even their rudimentary administrative needs.

While Joint Forest Management (JFM) potentially provides for local communities and the 96 government to cooperate and collaborate in the co-management of FNRs, the lack of clarity on the fiscal (or other) incentives" for local communities party to a Joint Management Agreement has seriously undermined the efficacy of this model for FNRs. So, while a number of draft Joint Management Agreements (JMA)²⁹ for FNRs have been negotiated between villages and the FBD (the then management authority for FNRs) or TFS, none of these have yet been fimmalised and operationalized because FBDffFS do not have adequate funding to finance any fiscal incentives for participating villages. Fmther, consumptive use in FNRs is highly restricted and tourism use is extremely low (collectively <1,300 paying visitors/annum for all FNRs), so almost no revenue is currently generated from the direct use of FNRs for redistribution to participating communities. Local communities thus perceive that there is an imbalance of costs and benefits associated with the conservation of FNRs, based on the fact that local residents are now no longer able to legally use the forest areas -such as hunting, grazing and collecting forest productsor as a source of new agricultural land - but have received nothing in return for these lost opportunities. This is leading to the ongoingillegal exploitation of the natural resources (i.e. wood, logs, plant material and fauna) from FNRs, with little inherent sense of responsibility in surrounding villages for the well-being of these reserves.

97. Amani FNR has however started to point the way towards developing approaches to addressing tillis inequitable relationship. Here, local communities and the FBD (now TFS) have formalised local use regulations and a revenue-sharing agreement for the FNR in the form of a MOU between reserve management and each affected village government. In terms of the MOU (and associated village bye-laws), community members from the village are permitted to collect firewood from the 'local use zone' (comprising about 6% of the total area of the FNR) twice per week, and to collect medicinal plants with special permission. In return for these restrictions on access, the 'buffer zone villages' then receive 20% of

²⁷By example: (i) Illegal logging is occuring regularly in Kilombero, Rondo, Chome, Amani, Ni1o and Uluguru, Minziro and Rungwe -often in collusion with local village leaders; (ii) Poaching ;md illegal hunting in Kilombero, Uzungwa Scarp, Rungwe are reaching critical levels- with some endemic and rare species (e.g. Abbot's duiker and the *monkey,Rtmgwecebus kiptmji*) under threat of local extirpation; (iii) Where rangelands are scarce, pastoralists are illegally encroaching into FNRs – such as Rungwe – for access to grazing for livestock; and (iv) Subsistence farmers are illegally encroaching into FNRs for cultivating food crops (e.g. Kilombero) or cash crops (e.g. Mkingu).

u The legal status of IFM regarding the sharing of costs and benefits remains unclear. Section 16 of the Forest Act (2002) stilles that a Joint Management Agreement (IMA) for the man<1gement of a forest may be made between various parties, while Section 16 (2) (h) states that the agreement sh<111 induce "rules regulating access to, use and division of, and management and audit of any funds which may be made available for, or are generated by the implementation of the agreement". The Act however, provides no guidance on how the benefits arising from forest management under IFM are to be shared, or the preferred mechanism for doing so. The draftJoillt Forest Management Guidelines (MNRT, 2007)proposed that direct cash payments be made by the Ministry of Finance and External Affairs (MFEA) to participating communities, but this was not supported by the MFEA.

²⁹ At the reserve level, these JMAs typically take the form of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).

entrance fees, user fees and research fees paid to the nature reserve. Two individuals from the local community - one from each of the 18 villages with MOUs - are also represented on the Amani Nature Reserve Advisory Board. Similar tailor-made approaches need to be tested, developed and implemented for the remaining 10 (both gazetted and proposed) FNRs in the network.

98. The enabling legislative and policy environment for promoting sustainable land and natural resource use practices in, and improving sustainable livelihood options for, local communities living in and around the forest reserves is well developed in Tanzania. A number of pilot projects have successfully demonstrated the effectiveness of these approaches in contributing to the measurable recovery of adjacent forest ecosystems. However the scaling up (in both space and time) of short-term sustainable land use and livelihood pilots has been constrained by *inter alia* the following: limited *in situ* capacity (staff, funding and skills) of the responsible public institutions to facilitate, support and enable sustainable land use practices and alternative livelihood initiatives beyond their initial introduction; a lack of meaningful incentives for communities to adopt these alternative land and natural resource use practices over the longer term; continued low levels of awareness prevailing among conunuity members about the need to protect forest resources, and the means to do this; weak cooperative governance mechanisms between the district, villages, community members and forest management authorities to administer any agreed sustainable levels of forest use; poor and inconsistent enforcement of existing laws and regulations against forest degradation and deforestation by the responsible public institutions; and a lack of political will (at the village, district and national level) to protect forest resources, due to conflicting sectoral interests.

Barrier 2: btsufficientfrmding allocated for improving the management of the sub-nehvork of FNRs

99. The administration of FNRs is predominantly financed from government budget allocations (human resource costs are paid directly by the Treasury, while CAPEX and OPEX costs are paid from the annual budget allocations to TFS). Annual budget allocations for the operational budgets of the FNRs are not **adequate to meetthe requirements for even basic standards of reserve management, or sufficient to maintain** the infrastructure and equipment in the reserves. Indications are that the government budget allocations to TFS for the operational costs of Forest Reserves (and more specifically FNRs) are, in the light of other more pressing demands on the national budget, not likely to increase significantly from their current base level of -US\$1.75m./ha/annum to fill any financing gap. Currently the only mechanisms to generate revenue for network of FNRs is the income accmed from fines, entry fees and camping fees. In 2013, FNRs generated an income of <US\$10,000, considerably less than the actual costs of generating that income (let alone the recunent operational costs of conservation management). There is thus a critical need to increase, diversify and stabilize the financial flows to FNRs, through the implementation of a more diverse portfolio of financing mechanisms.

100. Almost 80% of the recmTent expenditure in the FNRs comprises human resource costs, with insufficient funding allocated to recurrent operational and maintenance costs. Capital expenditures constitute a very low (0-3%) propmtion of total expenditure, implying an ongoing severe under-capitalisation of the FNRs. In recent years virtually no funds have consistently been allocated by TFS for any capital expenditure in the reserves, leaving the reserve management unable to replace ageing infrastructure, equipment and vehicles.Many FNRs are thus dependent onperiodic short- to medium-term grantsfrom a range of development partners to supplement shortcomings in their capital and operational budgets.

101. The determination of annual appropriations for the FNR network is currently not based on any objective criteria. Funding for annual operational expenditure in FNRs is typically determined by the previous year's budget allocation, and consistently has little reference to the actual operational needs of the network of FNRs. Where annual operational budgets are approved by TFS, the actual funding allocation to the FNRs represents only 40-60% of the formally approved budget. The links between management plans **and budget allocations also remains somewhat tenuous, with the suite of activities undertaken in each FNR** largely determined by the funding allocation constraints and not by any strategic prioritization process.

102. There is nocompelling business case to motivate an increase in government funding of the FNR network (and wider system of Forest Reserves), notably through investments in nature-based tourism infrastructure and facilities that could contribute to improving the long-term financial sustainability of the FNR network. Information about the value of the goods and services directly provided by FNRs is still relatively weak, and government grant allocations are not premised on a clear understanding of the costs and benefits of the government's investment in FNRs. Currently the FNRs are considered a financial 'drain' on central government resources.

103. The TFS have to date not developed an overarching financial strategy for its network of FNRs, and there are no reserve-specific plans to guide the *in situ* implementation of a range of viable financing strategies. There also appears to be a general lack of business, economic and finance skills and technologies in TFS to suppmt a more business-oriented approach to the planning and management of FNRs. The financial management system of the TFS tends towards compliance and adherence to procedure rather than cost and implementation efficiency, and rarely cultivates the requisite business management skills within the FNRs. Most FNRs are mn by forestry, enforcementand administrative staff who have limited or no training in budgeting, strategic planning, financial management systems or cost-effective approaches to **protected area operations.**

104. Development pattner projects in FNRs have been largely opportunistic and *ad hoc*, and there is limited capacity in TFS to strategically secure ongoingfunding from multilateral development agencies, **intemational conservation organizations, trusts and private donors for the protected area system in a** strategic, coordinated and structured way. The TFS (previously the FBD) has also made no, or little, provision for the long-term cost and resourcing implications of donor-funded projects. Similarly, some development pmtners are investing heavily in capital infrastmeture and equipment in FNRs without the concomitant resource allocation by TFS to maintain and upgrade these capital investments.

105. While there is considerable potential to develop nature-based tourism and adventure enterprises in and around FNRs, as a means of generating more sustainable income streams, no objectiveassessment of the tourism potential of each FNR has been undertaken and there is no common tourism development strategy for the FNR network.TFS, and the reserve management staff, also have extremely limited capacity and expertise to profitably plan, develop and administer tourism facilities and services in FNRs. Although tourism concessioning processes (notably those on a long term, build-operate-transfer modality) have been successfully implemented in the protected area sector in Tanzania, the competencies in TFS to facilitate and administer any tourism concessions will be premised to some extent on the assumption of the presence of some basic public infrastmeture and services (roads, electricity, water, waste treatment, security, etc.) which in the case of many FNRs do not yet exist, potentially compromising the viability and profitability of any adventure or nature-based tourism concessionaires. Any concessioningprocess will thus require a significant investment in the development and maintenance of infrastructure and services.

entrance fees, user fees and research fees paid to the nature reserve. Two individuals from the local community - one from each of the 18 villages with MOUs - are also represented on the Amani Nature Reserve Advisory Board. Similar tailor-made approaches need to be tested, developed and implemented for the remaining 10 (both gazetted and proposed) FNRs in the network.

98. The enabling legislative and policy environment for promoting sustainable land and natural resource use practices in, and improving sustainable livelihood options for, local communities living in and around the forest reserves is well developed in Tanzania. A number of pilot projects have successfully demonstrated the effectiveness of these approaches in contributing to the measurable recovery of adjacent forest ecosystems. However the scaling up (in both space and time) of shmt-term sustainable land use and livelihood pilots has been constrained by inter alia the following: limited in situ capacity (staff, funding and skills) of the responsible public institutions to facilitate, support and enable sustainable land use practices and alternative livelihood initiatives beyond their initial introduction; a lack of meaningful incentives for communities to adopt these alternative land and natural resource use practices over the longer term; continued low levels of awareness prevailing among conununity members about the need to protect forest resources, and the means to do this; weak cooperative governance mechanisms between the district, villages, conmunity members and forest management authorities to administer any agreed sustainable levels of forest use; poor and inconsistent enforcement of existing laws and regulations against forest degradation and deforestation by the responsible public institutions; and a lack of political will (at the village, district and national level) to protect forest resources, due to conflicting sectoral interests.

Barrier 2: Insufficient funding allocated for improving the management of the sub-nellvork of FNRs

99. The administration of FNRs is predminantly financed from government budget allocations (human resource costs are paid directly by the Treasury, while CAPEX and OPEX costs are paid from the annual budget allocations to TFS). Annual budget allocations for the operational budgets of the FNRs are not adequate to meetthe requirements for even basic standards of reserve management, or sufficient to maintain the infrastructure and equipment in the reserves. Indications are that the government budget allocations to TFS for the operational costs of Forest Reserves (and more specifically FNRs) are, in the light of other more pressing demands on the national budget, not likely to increase significantly from their current base level of -US\$1.75m./halannum to fill any financing gap. Cunently the only mechanisms to generate revenue for network of FNRs is the income accmed from fmes, entry fees and camping fees. In 2013, FNRs generated an income of <US\$10,000, considerably less than the actual costs of generating that income (let alone the recunent operational costs of conservation management). There is thus a critical need to increase, diversify and stabilize the financial flows to FNRs, through the implementation of a more diverse portfolio of financing mechanisms.

100. Almost 80% of the recunent expenditure in the FNRs comprises human resource costs, with insufficient funding allocated to recurrent operational and maintenance costs. Capital expenditures constitute a very low (0-3%) proportion of total expenditure, implying an ongoing severe under-capitalisation of the FNRs. In recent years virtually no funds have consistently been allocated by TFS for any capital expenditure in the reserves, leaving the reserve management unable to replace ageing infrastructure, equipment and vehicles.Many FNRs are thus dependent onperiodic short- to medium-term grantsfrom a range of development partners to supplement shmtcomings in their capital and operational budgets.

101. The determination of annual appropriations for the FNR network is currently not based on any objective criteria. Funding for annual operational expenditure in FNRs is typically determined by the previous year's budget allocation, and consistently has little reference to the actual operational needs of the network of FNRs. Where annual operational budgets are approved by TFS, the actual funding allocation to the FNRs represents only 40-60% of the formally approved budget. The links between management plans **and budget allocations also remains somewhat tenuous, with the suite of activities undertaken in each FNR** largely determined by the funding allocation constraints and not by any strategic prioritization process.

102. There is nocompelling business case to motivate an increase in government funding of the FNR network (and wider system of Forest Reserves), notably through investments in nature-based tourism infrastructure and facilities that could contribute to improving the long-te1m financial sustainability of the FNR network. Information about the value of the goods and services directly provided by FNRs is still relatively weak, and government grant allocations are not premised on a clear understanding of the costs and benefits of the government's investment in FNRs. Currently the FNRs are considered a fmancial 'drain' on central government resources.

103. The TFS have to date not developed an overarching financial strategy for its network of FNRs, and there are no reserve-specific plans to guide the *in situ* implementation of a range of viable financing strategies. There also appears to be a general lack of business, economic and finance skills and technologies in TFS to suppmt a more business-oriented approach to the planning and management of FNRs. The financial management system of the TFS tends towards compliance and adherence to procedure rather than cost and implementation efficiency, and rarely cultivates the requisite business management skills within the FNRs. Most FNRs are ron by foresliy, enforcementand administrative staff who have limited or no training in budgeting, strategic planning, financial management systems or cost-effective approaches to protected area operations.

104. Development paltner projects in FNRs have been largely oppmtunistic and *ad hoc*, and there is limited capacity in TFS to strategically secure ongoingfunding from multilateral development agencies, **international conservation organizations, trusts and private donors for the protected area system in a** strategic, coordinated and structured way. The TFS (previously the FBD) has also made no, or little, provision for the long-term cost and resourcing implications of donor-funded projects. Similarly, some development partners are investing heavily in capital infrastructure and equipment in FNRs without the concomitant resource allocation by TFS to maintain and upgrade these capital investments.

105. While there is considerable potential to develop nature-based tourism and adventure enterprises in and around FNRs, as a means of generating more sustainable income streams, no objectiveassessment of the tourism potential of each FNR has been undertaken and there is no common tourism development strategy for the FNR network.TFS, and the reserve management staff, also have extremely limited capacity and expertise to profitably plan, develop and administer tourism facilities and services in FNRs. Although tourism concessioning processes (notably those on a long term, build-operate-transfer modality) have been successfully implemented in the protected area sector in Tanzania, the competencies in TFS to facilitate and administer any tourism concessions will be premised to some extent on the assumption of the presence of some basic public infrastmeture and services (roads, electricity, water, waste treatment, security, etc.) which in the case of many FNRs do not yet exist, potentially compromising the viability and profitability of any adventure or nature-based tourism concessionaires. Any concessioningprocess will thus require a significant investment in the development and maintenance of infrastmeture and services.

106. There is little or no general awareness of the existence of, and attractions in, FNRs. A large proportion (-90%) of visits to nature-based tourism destinations are facilitated by local and international tour operators, of whom vely few have any knowledge of the FNR sites. There is thus considerable scope to improve the focused promotion and marketing of FNRs in Tanzania.

STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS

107. During the project preparation stage, a stakeholder analysis was undertaken in order to identify key stakeholders and assess their prospective roles and responsibilities in the context of the proposed project. The table below list the key stakeholder organisations; provides a brief smrnuary of the responsibilities of each of these stakeholder organisations (specifically as it applies to nature protection); and describes the anticipated role of each of the stakeholder organisations in supporting or facilitating the implementation of project activities:

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs)	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the project
	Ministries, Departments and Agencie	
Vice President's Office (VPO)	DoE is responsible for the coordination of all national and international matters related to environmental protection and	The DoE will ensure the alignment and integration of the project activities with national environmental strategies and plans.
Division of Environment (DoE)	management. It is also responsible for national reporting to the relevant international conventions.	
Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT)	The MNRT has responsibil) for overseeing the management of all natural, cultural aud tourism resources in the count!)'.	The MNRT will, through the FBDJaci/itate the formal proclamation of the targeted FNRs. It will also develop the enabling policies and regulations in support of the
Forest and Beekeping Division (FBD)	The FBD is directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectors	effective planning and management of FNRs.
Tanzania Forest Service (TFS)	TFS is is an executive agency mandated with the management of national forest reserves (natural and plantations), bee reserves and forest and bee resources on general lands	The TFS willhave m•erall responsibility for implementation of the project. It will coordinate the implementation of all project activities, and may be responsible for the direct implementation of a number of these activities. It will take the lead role in ensuring ongoing communications with all government agencies and other partners in respect of project implementation.
Tanzania Tourist Board (TTB)	7TB is a government organisation responsible for the promotion and development of the tourism	The 7TB will assist the project in the marketing and promotion of the tourism and sen•ices in FNRs.
National Environment Management Council (NEMC)	The NEMC is responsible for the enforcement of, and ensuring compliance with, the national environmental quality	The NEMC will assist in ensuring that any planned development activity implemented by the project will conform to all national
· · ·	standards.	environmental quality standards.
of Energy and	The MEM is responsible for facilitating	The MEM will support the project by
(MEM)	development of the energy and mineral sectors	assisting in the regulation, monitoring and

Table 8: Stakeholder Analysis

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs)	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the project
Ministry of Finance	in Tanzania through legislation, policies, strategies and plans for sustainable use. The MFEA is the central executive authority	enforcement of illegal woodfuel harvesting and mining activities in, or impacting on, FNRs. The MFEA will be responsible for ensuring
:md Economic Aff:: irs (MFEA)	responsible for national financial policy am/ the management of slllte finances. The MFEA prepares, administers and monitors the state budget.	the ongoing allocation of finds in the state budget for TFS (and thus FNRs). The MFEA will approve any state budget finds to be allocated as co-financing for the project.
Prime Minister's OfficeRegional Adminsistr:: tion and Local Government (PMORALG)	The PMORALG is responsible for improving the coordination between MDAs, Regional Administrations and Local Government Authorities. They are also responsible for monitoring and improving the institutional capacity and management systems of local government to deliver better quality services.	The PMORALG will facilitate improved linkages between, and alignment with, the project activities and relevant local go\•emment initiatives and programmes. PMORALG may also fimd, through the Regional Authorities, complementat)' community development projects around FNRs.
Regional authorities (Regional Administrative	The regional authorities provide technical advice and support, and exercise :mpelvision to, the District Councils.	
District Councils	District Councils are responsible for delivering a range of social, economic and ecological sen•ices within their territories of jurisdiction.	WDCs may provide arbitration and conflict resolution sen•ices, where conflicts may arise between communities and FNRs. District/Ward community development staff working in the region of FNRswill support
Ward Development Council (WDC)	The WDC is responsible for developing general development plans for the ward.	the implementation of project activities.
Village Authorities	Further, the IVDC must manage disasters and environmental related activities within its ward. The Village Councils are responsible for	Village Councils will provide a democratic
Village Assembly (Village Council)	planning and coordinating development activities; rendering assistance and advice to the villagersengaged in agriculture, forestry, horticultural, industrial or any other activity; and for encouraging village residents to wulertake and participate in communal entaprises.	institutional vehicle for the project to secure the support, involvement and beneficiation of local communities in project-related activities. They will represent affected communities in the negotiation and conclusion of JFA's between TFS ami local comm1mities.
	Any proposed by-laws must be adopted by the village assembly before being submitted to the District Council for approval.	
Village Natural Resource Committees (VNRC)	The VNRC are responsible for overseeing the protection, consen>ation and lawful utilization of forest resources	The VNRC will actively support the in situ implementation of project activities, notabl in the monitoring and enforcement of resgreetions of the will assist in the fear opment and adoption of any

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs) Project
Multilateral	Multilateral play a technical, financial and
organizations (e,g,	material assistance (through the MNRT, TFS, TFF, PMORALG and NGOs) in support of the
UNDP, EU, GIZ, World	plmming, development and operationalization of FNRs.
Bank)	F
Banky	
Development Partners	The DPG will provide the institutional framework (through the lAST) for coordinating and
	aligning project activities with other complementmy donor-funded initiatives, projects and
Group (DPG)	
Eastern Arc Mountains	The EAMCEF will provide targeted funding, technical and material support to the FNRs, and
Endowment Fund	surrounding communities, within the Eastern Arc region in support of project activities.
(EAMCEF)	
	(NGOs) and
NGOs (e.g. TFCG,	NGOs and CBOs will support project activities through the ongoing implementation of
WWF, WSCT, CARE,	complementmy training, awareness-raising and education programmes in the villages
CI, AWF, CEPF,	abutting the FNRs.
IUCN,MJUMIT A	NGOs and CBOs may also be contracted or concessioned, on a competitive bid basis, to
TNRF)	implement specific community-development, tourism development or conservation
	managemellf project activities.
CBOs (e.g.	The project may also enter into partnership agreements with existing NGO- or CBO-fwuled
women/youth groups,	initiatives in, or linked to, the conservation management of FNRs.
farmers/hunters	
involved in, and benefit fr	d the FNRs are one of the principal stakeholders in the project. Local people will be directly om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmell in activities within the FNRs: (ii) participation in colomomity-state-private sector nature-based
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii)	
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii)	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v)
involved in, and benefit fi consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmematinaalatiossi	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmell in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature if EdiBauand-builedouranagementation (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional
involved in, and benefit fi consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmematuaadamsni Academic institutions	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature if EdiBauand built commangementation for the formation of the fo
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmenatimation Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university,	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature if EdiBaitand buye comanagementation Mathematics (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen rativaedams Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) steature if EdiRsiand buy command state for the project in the formation of the project in the project in the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research,
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen rativaedassen Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI,	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature if EdiBaitand built commangementation Magnetic (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>raturaedressn</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORLOWWIKA)	 mom, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) steatuse if EduRsiand busedormanagementation for the project; (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, technicaland training/skills development project activities.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadassan</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, <u>IRAWORHOWW/IKA)</u> Tanzania Association	The second provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>raturaedressn</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORLOWWIKA)	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) Executive in Folksuard bridge on management of FNR tetprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice and support to the
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadassan</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, <u>IRAWORLOWENKA</u> Tanzania Association of Foresters	The second provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, <u>iRAWORLowWIKA)</u> Tanzania Association of Foresters The private	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) weature if EdiBauand buildedommangerungtath FNRs (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities.
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWMIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) Meanuard finite Columnating quantifier NR etprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. e all important project partner in
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORLOWIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations for	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) <u>weature in Folksmand twisedormanagementato FNRs</u> etprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to <u>Academic institutions and professional</u> Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice- project in the implementation of activities. and support to the <u>Private sector</u>
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>matuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, <u>iRAWORLowWIKA)</u> Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of commen	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) <u>weature in Edikanand buile domanagement aff Nk</u> tetprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to <u>Academic institutions and professional</u> Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. and support to the <u>Private sector</u>
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWMIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of comme- tourism/recreational natu	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) <u>weenume in EdiBanand built commanagement aff FNRs</u> etprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to <u>Academic institutions and professional</u> Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice- project in the implementation of activities. and support to the <u>Private sector</u> e all important project partner in of FNRs as tourism an or local and illfemational visitors. They will directly participate in the establishment, manageme recial concessions in FNRs. They may also assist in supporting, or partuering in, community-base pre-based tourism selvices and facilities in and around FNRs. Tourism
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWMIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of comme- tourism/recreational natu	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) <u>weature in Edikanand buile domanagement aff Nk</u> tetprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to <u>Academic institutions and professional</u> Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. and support to the <u>Private sector</u>
involved in, and benefit fr consen-ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>matuaadmsnu</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWWIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of commen- tourism/recreational natu operators may also, throu	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmelll in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) <u>weenume in EdiBanand built commanagement aff FNRs</u> etprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to <u>Academic institutions and professional</u> Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice- project in the implementation of activities. and support to the <u>Private sector</u> e all important project partner in of FNRs as tourism an or local and illfemational visitors. They will directly participate in the establishment, manageme recial concessions in FNRs. They may also assist in supporting, or partuering in, community-base pre-based tourism selvices and facilities in and around FNRs. Tourism
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadmsnt</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWWIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of commen- tourism/recreational natu operators may also, throu attractions) into tour itin	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmell in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) weature if FMRsuand brie commanagementato FNRs teprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. and support to the Private sector
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadamsni</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWMIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of commen- tourism/recreational natu operators may also, throu attractions) into tour itin It is further envisaged the	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmell in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature if EdBauand buil dormanagementation for the project; (v) controlled/subsidised access to Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. and support to the Private sector and illfemational visitors. They will directly participate in the establishment, manageme tread and illfemational visitors. They will directly participate in the establishment, manageme tread tourism selvices and facilities in and around FNRs. Tourism tagh the Tanzania Association of Tour Operators, seek to include specific FNRs (and their unique teraries in order to increase visitor numbers (and hence income) to FNRs. tt the private sector markets, as an of native forests in the FNRs
involved in, and benefit fr consen•ation and tourism tourism elllelprises; (iii) establishmen <u>natuaadmsnt</u> Academic institutions (e.g. Sokoine university, Institute of Resource Assessment, TAFORI, IRAWORHOWWIKA) Tanzania Association of Foresters The private sector be recreation destinations fo and marketing of commen- tourism/recreational natu operators may also, throu attractions) into tour itin	om, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employmell in activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in colmmmity-state-private sector nature-based training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (l'v) treature in Formania on professional Academic institutions and professional Academic institutions will provide technical and professional support to, as well as supply and maintain key datasetsfor, the project. They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific research, teclmicaland training/skills development project activities. The Tanzania Association of Foresters will provide professional advice project in the implementation of activities. and support to the Private sector and illfemational visitors. They will directly participate in the establishment, manageme treal concessions in FNRs. They may also assist in supporting, or partuering in, community-bas are based tourism selvices and facilities in and around FNRs. Tourism agh the Tanzania Association of Tour Operators, seek to include specific FNRs (and their unique eraries in order to increase visitor numbers (and hence income) to FNRs.

State funding of FNRs

PRODOC P/MS5 /06 Enhancing the Forest Nature Resetves Network in Tanzania

39

108. The total approved annual operational budget for the TFS (2012) wasabout \$25 million per annum. Of this total operational budget, around \$470,000 was allocated for the management of the 11 gazetted and proposed Forest Nature Reserves³⁰With a total staffing complement of 104 staff, the annual human resource budget for all FNRs from the state treasuty is conservatively estimated (using the median salary band for each staffing level)at US\$1,248,000 per annum. The TFS capital expenditure budget for FNRs is highly variable year-on-year, ranging from US\$0 to US\$50,000/annum over the last 5 years. Total annual extra-budgetary suppmt from the different zonal offices - primarily for additioual contract labour staff costs-is conservatively estimated at an average of US\$20,000 per annum.

109. Assuming that the state and TFS funding suppmt for FNRs will remain relatively constant (with an annual inflationary adjustment), then the funding for human resource, operating and capital expenditure costs in FNRs would equate to approximately US\$8,815,000 over the entire project timeframe of five years. Based on an indirect cost rate of 40%³¹ - for financial management; human resource management; administrative; professional; and technical/maintenance support services-the financial contribution of TFS Zonal Offices and TFS Management Team to the planning and management of FNRs is conservatively estimated at a furtherUS\$3,526,000 for the term of the project implementation. The core TFS investment in FNRs over the next 5 years will focus on 7 key strategic areas: improvement of community livelihoods; reducing illegal activities; reducing fire incidences; increasing revenues; improving the equipment; developing the infrastmcture; and determining the total economic value of/in the FNRs. The suite of activities under each of these strategic areas is summarised as follows:

Strategic area	Activities
Improve Community	Beekeeping activities; commercial tree nursery and tree planting (cloves, spices);
Livelihoods	vegetable farming; mushroom farming; fish farming; and dairy goats and cows.
Reducing human illegal	Community sensitization and awareness raising on good governance and tree harvesting
activities	procedures (Workshops); Conduct a training on Management Effectiveness Tracking
	Tool (METI); Law enforcement (patrols, preparation and installation of signboard);
	Maintenance of 138km of the boundary; JFM initiatives, Review of villages, NFRs'
	management agreements and development of by-laws; and Promotion of efficient
	cooking stoves.
Reduce fire incidences	Rehabilitation and restoration of degraded area; and Training on fire wise community
	package to staff and adjacent communities
Increase revenue	Maintenance of one camp site and three picnic sites (rest point) and management of 28
generation	km of nature trails, 9 km of forest road; Reprinting of "200 copies of tree of Amani"
	ANFRBook
	Advertisements
Improve working	Purchase of one hardtop cruiser; Purchase of Two motorcycles; Two sets of Camping
facilities	facilities; Purchase of two Generators; Maintenance of one tractor and one trailer.
Develop the	Renovation of two rest house; Construction of Resort/Apartment to catch sensitive
infrastructure	tourist; Construction of two Rangers posts
	Finalize Emau rest house (water supply, electricity and furniture)

Table 9. TFS Strategic Area Activities

³⁰ Although the 201312014 budget makes provision for an FNR budget of -US\$919,000, typically only 40-55% of the planned budget is actually spent *in situ*. ³¹/ndirect Cost Rate is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of an organization's total indirect costs (numerator) to its direct cost

base (denominator).

	Determination of the	Valuation of Direct value (e.g. water, timber, and non-timber resources) and Indirect
Γ	total economic value of	value (e.g. carbon sink, biodiversity)
	the NFRs	

10. The Tanzania Forest Fund (TFF) is a Conservation Trust Fund established by the Forest Act Cap. 323 [R.E. 2002] under Sections **79**-83. The TFF was operationalised in July 2010 and is a not-for-profit Public Fund, governed by Board of Trustees. The TFF provides financial assistance to various stakeholders **in** *inter alia:* **forest resource conservation and management; community-based forest conservation and** sustainable livelihoods; and applied and adaptive research on management of forest resources and livelihoods. The sources of funds for the TFF are: (i) a levy of 2% of every prescribed fee payable under the Forest Act; (ii) a levy of 3% of any royalty payable under the Act; (iv) grants, donations, bequests or such sums contributed by any private individuals, corporate bodies, foundations, or international organizations or funds within or outside the country; (v) any sums realized by sale of any forest produce confiscated under any of the provisions of the act; (vi) any income generated by any project financed by the Fund; (vii) and any such funds acquired from various sources. The income to the TFF in 2012/2013 totalled-US\$3,125,000, of which US\$0 was spent in direct suppmt of the planning and management of FNRs. Direct funding support from the TFF to the network of 11 FNRs is however expected to total at least \$1 million over the five-year period of the project.

Other funding and tee/mica/ suppol't to FNRs

III. Donor agencieshave committed significant financial support to forest conservation activities in Tanzania, withfunding commitments over the last ten years totalling more than US\$100 million to date. A significant proportion of these funds are being channelled through NGOs and the private sector, with the latter increasingly getting greater attention. The main donors are the Governments of Norway and Denmark (through their Embassies in DaresSalaam), the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Finland (through the Embassy and the FAO), the WB and UNDP-GEF. Donor support has, over the years, helped to establish a network of field-based projects in indigenous forests, albeit mostly in the Eastern Arc Mountain forests but later expanded to cover the coastal forests. These projects and activities include:

Participatory Forest Management (PFM): Several NGOs (including TFCG, WWF, WCST/DOF and MCDI) are implementing a range of donor-funded initiatives to: assess the effectiveness of PFM in Tanzanian forests; document lessons leamt in the implementation of pilot PFM projects; and scale-up implementation of PFM in targeted districts and villages. UNDP-GEF (through CARE International in Tanzania) has also provided suppmt to community-based PFM initiatives around Uluguru FNR, while Irish Aid provides support to the TFS Forest District officers in Muheza and Kilombero in the implementation of PFM;

Tree planting:Farmers living close to the Eastern Arc Mountains and coastal forests are being supported in the planting of over one million trees a yearin order to secure a more sustainable source of fuel wood, building materials and cash income for villagers;

Fuel efficient stoves: Households in rural villages and districts are being enconraged and incentivised to adopt the use of more efficient fuelwood cooking stoves.lnitiatives to train the villagers in the construction of these wood-saving cooking stoves is also underway;

Research and monitoring: European government donor agency support for trammg and research (primarily in the Eastern Arc Mountains) has been implemented by a number of NGOs (primarily WWF, TFCG and WCST). Over 25% of Norwegian funding (through the Royal Norwegian Embassy of Tanzania) has been committed to the Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA) for research, focusing on natural resource management and climate mitigation and adaptation strategies.

Conservation of FNRs:!n 2010, the government of Germany- through UNDP- provided about2 million Euros to assist the government in strengthening the conservation capacity oftln-ee FNRs(Kilombero, Nilo and Uluguru). These funds were used to construct office buildings, staff housing and ranger outposts; procure and install solar power supply; procure office equipment and fittings; and purchase vehicles and motorcycles in the targeted FNRs.

Honey and wax: Several hundred farmers (including those from FNRs) are being supported in the production and sale of honey and beeswax;

Butte1jly farming:An NGO (TFCG) suppmts a cooperative of butterfly farmers near Amani FNR (the 'Amani Butterfly Project) to farm, produce and export butterfly pupae to customers (mainly in Europe and USA). A percentage of the income is put aside in a village development fund for financing cmmnunity-based projects;

112. For the five year period of project implementation, a significant baseline investment in the conservation of indigenous national forests hasalready been committed by a diverse range of donor agencies. The total value of this investment is conservatively estimated at -US\$16 million per year. Of this baseline investment, direct funding support to the network of II FNRs is expected to total at least \$1 million over the five-year period of the project. This includes donor investments in: (i) ecological baseline research in Mount Rungwe; (ii) restoring the Bunduki gap corridor to Uluguru FNR; (iii) developing cmmnunity buffer zones, and implementing awareness-raising programmes, in and around Amani FNR and Nilo FNR; (iv) implementing an awareness-raising programme in and around Mkingu FNR; and (v) training students at Amani FNR and Kilombero FNR.

113. Over the past five years, much of the forest funding coming to Tanzania has been used to suppmt the REDD+ forest financing framework in Tanzania. Donor government agencies are the primaty contributors, with the vast majority of these funds committed by the Government of Norway (US\$84.4 million, with US\$80,200,000 of this amount directed through the Royal Norwegian Embassy in Tanzania). This funding was used to conduct REDD+ field activities through nine NGOs (TFCG, TaTEDO, WCST, WWF, JGI, MCDI, WCS, AWF and CARE) and to support capacity building and coordination activities on forest carbon at the Sokoine University of Agriculture and the University of Dares Salaam. The second phase of the REDD+ programme will be implemented nationally by the MNRT - with the support of development partners (e.g. FAO, UNEP aud UNDP) - from the start of 2014. It is currently uncertain what the anticipated value of investment by the Tanzanian government in REDD+ in FNRs would equate to during the project period.

114. The Eastern Arc Mountains Conservation Endowment Fund (EAMCEF) is registered under the Tmstees' Incorporation Act [Cap.318, R.E. 2002]. The fund operates as a not-for-profit NGO and provides financial and technical assistance in the improvement of mrallivelihoods, management of protected areas

and climate change, and applied research in the Eastern *Aic* Mountains (focusing on East Usarnbara Mountains; Udzungwa Mountains; Uluguru Mountains; Nguru Mountains; West Usambara Mountains; and South Pare Mountains). The EAMCEF annual budget for 201212013 was US\$1.187 million. The EAMCEFhas made a five-year funding commitment to supplement the operational budgets of the 8 FNRs forming the core of the proposed Eastern Arc Mountain World Heritage Site. This funding support for recurrent operational costs in FNRs currently equates to a total contribution of –US\$175,000/annum. It is anticipated that the EAMCEF will contribute at least a further -\$700,000 of investment capital and technical support during the project period to conservation-related projects in and around the FNRs within the Eastern Arc Mountains.

Financing gap for FNRs

115. It is estimated that the total funding requirements to support the basic operational management of 10 of the 11 FNRs (excluding Magamba, for which data was not yet available) over the five-year time frame of the project is TZS 36.1 billion, of which TZS 28.7 billion (-80%) represents the financing gap.

116. A more detailed baseline analysis, and assessment of the financing gap, for FNRs is appended in Section IV.Part VI, (Technical Reports and Information) of the UNDP PRODOC.

PART II:Strategy

PROJECT RATIONALE AND POLICY CONFORMITY

Fit with the GEF Focal Area Strategy and Strategic Programme

117. The project is aligned with the goal of the GEF's Biodiversity Focal Area Strategy, 'Consen-ation and sustainable use of biodiversity and the maintenance of ecosystem goods and services'. The impact of the project will be measured in terms of the 'Biodiversity conserved and habitat maintained in national protected area systems', using the indicator'Intact vegetative cover and degree of fragmentation in national protected area systems measured in hectares as recorded by remote sensing'.

118. The project is consistent with Objective I of the biodiversity focal area strategy, 'Improve Sustainability of Protected Area Systems'. The project will contribute to the following outcomes underObjective I: Outcome 1.1 'Improved management effectiveness of existing and new protected areas'; and Outcome 1.2 'Increased revenue for protected area systems to meet total expenditures required for manageInent'.

119. The project will contribute to the achievement of GEF's outcome indicators and core outputs under Objective I and Outcome 1.1 and 1.2 as follows:

	GEF-5 Biodiversity Results Framework								
Objective	Expected Outcome	Expected Indicator (and project contribution to indicator)	Core Outputs (and project contribution to outputs)						
Objective 1 Improve sustainabilit y of Protected Area	Outcome 1.1 Improved management effectiveness of existing and new protected areas	Indicator 1.1 Protected area management effectiveness as recorded by Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool Project contribution to indicator: METT scores for the 11FNRs will improve from an average baseline score of 38% to >51% by end of project	Output 1Newprotected areas(number) andcoverage (hectares)of unprotectedecosystems.Project contribution to output: Five new FNRs gazetted, covering 104,717ha of high forest ecosystemsOutput 2Newprotected areas(number) andcoverage (hectares) of unprotected threatenedspecies (number).Project contribution to output: Five new FNRs gazetted, covering 104,717ha ha of 195 locally endemic species (34 threatened al limal						
Systems	Outcome 1.2 Increased revenue for protected area systems to meet total expenditures required for management	Indicator 1.2 Increased revenue for protected area systems to meet total expenditures required for management <u>Project contribution to indicator:</u> <i>Reve11ue (own income)for the network</i> <i>of FNRs will increase from a baseline</i> <i>of < US\$10,000</i> <i>latmumto > US\$100,000 /annum by end</i> <i>of project</i>	Output 3 Sustainable financing plans (number) <u>Project contribution to output:</u> One Financial Plan prepared and adopted for the network of FNRs Business Plans incmporated into the Reserve Management Plans for six FNRs.						

Table 10: GEF Focal Area Objectives

Rationale aud summy of GEF Alternative

120. The GEF increment will assist the Tanzania Forest Service to put in place a functioning network of Forest Nature Reserves across the most biodiversity rich forests of the countly. By implementing this alternative strategy, over and beyond business-as-usual, critically important forest and montane grasslands habitats will be safeguarded, suppmting at least 200 species of plants and vertebrate animals that are uniquely confined to those forests. So will a significant carbon stock and a critical water regulation function for millions of Tanzanians. This project forms part of a suite of GEF initiatives that have the aim of strengthening Tanzania's complex PA system (across different PA categories) and builds programmatically on previous and existing GEF projects designed to suppmt forest conservation. The project is the first initiative in Tanzania designed to systematically strengthen the network of Forest Nature Reserves. While other initiatives have strengthened individual FNRs, they have not addressed the needs of the network as a whole. Moreover, the project will invest in gazetting and operationalizing new FNRs that have not been the target of other investments, thus enhancing the conservation security of these areas. This is an opportune time to advance this initiative, given that the management authority - the TFS - has recently been established.

121. Witholl the GEF investment in the proposed project, the 'business-as-usual' scenario for the FNR network in the next few years is one where: (i) continuous delays in gazetting the proposed FNRs, leads to management ineltia, and sustained pressure on the unprotected forests for agriculture and natural resource use; (ii) a lack of meaningful benefit-sharing with local communities increasingly strains the relationship between conservators and village governments leading to the continued exploitation of forest resources in FNRs; (iii) the FNRs continue to have insufficient funding to staff, equip and effectively manage the FNRs, with the funding 'gap' annually increasing; (iv) there is limited cooperation, collaboration and sharing of resources across and between the individual FNRs resulting in operational inefficiencies, and duplication of effmt; (v) a weak enforcement capability in FNRs leads to continued fragmentation of FNRs as a result of illegal encroachments, fires, wood collection, mining and poaching; (vi) development partner and other donor-funded support remains ad hoc and opportunistic, with no strategic link to the priorities of Reserve Management Plans; (vii) limited basic administrative, management and enforcement equipment and infrastructure compromises the ability of reserve staff to fulfil their conservation mandate; (viii) there is limited awareness of FNR's, and no capital and operational budget investment in developing and maintaining the requisite visitor facilities and services, resulting in extremely low visitor usage; and (ix) an inadequate investment in the ongoing equipping, training and development of FNR staff leads to loss of experienced staff and exacerbates the inefficiencies in reserve management and enforcement operations.

122. <u>Alternative scenario enabled by the GEF</u>: The project has been designed to incrementally build on the existing foundation of institutional capacities in, and financial resources of, the Tanzania Forest Service (TFS).It will specifically target the following focal areas for investment: (i) securing an ecologically-representative sub-network of legally secure FNRs that is configured to ensure that populations of high forest species can persist in the wild; (ii) strengthening the systemic, institutional and individual capacity of the TFS to fulfil its conservation mandate for the network of FNRs; and (iii) ensuring that the individual FNRs are sufficiently staffed, adequately resourced and sustainably funded to achieve their defined management objectives. The project has thus been organised into *two components*, and will be implemented over a period of five years. The <u>first component</u> of the project will support the expansion of the FNR network by facilitating the gazettingof five new FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mk:ingu, Minziro and

Uzungwa Scarp)and improving the planning, operations and governance of these five new FNRs, and one existing FNR (Rungwe).lt will also encourage a more consistent and cohesive approach to the planning and management of the six targeted FNRs as an integral part of the broader network of 11 FNRs.Component 1 has four key areas of project support: (i) securing the conservation status and boundaries of the six FNRs; (ii) supplementing the core staffing complement, infrastmcture and equipment in the six FNRs; (iii) strengthening the governance of, and benefit sharing in, the six FNRs; and (iv) enhancing the capacity of the TFS to plan and administer the six FNRs as an integral part of the wider FNR network. The second component of the project is focused onenhancing the financial sustainability of the entire network of 11 FNRs to ensure that they incrementally develop the capacity (over the longer-term) to generate adequate financial resources to cover the full costs of their management. Component two has tlu-ee key areas of project support: (i) facilitating public-private prulnerships in the conunercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs; (ii) marketing the destinations, attractions, facilities and services ofFNRs and (iii) implementing other income-generating activities in targeted FNRs.

123. The total costs of investment in the project is estimated at US\$23,700,000, of which US\$4,100,000 constitutes grant funding from GEF and US\$19,600,000 comprises co-financing from the Goverrunent of Tanzania (MNRT and TFS), UNDP, EAMCEF and NGOs (TFCG and WWF).

INCREMENTAL COST REASONING

124. *Global Environmental Benefits:* By implementing the above-mentioned components, the GEF investment will significantly contribute to an improvement in the conservation security of, and the reduction of threats to, 305,000ha of high forest biodiversity in Tanzania. All **I** FNR sites trugeted by the project rue identified as Key Biodiversity Areas, and 4 are also Alliance for Zero Extinction sites. The project will include an additional **I**04,717 hectares of forest into the cunent network of FNRs. It willsecure and enhance the protection of viable populations of at least 195 locally endemic species (including at least 34 threatened animal species) located within the lru-gest high forest blocks in the country. These include species such as the RungweGalago, one of the world's 25 rarest primates, the endangered UsambaraHyliota, Africa's rarest monkey, the kipunji and Africa's rarest antelope, the Abbott's duiker³². Aithough not designed as a climate change emissions reduction initiative, the project will also secure a carbon reservoir of an estimated 300 tons of carbon!ha; the new sites to be gazetted together have an estimated total carbon store of 35 million tons.

125. Strengthening the FNR network will generate significant socio-economic benefits at the local, national and global levels. At the local and national levels, direct benefits will include among other things; employment as community-based tour guides; sub-contracting of community-based businesses; procurement of locally produced supplies; training and skills development; direct employment of individuals from local conunnities; participation in the ownership of tourism enterprise; and investment in upgrading local services (water, roads, electricity).

126. In terms of tourism, Tanzania's attraction as a nature tomism destination will be fortified, with real new oppm1unities tapped for tourism revenue and employment creation. The project will provide additional tourism attractions for Tanzania – opening up forest ru-eas as a new tourism product, based on best practices from community based tourism elsewhere, such as KahawaShamba, amongst others. Locally, conununities will be able to benefit from new tourism development opportunities (e.g. through direct employment as full time or part time staff at the NR offices and private guest houses in the ru-ea). Pru-t time

³² A more detailed list of the threatened and endemic species in the II FNRs is appended in Section IV.Part VII

employment may include engagement in boundary clearance as well as through new business oppmtu nities (e.g. shops, restaurants) following increased number visitors in these NRs.

127. In addition, the proposed commercial joint ventures (e.g. through beekeeping and butterfly farming) will further create both employment and revenue generation for local conununities in the area. Local communities will also benefit from various training and capacity building programs on entrepreneur development (e.g. on things such as commercial beekeeping, value additions, processing and packaging of non— timber forest products (TFP). These arrangements will inevitably create employment and generable substantial socio-economic benefits to target communities.

128. Furthermore, in order to secure livelihoods pruiicularly for vulnerable and marginalized members of forest adjacent communities, safeguards will be put in place to ensure equitable and sustainable sharing of benefits from FNRs. This will be done through a fomlal Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to be signed between the reserve and each adjacent village government. In line with UNDP policies and the government commitments to gender mainstreaming, attention will be placed on gender equity, and in particular to ensuring full pru-ticipation of women in consultations and decision making related to natural resource management and land-use planning processes that affect their livelihoods and welfare.

PROJECT GOAL, OBJECTIVE, OUTCOMES AND OUTPUTS/ACTIVITIES

129. The project objective is to:expand, financially secure and strengthen the management effectiveness of Tanzania's forest nature reserves network in response to the threats to their biodiversity.

130. In order to achieve the project objective, and address the barriers (see <u>Section I, Part D</u>, the project's intervention has been organised into two components (this is in line with the components presented at the PIF stage):

Component 1: Consolidating and improving the management of the FNR network

131. Work under this component is focused onimproving the legal status, planning, operations and governance of five new FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro and Uzungwa Scarp) and one existing FNR (Rungwe)so that they are better able toproactively respond to threats to, and pressures on, theirmtique biodiversity. It will also encourage a more consistent and cohesive approach to the planning and management of the new FNRs as an integral part of the broader network of FNRs.

Component 2: Strengthening the financial sustainabilit y of the FNR network

132. Work under this component is focused on enhancing the financial sustainability of the entire network of 11 FNRs to ensure that they incrementally develop the capacity (over the longer-term) to generate adequate financial resources to cover the full costs of their management.

133. The outputs and activities under each of the two components are described in more detail below.

Component !: Consolidating and improving the management of the FNR network

134. Work under component one will be comntitted to four key areas of project support in six targeted FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro, Uzungwa Scarp and Rungwe): (i) securing the conservation

status and boundaries of the six FNRs; (ii) supplementing the core staffing complement, infrastructure and equipment in the six FNRs; (iii) strengthening the governance of, and benefit sharing in, the six FNRs; and (iv) enhancing the capacity of the TFS to plan and administer thesix FNRs as an integral palt of the wider FNR network.

135. Outputs $I_{-}I_{-}$ 1.3 will support the gazetting and initial *in* siluoperationalization of five proposed new FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro and Uzungwa Scarp) and improving the operational capacity of one existing FNR (Rungwe). The outputs will collectively support the development of the following basic operating capacity in eachof the six targeted FNRs:

Basic FNR legal slatus. and operational	Proposed contribution of GEF-funding in upporting the
capacity to be effected by the project	establishment of this basic legal and operational capacity
1. The FNR is formally gazetted.	Legal procedures (DSA costs, advertising costs, legal costs).
2. The FNR has an approved overarching Reserve Management Plan that is operationalised annually through an Annual Plan of Operations.	Reserve Management Plan (professional support services, DSA, mapping, printing and fuel costs)
3. The boundaries of the FNR are surveyed.	Survey beacons (DSA costs, material costs, contract labour costs).
4. The boundaries of the FNR are demarcated.	Boundary clearing (contract labour costs@ USD lOO/km).
5. The entry points to the FNR are secured (where required) and signposted.	Gatesbooms and signage (design costs, material costs, contract labour costs).
6. The entry points to the FNR are signposted.	Entry signage (material and production costs, contract labour costs).
7. The FNR has a basic full¥time reserve staff complement (-8-12 staff).	None (TFS co-financing).
8. Individual FNR staff are adequately equipped.	Staff equipment (communications, overalls, boots, hats, binoculars, etc.@ max. ofUSIOOO/person and max of 12 staff/reserve).
9. The FNR has a basic transport capability.	Vehicles (I pickup/hardtop@ max of US\$40000/vehicle, 3 motorbikes @max of US\$5000/motorbike, fixed allocation of fuel costs).
IO. The FNR has a basic office complex (comprising 2 offices, meeting room, toilets and kitchen).	Building (architect, QS and building contractor costs, installation costs of water, power, phone, internet and waste disposal services, procurement of basic office furniture and computer/printing facililies @ max. of US\$80,000/office and US\$40,000 for office renovation).
II. The FNR has basic accommodation units for key staff (-2-3 staff).	Building (architect, QS and building contractor costs, installation costs of water, power, and waste disposal services @ max. of US\$40,000/house).
12. The FNR has at least 2 ranger outposts for forest ranger staff.	Ranger outpost (architect, QS and contractor costs, installation costs of water, power, and waste disposal services @ max. of US\$40,000/outpost).
13. The key roads in the FNR are all passable.	Road upgrade/maintenance (material and labour costs for reconstruction/repair of critical damaged sections, hire costs of grader, material and labour costs for installation of drainage points in key areas).
14. The FNR footpaths are all maintained and adequately signposted.	Footpath maintenance and signage (contract labour costs, material and production costs).

Table 11: Project contribution to FNR Legal and Operational Capacity

Basic FNR legal status and operational	Proposed contribution of GEF-funding in supporting the
capacity to be effected by the project	establishment of this basic legal and opel'ational capacity
IS. All households living in adjacent villages are	Communication and awareness-raising campaign (DSA, fuel costs,
informed about, and aware of, the conservation	material and production costs)
status, implications and potential benefits of the	
FNR.	
16. The FNR has concluded and signed a	Village-based MoUDSA and fuel costs
collaborative MOU with each adjacent village	
government.	
17. The FNR has a functional co-management	Reserve Committee (DSA, fuel costs)
structure in place, with representation of each	
adjacent village	
18. Adjacent villages are starting to derive	None (co-financing from TFS, MNRT, Tanzania Forest Fund,
tangible benefits from the existence of the FNR	EAMCEF, development partners)

136. It is envisaged that an improvement in operational capability will then enable reserve management to better understand, contain and reverse the detrimental effects of agricultural encroachments; wildfues; Jogging; wood harvesting; livestock; poaching; hunting; mitting; and spread of IAS on the conservation values of each reserve.

137. The proposed suite ofactivities, and broad implementation arrangements, for each of the four outputs are described in more detail below.

Output 1.1: The conservation status and boundaries of six FNRs are secured

138. All the preliminmy groundwork to gazette the five targeted new nature reserves (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro and Uzungwa Scarp)has been completed, including extensive consultations with the local (village, ward, district and regional) authorities and adjacent villages (see table of progress in theproclamation for each FNR the table under BatTier I in Section I. Part D³³. The documentation papers for these FNRs are all now in the process of being prepared and/or being processed by the ministerial Legal Officers. The project will thus provide logistical supp01t to the ministerial Legal Officers in the: (a) drafting of all Declaration Orders (DO); (b) submission of each draft Declaration Order to the Attorney General's Chambers for vetting; (c) securing of the Ministers signature of each vetted Declaration Order; and (d) publislting of the Government Notice (GN) declaration for each FNR in the Government Gazette (GG).

139. As each of these five proposed FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro, Uzungwa Scarp) is officially gazetted³⁴, the following activities will then be unde1taken in each FNR:

- (i) Update the existing Reserve Management Plan(RMPs) -Chome, Magamba, Mkingu and Rungwe.
- (ii) Prepare a new RMP Uzungwa Scarp and Minziro.

³³ Rungwe has already been gazetted, but is not yet fully operationalised.

JlActivities will start immed iately in this FNR, as it is already gazetted.

- (iii) Within the broad planning framework of the RMP, suppmt the iterative development of key subsidiary plans³⁵ (e.g. lAS control programme, Integrated Fire Management Plan, Enforcement and Compliance programme).
- (iv) Relocate all surveyed reserve boundary markers (typically concrete beacons or stone cairns) and, as required, replace or repair any thissing markers.
- (v) Regularly maintain a boundary firebreak, footpath or bmshcut strips (where the boundary is not already defined by some other permanent feature, such as a road) in order to clearly demarcate the reserve borders.
- (vi) Install boom gates at selected control entry points, as required.
- (vii) Design, produce and install signage at all reserve entry points and along the reserve boundaries.

140. The table below provides an overview of the indicative extent and nature of GEF support to be provided under this output for each of the targeted FNRs.

Table 12: Extent and Nature o		Support for the ta	rgetea FNRs	uuaer Outpi	utl.l	
Basic FNR legal status	Cbome	Magamba	Mklngo	. Mlnziro	Uzungwa	Rungwe
aild operatiOnal capacity					Scarp	
to be effected by the				.*		
project			٠			
I. The FNR is formally	Drafting of DO-	Drafting of DO	Drafting of I	DO-vetting of	DO-signing	None
gazetted.	vetting of DO-	- vetting of DO -	of DO-public	cation ofGN i	n GG	
	signing of DO-	signing of DO -				
	publication of GN	publication of				
	inGG	GNinGG				
2. The FNR has an	Update RMP +	Update RMP +	Update	Draft new	Draft new	Update
approved overarching	subsidiary plans	subsidiary plans	RMP +	RMP +	RMP +	RMP +
Reserve Management Plan			subsidiary	subsidiary	subsidiary	subsidiary
(RMP).			plans	plans	plans	plans
3. Surveyed boundary	Relocate and replace	e or repair, as requir	ed			
markers are in place.						
4. The boundaries of the		82kmof	142km of	80kmof	126km of	164km of
FNR are demarcated.	67km of clearing	clearing	clearing	clearing	clearing	clearing
5. The entry points to the			e	6	0	Ũ
FNR are secured (where		2 gates	3 gates	2 gates	3 gates	2 gates
required).	5 gales	2 Sucos	5 guies	2 gutes	5 guies	2 gales
1 /						
6. The entry points to, and		10 .	o .	10 .	10 .	10
boundaries of, the FNR	8 signs	10 signs	8 signs	10 signs	12 signs	12 signs
are signposted.						

Table 12: Extent and Nature o Support for the targeted FNRs under Outputl.

³⁵ Subsidiary plans for the reserve will provide a bridge between the broad strategic direction provided for in the RMP and the detailed, specific actions taken in the Annual Plan of Operation (APO). Subsidiary plans may deal with complex, technical, and sometimes controversial issues that often require a level of detail and thorough analysis beyond that appropriate for the Slrategic Plan (Note: the subsidiary 'Tourism Plan' for each FNR will be developed under Output 2.1 below).

141. The Survey and Mapping Depmtment in the Ministty of Lands, Housing and Human Settlements will provide technical support to the Conservators in the *in situ* surveying and mapping of the FNRs.

142. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with the MNRT and TFS, provide financial and technical suppmt to the MNRT Legal Unit in the drafting of the DO's and the preparation of GN's for publishing in the GG. The Project Coordinator will also, in consultation with the relevant TFS Zonal Offices and FNRs Conservators : a) procure all resetve signage and other materials (e.g. boundmy markers, gate booms, slashers, chainsaws, axes); and (b) contract an international protected area planning consortium to provide specialist 'backstopping' to FNRs in the preparation of the RMP (and linked subsidiary plans) in each FNR.

143. Each FNR Conservator will source, contract and overseelabour from local communities in the clem-ing of the reserve boundaries and installation of all entry and boundary signage.

144. The TFS will make provision in the annual operational budget of each FNR for the costs of the ongoing clearing of the reserve boundaries and regular maintenance of gates and signage.

Output 1.2: The core staffing complement, infrastructure and equipment of six FNRs is in place

145. Work under this output is focused on addressingthe critical infrastmctural and equipment needs in the six targeted FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro, Uzungwa Scarp and Rungwe). GEF funds will be used to support the: (a) basic equipping of reserve management staff; (b) renovation and construction of key reserve infrastructure; (c)installation of basic setvices for all staff accommodation and administration facilities; and (d) procurement and installation of critical reserve vehicles.

146. The specific activities to be undertaken in this output will include the following:

- (i) For each forest ranger that is appointed, paid and deployed by the TFS in the targeted FNRs, procure the requisite uniform (e.g. boots, overalls, hats, insignia) and other basic safety equipment (e.g. communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, first aid supplies).
- (ii) Procure an off-roadhard topor pickup tmck", and 125-250cc off-road motorcycles, for each of the targeted FNRs.
- (iii) As required, renovate and refurbish existing ranger outposts and administrative offices (including the upgrading/addition of buildings, supply of potable water, generation of power, provision of sewage and waste treatment systems and basic furnishing and equipping of outposts) in the targeted FNRs.
- (iv) As required, construct new ranger outposts and administrative offices (including offices, storage area, garage, workshop, supply of potable water, generation of power, provision of sewage and waste treatment systems and basic fumishing and equipping of outposts) in the targeted FNRs.

³⁶ Equipped with extra fuel tank, bullbar, winch, tow bar and spotlights.

- (v) Maintain/upgrade the key primary roads traversing the FNRs, including *inter alia* the following actions: filling ruts and holes; resurfacing; grading; water control (e.g. culverts, drains); and replacing/installing road signage.
- (vi) Maintain/upgrade the main footpath network in each FNR, including *inter alia* the following actions: clearing of overhanging vegetation; resurfacing; repairing steps; water control (drainage); and replacing/installing footpath signage.

147. The table below provides an overview of the <u>indicative</u> extent and nature of GEF suppm1 to be provided under this output for each of the targeted FNRs.

	ni unu Nuiure	Supplierjor	the targetea FN	ins under Or		-
Basic FNR legal status and operational capacity to be effected by the pro.iect	Chome I	Magamba	Mklngo	Mlnzh∙o	Uzungwa Scarp	Rungwe
8. Individual FNR staff are adeQuately eQuipped.	12	8	12	8	8	12
9. The FNR has a basic transport capability.	3M/bikes	1 off-road vehicle 3M/bikes	1 off-road vehicle 3M/bikes	1 off-road vehicle 2M/bikes	1 off-road vehicle 2 Mlbikes	1 off-road vehicle 3 Mlbikes
10. The FNR has a basic office complex (comprising 2 offices, meeting room, toilets and kitchen) ³⁷ .	1	Renovation/ expansion	Renovation <i>I</i> expansion	1	1	1
11. The FNR has basic accommodation units for key staff(-2-3 staff).		Renovation -	-	2	2	-
12. The FNR has at least 2 ranger outposts for forest ranger staff.	3	2	3	2	2	3
13. The key roads in the FNR are all passable.	20km	13km	30km	25km	30km	40km
14. The FNR footpaths are all maintained and adequately signposted.	50km (20 signs)	30km (15 signs)	25km (15 signs)	35km (15 signs)	40km (20 signs)	50km (20 signs)

Table 13: Extent and Nature f Suppm $\cdot t$ for the targeted FNRs under Output 1.2

148. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with the Tanzania Buildings Agency (TBA) and the TFS, contract locally orregionally-sourced mchitecturalfcivil engineering firms to project-manage the **planning, construction and/or services installation for the office complexes, accomodation units, ranger** outposts and road maintenance in the six reserves. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with the relevant TFS Zonal Offices and FNRs Conservators, also procure the requisite vehicles, staff equipment and roadffootpath signage for each of the reserves.

149. For each reserve where construction works me underway, the affected Conservator will: a) attend all site meetings; b) monitor and control the contracted architecturaUengineering firm and their sub-contractors; c) oversee the implementation of all environmental controls; and d) recommend the approval of phased payments for work completed. Each FNR Conservator will also source, contract and oversee

³⁷ Offices may be designed to enable future expansion (e.g. using a modular design) as additional funds become available.

- (iii) For each formalised village-based MOU in each FNR, assist the reserve management and the village government in the planning of, and fund-raising for, the implementation of livelihood development opportunities that are explicitly identified in these MOUs.
- (iv) For each targeted FNR that has been gazetted, establish a formal joint co-management structure" that can *illler alia*: facilitate broader community and local government participation in the reserve management decision-making; agree on reserve-wide regulations required to control community access to the reserve's natural resources; collectively enforce tenure and natural resource use agreements between the community and reserve management; and provide an accessible and transparent dispute-resolution mechanism.

153. The Extension Services and Publicity Unit of the Directorate of Resource Management in TFS will work closely with the Zonal Office and relevant FNR in the development and implementation of the communication, awareness-raising and information-sharing activities under this output.

154. Each FNR Conservator will, with the support of the Zonal Office and TFS Legal Services Unit, then negotiate and conclude MOU's with the different village governments immediately proximate to the FNR. The Conservator will, in collaboration with the Project Coordinator, further facilitate and support the process of planning and fund-raising for key livelihood development opportunities linked to each MOU. Finally, the Conservator will lead the process of constituting the consolidated Reserve Advisory Committee (or similar) and ensuring that regular meetings of the committee are hosted in the reserve.

155. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with TFS and UNDP, agree on the nature, type and scale of financial support for this output.

Output 1.4: Tile capacity of tile TFS to plan and manage the six FNRs, as part of awider network of FNRs, is improved

156. As a newly established executive agency, TFS is still in the very early stages of institutional reform and organisational change. Work under this output will, as an integral part of thismuch larger reform and change process in TFS, seek to contribute to improving the capacity of TFS to better administer a more cohesive network of forest protected areas FNRs. Under this output, GEF funds will be used to suppmt: improving the knowledge and skills base of FNR staff; establishing and maintaining a consolidated FNR database; and establishing a collaborative information-sharing fomm for the network of FNRs.

157. The specific activities to be undertaken in this output will include the following:

(i) Facilitate a skills development and training program for targeted FNR staff and for TFS staff providing technical and professional support services to the FNRs. This may include *inter alia:* professional shmt-courses; staff exchange/mentoring partnerships with counterpart national conservation agencies; and part-time studies. The following skills and knowledge will be targeted: business planning and financial management; advanced enforcement techniques; integrated fire management; integrated IAS control; community engagement and conflict

³⁹ This stTUcture may take the form of a Reserve Advisory Committee or Reserve Management Advisory Committee or similar.

local labour and local service providers in the:ongoing upgrade and maintenance of the network of roads and footpaths; and installation of the road and footpath signage.

150. The TFS will select and appoint an essential staff complement in each FNR. It will also ensure that office complexes, staff accommodation and ranger outposts are adequately equipped to ensure their functionality. Finally, TFS will make provision in the annual operational budgets of each FNR for the running costs of vehicles and the recurrent maintenance costs of reserve infrastructure (buildings, roads, footpaths and signage).

Output 1.3The governance of, and benefit sharing in, six FNRs is strengthened

151. This output will initially focus on raising the awareness in surrounding communities of the need to conserve, and the importance of protecting, the remaining fragments of the high forests being targeted for gazetting as FNRs. With the iterative recognition in these communities of the intrinsic value of these high forests, work under this output will then shift to collaboratively identifying potential opportunities to improve the livelihoods of those communities from the conservation and non-extractive use of the FNRs. As cooperative relationships are developed with affected local cmmnunities, the output will then seek to formalise a separate working agreement (typically in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding [MOU]) between the reserve and each adjacent village government. Activities under this output may also further seek to facilitate the implementation of these agreements, notably in respect of development opportunities for 'beneficiation' from the conservation and use of the reserve(e.g. employment; revenue sharing; rental income; capacity building; joint ventures in tourism development; equity partnerships in **private sector tourism concessions; access/traversing rights; non-extractive resource use; preferential** contracting; and participation in management decision-making). Finally, work under this output will suppmt the establishment and functioning of an 'umbrella' co-management.

152. The specific activities to be undeltaken in this output will include the following:

For each FNR in the process of being gazetted, supput the development and implementation of a communication and information-sharing programue in the adjacent villages.

- (i) For each FNR in the process of being gazetted, support the development and presentation of informational 'road shows' to the district and regional government administrations about their proclamation, and the potential benefits of their establishment for local economic development.
- (ii) For each targeted FNR that has been gazetted, suppmt the negotiation, drafting and formalisation of an MOU³⁸ between the FNR and each adjacent village government

³⁸ This MOU will need to conform with the national guidelines for JMAs, but may include describing *inter alia*: (a) the transition arrangements for the village communities during the 'grace period', includingscale and extent of forest access and use by communities and spatial use zonation of the reserve; (b) the respective roles and responsibilities of the village government and the reserve management in the conservation and use of the reserve; (c) the distribution of benefits to the village deriving from the current and future conservation and use of the gazetted FNR; and (d) the nature and extent of involvement of the village community in the cooperative governance of the reserve.

141. The Survey and Mapping Department in the Ministty of Lands, Housing and Human Settlements will provide technical support to the Conservators in the *in situ* surveying and mapping of the FNRs.

142. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with the MNRT and TFS, provide financial and technical suppmt to the MNRT Legal Unit in the drafting of the DO's and the preparation of ON's for publishing in the GO. The Project Coordinator will also, in consultation with the relevant TFS Zonal Offices and FNRs Conservators : a) procure all reserve signage and other materials (e.g. boundary markers, gate booms, slashers, chainsaws, axes); and (b) contract an international protected area planning consortium to provide specialist 'backstopping' to FNRs in the preparation of the RMP (and linked subsidimy plans) in each FNR.

143. Each FNR Conservator will source, contract and overseelabour from local communities in the clearing of the reserve boundaries and installation of all entry and boundary signage.

144. The TFS will make provision in the annual operational budget of each FNR for the costs of the ongoing clearing of the reserve boundaries and regular maintenance of gates and signage.

Output 1.2: The core staffing complement, infrastmcture and equipment of six FNRs is in place

145. Work under this output is focused on addressingthe critical infrastructural and equipment needs in the six targeted FNRs (Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Minziro, Uzungwa Scarp and Rungwe). GEF funds will be used to support the: (a) basic equipping of reserve management staff; (b) renovation and construction of key reserve infrastructure; (c)installation of basic services for all staff accommodation and administration facilities; and (d) procurement and installation of critical reserve vehicles.

146. The specific activities to be undertaken in this output will include the following:

- (i) For each forest ranger that is appointed, paid and deployed by the TFS in the targeted FNRs, procure the requisite uniform (e.g. boots, overalls, hats, insignia) and other basic safety equipment (e.g. communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, first aid supplies).
- Procure an off-roadhard topor pickup trock³⁶,and125-250cc off-road motorcycles, for each of the targeted FNRs.
- (iii) As required, renovate and refurbish existing ranger outposts and administrative offices (including the upgrading/addition of buildings, supply of potable water, generation of power, provision of sewage and waste treatment systems and basic furnishing and equipping of outposts) in the targeted FNRs.
- (iv) As required, construct new ranger outposts and administrative offices (including offices, storage area, garage, workshop, supply of potable water, generation of power, provision of sewage and waste treatment systems and basic fumishing and equipping of outposts) in the targeted FNRs.

³⁶ Equipped with extra fuel tank, bullbar, winch, tow bar and spotlights.

- (v) Maintain/upgrade the key primmy roads traversing the FNRs, including *illler alia* the following actions: filling ruts and holes; resurfacing; grading; water control (e.g. culverts, drains); and replacing/installing road signage.
- (vi) Maintain/upgrade the main footpath network in each FNR, including *inter alia* the following actions: clearing of overhanging vegetation; resurfacing; repairing steps; water control (drainage); and replacing/installing footpath signage.

147. The table below provides an overview of the indicative extent and nature of GEF support to be provided under this output for each of the targeted FNRs.

Basic FNR legal status and operational capacity .to be effected by the pro.fect	Chome	Magamba	Mklngo	Minziro	Uzungwa Scarp	Rungwe.
8. Individual FNR staff are adequately equipped.	12	8	12	8	8	12
9. The FNR has a basic transport capability.	3 Mlbikes	l off-road vehicle 3 Mlbikes	1 off-road vehicle 3 Mlbikes	l off-road vehicle 2 Mlbikes	1 off-road vehicle 2 Mlbikes	1 off-road vehicle 3 Mlbikes
10. The FNR has a basic office complex (comprising 2 offices, meeting room, toilets and kitchen) ³⁷ .	Ι	Renovation/ expansion	Renovation <i>I</i> expansion	Ι	1	Ι
11. The FNR has basic accommodation units for key staff(-2-3 staff).	-	Renovation	-	2	2	-
12. The FNR has at least 2 ranger outposts for forest ranger staff.	3	2	3	2	2	3
13. The key roads in the FNR are all passable.	20km	l3km	30km	25km	30km	40km
14. The FNR footpaths are all maintained and adequately signposted.	SOkm (20 signs)	30km (15 signs)	25km (15 signs)	35km (IS signs)	40km (20 signs)	SOkm (20 signs)

Table 13: Extent and Nahlre of Support for the targeted FNRs under Output 1.2

148. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with the Tanzania Buildings Agency (TBA) and the TFS, contract locally orregionally-sourced architectural/civil engineering firms to project-manage the planning, construction and/or services installation for the office complexes, accomodation units, ranger outposts and road maintenance in the six reserves. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with the relevant TFS Zonal Offices and FNRs Conservators, also procure the requisite vehicles, staff equipment and road/footpath signage for each of the reserves.

149. For each reserve where construction works are underway, the affected Conservator will: a) attend all site meetings; b) monitor and control the contracted architectural/engineering firm and their sub-contractors; c) oversee the implementation of all environmental controls; and d) recommend the approval of phased payments for work completed. Each FNR Conservator will also source, contract and oversee

³⁷ Offices may be designed to enable future expansion (e.g. using a modular design) as additional funds become available.

resolution; monitoring state of ecosystem health; basic vehicle maintenance; footpath and road maintenance; management planning; and nature-based tourism.

 (ii) Establish, maintain and host an electronic spatial and non-spatial database for the network of FNRs. Tllis may include the following actions:

Identify and prioritise the critical information needed to support the planning and management of FNRs.

Source and validate existing electronic (GIS, spreadsheets, images, etc.) or hard copy (maps, reports, tables, etc.) FNR-related information.

Convert hard copy information (wherever this is practicable and cost-effective) into an electronic format.

Design and establish a simple electronic information management system to facilitate the storage, retrieval and analysis of FNR data.

Support the acquisition of the institutionally compatible hardware and software required to host the electronic information management system.

Establish data access and data maintenance protocols for FNR information.

Integrate the informat ion management system for FNRs into the broader institutional information systems within the TFS and MNRT.

(iii) Establish and maintain a collaborative FNR working forum in which TFS staff, counterpat1 staff from other protected area agencies, NGOs/CBOs, research institutions and development partners can regularly meet to discuss issues related to the planning and management of FNRs.

158. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with TFS, contract an *information management system specialist* to provide technical support to the TFS in establishing an information management system for FNRs. They will also review the deliverables and approve scheduled payments of the contract. The Project will, in collaboration with the Conservators and Zonal offices, facilitate access to specific skjlls development and training opportunities for targeted FNR staff. Finally, the Project Coordinator will, in consultation with TFS, facilitate the constitution, hosting and logistical arrangements for the meetings of the FNR workjng fomm.

159. The information management system specialist will be responsible for working with designated TFS staff in: identifying the scope of information needs; developing data and information collection methodologies; collating existing and new information; converting information into electronic datasets; designing and establislling an electronic information management system; identifying hardware, software and networkjng requirements; developing data access and maintenance protocols; and training at least 2 staff members from TFS in GIS, geospatial database administration, non-spatial data management and applications development. The Project Coordinator will consult with jnternal and external stakeholders in order to: source, collate and validate existing information; collect, or facilitate the collection of, new information as required. The TFS will install software, hardware and networking as required and maintain the information management system. The specialist will work closely with the designated TFS staff, and report regularly to the Project Coordinator on progress.

Component 2: Strengthening the financial sustaillability of the FNR network

160. Work under component two will be focused around three key areas of project support: (i) facilitating public-private partnerships in the commercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs (Output 2.1); (ii) marketing the destinations, attractions, facilities and services of FNRs (Output 2.2); and (iii) implementing other income-generating activities in targeted FNRs (Output 2.3).

161. The proposed suite of activities, and broad implementation arrangements, for each of the three outputs are described in more detail below.

Output 2.1: The commercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs is implemelled though public-private partnerships (PPP).

162. This output will support the implementation of large-scale tourismconcessioning(andlor leasing)processes in FNRs, throughpublic-private partnerships.Successfultourism concessions/leasesin FNRs willneed to be characterised by: the requisite competencies in TFS to administer the concession contracts and leases; the equitable selection of financially efficient and experienced **concessionaires/lessees; a portion of the income frmn tourism user feesis paid by the concessionaire/lessee** for funding conservation management of FNRs; the environmental impacts of concessioned/leased tourism facilities and services are minimized; andtangible social and economic benefitsare derived for local **communities from concessions/leases.**

163. The main elements of commercial tourism concessions/lease development that will be supported under this output include: (i) identifying the viable large-scale commercial tourism and recreational development opportunities in FNRs; (ii) describing how thesetonrism concession/lease oppmtunities in FNRs are defined, structured, priced and brought to the market; (iii) developing the internal capacity of TFS to plan and administer a concessioningleasing process; (iv) facilitating local community involvement **in, and beneficiation from, tourism concessions/lease processes; (v) soliciting tourism development** proposals, and selecting and appointing suitable tourism operators; and (vi) managing tourism **concession/lease contracts, once an operator is in place.**

164. The specific activities to be undertaken in tllis output will include the following:

- (i) Prepare, in consultation with a wide range of stakeholders, asubsidiary *Tourism Development Plan* for each FNR (see Output 1.1 above)
- (ii) Identify (by location, type and scale) a suite of prospective large-scale tourism development opportunities in FNRs that could be administered under a PPP modality.Define a smaller sub-set of tourism development oppmtunities (2-4 oppmtunities) for a first phase ('phase I') of the concess10nm² iel smg process⁴⁰.
- (iii) Develop a TFS Tourism Concessions Manual⁴¹that outlines all aspects of the procurement stages, including issuing requests for proposals, choosing bidders and negotiations with preferred bidders. The TFS Tourism Concessions Manual will also include all relevant procurement

Potential opportunities that were preliminarily identified during project preparation included: a cableway linking Morogoro to Ulugum peak in Uluguru FNR; a five star lodge and tented camp at an old sawmill site in Magamba FNR; a breakaway conference facility and accomodation at Amani FNR; and treetops accomodation, forest canopy boardwalks and forest zipline at Uzungwa Scarp and Kilombero FNRs. ⁴¹ The TFS Tourism Concessions Manual for FNRs may be adapted from the recently revised TANAPA 'Development Action

⁴¹ The TFS Tourism Concessions Manual for FNRs may be adapted from the recently revised TANAPA 'Development Action Lease Procedures' manual for Tanzania's National Parks (1995, as updated).

documentation, including templates for Expressions of Interest (EO!), Tender guidelines, Tender prospectuses, Requests for Proposals (RFP), Scoring systems, and Contracts. It will specifically **ensure conformance with all national PPP, environmental, procurement and tourism legislation,** regulations and plans.

- (iv) Facilitate a skills development and training program for the professional staff in TFS who will be directly responsible for implementation of the procurement, administration and management of tourism concessions/leases. This may include *inter alia*: specialised training programmes; shortcourses; mentoring; and inter-institutional exchange programs.
- (v) Prepare an Investment Prospectus for 'phase I' tourism development opportunities, for distribution to prospective investors. The investment prospectus mayprovide:contextual information on the relevant FNR, including infrastmeture, communities and a map of physical features; a description of the type and nature of tourism concessioning-leasing opportunity; the duration, rights and conditions of the concession-lease contract for each discrete invetsment opportunity; and the proposed tender submission date and tender fees.
- (vi) Establish a '!-stop shop' in TFS to guide and assist prospective investors through the 'phase I' concessioning/lease processes.
- (vii) Administer the TFS tourism concession procedures for 'phase I', including: inviting Expression of Interest (EOI); short-listing bidders; inviting submission of full proposals; evaluating proposals; and negotiating contracts with prefered bidders.
- (viii) Facilitate and support the beneficiation of local communities (see also Output 1.3) with a special focus on women from their involvement in commercial tourism concessions/leases, including:use of community-based tour guides; sub-contracting of community-based businesses; procurement of locally produced supplies; training and skills development; direct employment of individuals from local communities; pmticipation in the ownership of tourism enterprise; and investment in upgrading local services (water, roads, electricity).
- (ix) Oversee the construction and operational phase of each tourism concession/lease contract once the operator is in place.
- (x) Improve the state of reserve access (notably the road network) to facilitate the commercial viability of each concession.

165. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with the TFS management team, contract an international company or corporation", specialising in nature-based tourism development and tourism PPP transactions, to provide professional and technical 'backstopping support' to the TFS in the implementation of its tourism concessioning and/or leasing processes in FNRs. This support will include: preparation of theindividual Tourism Plans in FNRs; development of a TFS Tourism Concessions Manual; skills development and training for TFS staff; preparation of the Investment Prospectus; drafting of EOIs and RFPs for tourism concession opportunities;and independent auditing of the procurement processes. The consortium will also assist the TFS in implementing an institutional (TFS, MNRT and other government agencies) and broader (e.g. NGO's, private sector, communities) consultation process to guide the iterative

⁴² For example, the International Finance Corporation (IFC).

formulation of the tourism plans and tourism concessions manual. The consortium will report directly to the TFS management team.

166. TFS will provide the lead in establishing and managing a centralised '!-stop shop' for prospective commercial tourism investors. The affected FNR conservators will facilitate and suppmtoptimising the **beneficiation of local communities in commercial tourism concessions/leases. Theaffected FNR** conservators will also, with the suppmt of the relevant Zonal office, be responsible for administering the on- site contractual requirements of the tourism operators during the constituction and operational phase of **tourism concessions/leases. Finally, the affected Conservators will identify the concessionaire requirements** for improving access to the FNR, and liaise with the district council/s about the most cost-effective means to upgrade or rehabilitate the access road/s.

Output 2.2: The destinations, attractions, facilities and services in FNRs are effectively marketed to target audiences

167. This output will focus on improving the branding and marketing of the different FNR products and services, with the overall objective of increasing the number of day and overnight visitors to the reserves.

- 168. The specific activities to be undertaken in this output will include the following:
 - (i) Create a branded visual 'identity' (including: logo; slogan; images; colours; fonts; etc.)⁴³ for FNRs.
 - (ii) Incorporate the FNR visual 'identity' into all FNR communication and marketing materials (e.g. signage, uniforms, letterheads, brochures, presentation folders, stickers, fact sheets, website, advertising material, entry tickets, etc.).
 - (iii) Prepare a basic marketing strategy, and phased work programme, for implementation across the FNR network.
 - (iv) Update, and produce new, printed FNR brochures, pamphlets and information sheets.
 - (v) Distribute key printed marketing materials to all Tanzania Tourist Board (TTB) offices and Tanzania Tourism and Travel outlets.
 - (vi) Design, develop and maintain a FNR website that provides contextual information on, and markets the different tourism products and services available in, FNRs.
 - (vii) Facilitate communications, awareness-raising and information-sharing opportumtles using different media (television, radio, magazines, newspapers, Twitter, Facebook, etc.).
 - (viii) Link the FNR website to the TTB and other local tourism and travel websites.
 - (ix) Host site-based day and overnight visits to FNRs for targeted tour operators, hotel chains and tourism agencies/associations in order to show them the range of attractions, facilities and services available in the reserves.
 - (x) In partnership with the Tanzania Roads Agency (TANROADS), design and install standardised directional signage to the reserves from national and regional roads.

169. The Project Coordinator will, in collaboration with TFS, retain the services of a national marketing and conununications agency to assist and support the TFS in the branding and marketing of FNRs.

⁴³ The unique FNR branding will be subsumed under the institutional identity of the TFS.

170. The marketing and communications agency will, under the strategic guidance of TFS (primarily through the Zonal offices and FNRs): develop avisual identity for FNRs; prepare a marketing strategy and plan for FNRs; design/print/publish marketing materials for FNRs; maintain a liaison with the TTB, tour **operators, hotel chains and tourism agencies/associations;facilitate ongoingmedia communications; and** design and developa FNR website. It will facilitate any stakeholder consultation processes required in the development of the FNR branding. Finally, it will provide marketing and communications training, skills development and mentorship to at least 2 TFS-designated staff during the term of the retainer contract.

171. The TFS will host, maintain and regularly update the FNR website once it is launched. The TFS will also work closely with TANROADS in the design and installation of directional signage to the FNRs.

172. The FNR Conservators will arrange the logistics for, and host, the day and ovemight visits to FNRs by local tour operators, hotel chains and tourism agencies.

Olllplll 2.3: Other income-generating activities in targeted FNRs are identified and tested.

173. This output will focus on assessing the feasibility of a range of different funding mechanisms/tools for FNRs. Based on the results of this assessment, it will identify set of key actions that will be required to mobilize financial resources for, and build financial capacity in, FNRs. A medium-term Financial Plan will be developed to provide the strategic framework for the prioritized implementation of these key actions. Based on preliminary work undertaken during the preparatory phase, this output will also finance the implementation of a number of potential income-generating opportunities in order to assess the viability of these funding mechanisms.

174. The specific activities to be undeltaken in this output will include the following:

- (i) Review and select the most appropriate mechanisms to improve revenue streams for FNRs. This may include: (a) increasing the current income from conventional financial sources (e.g. government grants, entry fees, user fees, fines, development partner funding, tmst funds); (b) developing new funding sources (e.g. tourism/recreation concessions⁴⁴, joint commercial ventures, biodiversity offsets, PES, carbon funds, special events, bioprospecting patents); and/or (c) improving cost-efficiencies (e.g. centralization of common functions, eliminating duplication, outsourcing functions; improving service delive1y, public-private-conunuity-NGO partnerships, 'smart card' system for local visitors/users).
- (ii) Identify and describe the critical activities that would be required to; (a) improve the current levels of investment in FNRs; (b) mobilize additional financial resources for FNRs; (c) strengthen financial management systems in the FNR network; and (d) improve business planning capabilities in the FNR network.
- (iii) Prepare a medium term Financial Plan that establishes lines of strategic action to mobilize financial resources and build the financial capacity of the FNR network.
- (iv) Enter into a joint commercial venture (i.e.community-reserve) to:

⁴⁴ Including lessons learnt from implementing Output 2.1.

- a. Establish and maintain apiaries on the borders of the FNRs located in the country's high producing areas in order to collect, bottle, label and dish-ibute FNR-community brandedmontanehoney and beeswax;
- b. Establish and maintain butterfly farms on the borders of FNRs to collect, farm, transport and exp011 FNR-conununity branded butterfly pupae to butterfly exhibitors (refer to http://www.amanibutterflyproject.org/farming.htm for further information).
- (v) Provide afund raising service that will supp011TFS and FNRs in: identifying projects for external funding; targeting potential funders for these projects; preparing detailed funding proposals; liaising with different development pm1ners and other prospective funders; and building working partnerships with development partners and other prospective funders.
- (vi) Assess the feasibility of including a voluntmy or compulsmy'conservation levy*⁴⁵ in the municipal water authority charges for water supply from natural forested catclunent areas that are under some form of active conservation management⁴⁶.

175. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with the TFS, contracta financial planning fum to: (a) prepare the Financial Management Plan for FNRs; (b) assist in implementing fund-raising activities for FNRs;(c) prepare business plans for joint venture beekeeping and butterfly farming projects in FNRs; and (d) assess the feasibility of levying a conservation fee on municipal water charges. The Project Coordinator will, in consultation with the TFS management team, also facilitate access to specialized skills development and training in butterfly farming, beekeeping and business management for targeted FNR staff and community members.

176. The Bee Reserves and Apiaries Division of TFS will provide technical support to FNRs in the establishment and management of apiaries in FNRs.

177. The FNR Conservators will, with the technical and professional support of the Bee Reserves and Apiaries Division of TFS and the contracted financial planning firm, facilitate the conclusion of joint venture agreements with communities (as part of the village-based MOUs – see Output 1.3 above) and administer the <u>in</u> situ establishment and management of honey and beeswax production and butterfly farming operations. The Conservators and communities may opt to employ a dedicated management team, NGO, NPO or private service provider (from the proceeds of the commercial operations) to administer the commercial ventures in each FNR⁴⁷.

INDICATORS AND RISKS

178. The project indicators are detailed in the <u>Strategic Results Framework</u> which is attached in Section II of this Project Document.

179. Project risks and risk mitigation measures are described below.

⁴⁵ For re-investment back into the conservation management of catchment forests.

 ⁴⁶ 111e project will also assess the efficacy of the current pilot PES arrangement between the Tanga Water Authority and nine villages in and around Amani FNR.
 ⁴⁷ By example the NGO TFCG currently administers the butterfly farming around Amani FNR (30% of income is for the

⁴⁷ By example the NGO TFCG currently administers the butterfly farming around Amani FNR (30% of income is for the management of the operation and 70% is distributed to the beneficiary communities)

IDENTIFIED RISKS	IMPACT		RISK	MITIGATIO:-1 MEASURES
AND CATEGORY	IMPACI	LIKELIHOOD	ASSESSMENT	
ENVIRONMENTAL Local communi ties living in and around the reserves conflict with TFS over restrictions on their access to, and use of, land and natural resources in FNRs. This connieIn turn leads to a significant increase in theillegal clearance of, and unsustainable levels of harvesting of natural resources from, FNRs by these communities.	HIGH	MODERATELY LIKELY		Significant efforts have already been made (and being sustained) – particularly by the MNRT, the TFS and a number of NGOs, with the active support of development partners and trnsts-to raise awareness levels in local communities on the need to actively conserve and protect the biodiversity represented in the network of FNRs. 111e fact that the forests of many of the existing, and proposed, FNRs are still largely intact, is testament to the efficacy of these awareness-raising initiatives. As the process of gazetting the outstanding FNRs unfolds during project implementation (Output 1.1),the project willfurther support TFS in the implementation of a commUnication and information-sharing programme in all the adjacent villages of each FNR (Output 1.3). Each communication and information-sharing programme will seek to informaffected communities about the proclamation of the new FNRs, and the potential benefits of FNR status for community development and livelihoods. In eachFNR, the developing relationships with communities sisten being further formalised in the negotiation and signature of an MOU between each affected village government and the FNR. This MOUseeks to <i>describeinter alia</i> : (a) the transitionalaccess and use arrangements provided for village households; (b) the respective roles and responsibilities of the village governance of the reserve; c) c) the distribution of benefits to the village deriving from the current and future conservation and use of the reserve; and (d) the nature and extent of involvement of the village community in the cooperative governance of the reserves. Some MOUs are already under negotiation, or in some cases have already been concluded (e.g. Amani), in the gazetted FNRs. However negotiation and formalisation of MOUs with each affected village government across the five new FNRs (Output 1.3).

Table 14: Project Risks and Mitigation Measures

IDENTIFIED RISKS	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	RISK ASSESSMENT	M.JTJGATION MEASURES
				livelihood development opportunities that are explicitly identified in these MOUs (Output 1.3). More specifically, the project will support the implementation of commercial joint ventures between the private sector, TFS and communities in the provision of large-scale tourism enterprises in FNRs(Output 2.1) and commercial bee and butterfly farming (Output 2.3).
				 Finally, the project will facilitate the establishment of a formal joint co-management structure in the targeted FNRs (Output 1.3) that can <i>inter alia:</i> facilitate broader community and local government participation in the reserve management decision-making; agree on reserve-wide regulations required to control community access to the reserve's natural resources; collectively enforce tenure and natural resource use agreements between the community and reserve management; and provide an accessible and transparent dispute-resolution mechanism. Collectively it is envisaged that these activities will improve the cooperative collaboration with, and iterative 'buy-in' from, communities living in villages adjacent to (or within FNRs.
FINANCIAL The Government and TFS do not commit adequate resources and funding to significantly improve the management effectiveness of FNRs. This may, in tum, limit the interest of the private sector in investing in large-scale tourism concessions in FNRs.	MODERATE	MODERATELY LIKELY		The project outputs have been identified, and project activities developed, in close collaboration with the MNRT and the TFS in order to incrementally build on the existing foundation of financial resources and institutional capacities. Careful attention has been paid in project design to improving the long-term financial sustainability of the FNRs so that sufficient funding remains available for their ongoing conservation management. The project will support the preparation of a financial plan for FNRs (Output 2.3). This financial plan will provide the overarching strategic framework for improving cost efficiencies, increasing revenue streams, strengthening financial management systems, and improving business planning capabilities in the FNRs. The project will then support the implementation of key elements of the financial plan, as follows:
				 Facilitating public-private partnerships in the commercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs (Output 2.1); Developing the branding for, and expanding the scope and range of the marketing of, FNRs and their unique tourism and recreational products and services (Output 2.2);
				Entering into joint conmlercial ventures with village

IDENTIFIED RisKS AND CATEGORY	Ill!pact	LtKELIIJOOD	RISK ASSF.sSMI NT	MITIGATION MEASURF.S
				governments to farm, transport and sell FNR- community branded montane honey, beeswax and butterfly pupae (Output 2.3);
				 Supporting the development and administration of targeted fund-raising for FNRs (Output 2.3);
				- Assessing the feasibility of including a voluntary or compulsory'conservation levy' in the municipal water authority charges (Output 2.3); and
				- Facilitating skills development and training programs for targeted TFS and FNR staff in business planning and financial management (Output 1.3).
				It is envisaged that collectively these activities will contribute to incrementally reducing the dependency on government grant allocations, and closing the 'funding gap' for improving management effectiveness (notably in respect of conservation management), for FNRs.
				The project will also support securing the conservation status of the FNRs (proclamation, entry points, boundary demarcation, enforcement), developing a basic operational capacity (staffing, training, equipment, vehicles) for FNRs and constructing/upgrading basic infrastructure (roads, footpaths, signage, offices, ranger outposts) in FNRs. Further, the project will invest in improving the working relationships with households and village governments located adjacent to FNRs. This project investment should then create a more stable, enabling environment for private sector investment in FNRs.
ENVIRONMENTAL The effects of climate change further exacerbates the fragmentation of high forests in FNRs, leading to an increase in the vulnerability of endemic forest species	LOW	UNLIKELY		It is recognised that there are potential risks to FNRs from climate change, as the climate is predicted to become somewhat drier and hotter, which might impact on the montaneforests and their ability to support a number of endemic plant and animal species. However, the climate change models for this region are still crude, and areonly now in the process of being downscaled to the sub-national level. There is considerable uncertainty around what will actually happen in Tanzania under different climate change scenarios. For the montaneareas some of the species present are millions of years old, and have survived a number of pastclimatic shocks. It is likely that there may be unique features of the species, the forests, or the topography of the mountains that makes it possible for species to survive in the longer term.
				The specifics of which FNR will be adversely affected, and how, is still very difficult to predict. 111e II FNR are also all in some way connected to wider ecological habitats (e.g.

IDEN IIPIED RISKS	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	RisK	MITIGATION MEASURES
AND CATEGORY	INTACI	LIKELIHOOD	AssESSMBIIIT	
				those in the Pare and Usambara blocks to the north are well
				integrated with other montane forest areas; those in the
				Ulugum and Udzungwa mountain blocks are surrounded by
				miombo; those in Rondo and Mount Rungwe are also
				surrounded by miombo), thus limiting their vulnerability.
				During the implementation phase, the project will however maintain close links to academic institutions studying climate change (and its effects on forest biodiversity) in order toidentify any key adaptation and/or mitigation measures that may be required to safeguard FNRs against the undesired effects of climate change.
				111e project will also - as an integral part of the development of the electronic information management system for FNRs (Output 1.4) - assist TFS in defining indicators of forest ecosystem health in FNRs, and quantifying the thresholds of potential concern for each indicator. This will then enable FNR staff to collect the critical data needed to objectively assess the incremental impacts of climate change on forest ecosystems, and for TFS to then develop strategic responses to mitigate or adapt to any fragmentation effects and/or species loss.

COST-EFFECTIVENESS

180. The project's cost effectiveness is premised on: (i) making a catalytic investment by developing key infrastructurein, and procuring critical equipment for,the targeted FNRs in order to reduce the recurrent annual operational costs of their management; (ii)improving the working relationships with adjacent communities in order to incrementally reduce the recurrent costs of monitoring and enforcing illegal activities occurring in FNRs; (iii) developing the financial planning capacities in order to provide the groundwork for improving the future long-term financial viability of the FNR network; and (iv) improving revenue for FNRs by strengt hening existing, and developing new, financial mechanisms.

181. The project is thus considered cost-effective for the following primary reasons:

182. Using financial data from recent investments by the government and development partners m Kilombero, Nilo and Uluguru FNRs, it is conservatively estimated that the initial (i.e. over a period of the first -3-4 years) capital expenditure costs and operating costs of establishing a basic, functional administrative structure for a FNR is in the range of US\$12 to US\$20/ha/annum. Once an administrative structure is in place however, the ongoing capital and recurrent operational costs of sustaining this administrative capacity are significantly reduced to levels of –US\$4 to US\$7/ha/annum beyond year 4. So a catalytic investment by GEF in the initial start-up costs of establishing a basic management capability in the six targeted FNRs will reduce the recurrent costs to government of maintaining this investment (by a factor of -3).

183. Project suppolt towards initiating a process of incrementally building a collaborative and cooperative relationship between each target FNR and the different village government and communities living around
the reserve, will yield both long-term conservation benefits (e.g. mitigating impacts on reserve habitats and species through formalising agreements on: access to natural resources during the transitional period after **gazetting; enforcing controls on encroachments into the reserve; monitoring of illegal activities in the** reserve; mitigating the impacts of human-induced fues) and an incremental improvement in the living conditions of communities living in and around the reserves (e.g. improved public infrastructure and services; direct employment; access to development funding; training and skills development; income from joint commercial ventures; tourism entrepreneurial opportunities; safety and security; alternative livelihood suppmt).

184. A comparatively small investment by the project in developing an output-based, results-oriented reserve management plan (and associated subsidiary plans and APOs) for each target FNR will ensure the optimal deployment of limited institutional resources and capacity in the future management of the reserve.

185. Project support toward the focused improvement of the proficiency and skills of reserve staff will ensure that the productivity and effectiveness of the limited human resources is enhanced and optimally organized. The initiation of a mentoring and staff exchange programme with counterpart conservation agencies will further incrementally improve FNR staff capacities.

186. Project funding for the implementation of large-scale tourism concessioning processes in FNRs, through public-private partnerships, will significantly contribute to: (a) increasing income to reserves from tourism concession fees; (b) reducingexposure to the risk of constructing and operating tourism facilities; (c)diversifying the tourism and recreational products in FNRs; (d) improving the quality of the tourism products and services; (e) increasing the length of stay of visitors to FNRs; and (f) providing an alternative source of revenue and employment for rural communities living in and surrounding the reserves. The **additional income from concession fees will then be used to subsidise an incremental improvement in the** quality and extent of conservation management activities in the FNR.

187. Project funding for the design, development, construction and operation of joint venture (coll'llnunity-FNR) beekeeping and butterfly fanning enterprises in the FNR buffer areas would result in a sustainable source of revenue and employment for the local community and income for the reserves. It is projected that these joint ventures across selected FNR would, after an initial start-up period of 2-3 years, collectively generate at least US\$20,000-30,000 net income per annum to TFS for re-investment back into **the conservation management of FNRs**.

188. Project investments in the piloting of voluntmy or compulsory'conservation levy' in the municipal water authority charges for water supply from natural forested catchments could, if successfully implemented, be replicated across the country over the medium- to long-term. While the initial financial returns from any pilot would be modest (likely to only cover the ongoing management and administration costs) the scaling up of PES oppmtunities could generate significant revenues in the longer-term, a proportion of which could be ring-fenced' for FNR operational costs or capital investment costs.

189. A small project investment in supplementing the fund-raising capacity of the FNR network, couldby yem 3- conservatively generate an additional investment of at least US\$1 million/annum from donors to support the planning and management of FNRs.

190. Wherever possible, the project will use the competencies and technical skills within the mandated government institutions to implement project activities. Where applicable, project resources will also be deployed to strengthen and expand existing initiatives aud prograll'llnes in and around FNRs to avoid

duplication of effort. Further, increased co-financing cmmnitments will continue to be targeted by the project during the project implementation.

191. The project strategy was selected following a review of alternative investments that could have generated equivalent global environmental benefits. One option was to develop a larger sustainable forest management project, looking at forest conservation across larger forest landscapes and geared additionally towards land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF). This was discardedbecause there is an urgent unmet need to strengthen the FNR network for biodiversity conservation by bringing new sites into the system and strengthening the institutional capacities of the management authority. The Government determined that at this point, a more focused approach would best address these irmnediate needs. Moreover, there is a large baseline investment already supporting LULUCF in Tanzania-financed by NORAD, UN-REDD, the WB FCPF, DFID and other actors. This work is already well advanced. Rather than invest further in LULUCF, the Government has thus decided to overlay BD management onto it. Once a crediting scheme is in place, opportunities exist to catalyse funding for carbon stock conservation and sequestration within the FNR network.

COUNTRY OWNERSHIP: COUNTRY ELIGIBILITY AND COUNTRY DRIVENNESS

192. The Government of Tanzania ratified the United Nations Convellfion on Biological Diversity (CBD) on the8'h of March 1996 and the UN Framework Convention o11 Climate Change (UNFCCC) on the 17ili of April 1996. Tanzania has also ratified a number of other related conventions, including the Convention o11 International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES); the Ramsar Convention; the World Heritage Convention; and the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD).

193. The project will fulfil a number of the objectives of the CBD, including the *in situ* conservation of biodiversity and the enhancement of national capacities to manage natural ecosystems. More specifically, the project addresses elements 3 and 4 of the CBD COP VII decision on Protected Areas, and the accompanying *Programme of Work on Protected Areas* (PoWPA) (COP 7, Decision VII/28).

194. The *Fourth National Report* (2009) has been prepared by the country in conformance with COP 8 decision VIII/14 of the CBD. This repm1 emphasises the high priority placed by the government on the establishment and management of Forest Nature Reserves as an effective mechanism for the *in sitrt* conservation of montane forest biodiversity⁴⁸.

195. Tanzania's Vision 2025and the complementary National Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty (NSGRP II or "MKUKUTA"), stresses the importance of developing a fully representative system of protected areas and highlights the imperative of ensuring conformance between the management of these protected areas and local governance systems for land use management.

196. The *National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan* (NBSAP, 2001) has, as its highest priority, the need to'conserve core areas of high biodiversity value and species habitats, including fragile ecosystems such as ... mountainous areas ...through ... protected areas'. The NBSAP underscores the importance of forest conservation, identifying key forest areas that need to be conserved to safeguard constituent biodiversity.

⁴⁸ The draft of the FiFth National Report (due for submission by 30 March, 2014 in accordance with the COP-6 and COP-9, decision IX/8 of the CBD) further affirms this priority need.

197. This project has been selected as an investment priority by the Tanzanian government following an extensive national stakeholder consultation exercise that assessed not only current needs, but also the extent that current investments build upon, and add value to, other GEF investments in conservation.

LINKAGES TO UNDP COUNTRY PROGRAMME

198. The United Nations Development Programme's (UNDP) work on biodiversity and ecosystems involves integrating biodiversity into development, unlocking the potential of PAs and ecosystem-based mitigation of/ and adaptation to climate change, in order to secure livelihoods and the provision of food, water and health. It aims to enhance resilience of ecosystems and biodiversity, conserve threatened species and their habitats; reduce vulnerability to climate change and increase carbon storage and sequestration. UNDP's comparative advantage lies in its capacity to support governments in accessing finance, encouraging innovation for development and provide technical and legal advice.

199. In its ecosystems and biodiversity portfolio, UNDP draws on its extensive technical expertise and experience in successfully supporting inter-counhy and country-level progratmning for biodiversity management. The UNDP's biodiversity and ecosystems portfolio contains 512 projects, working in 146 countries. The UNDP's Biodiversity and Ecosystems Framework for 2012-2020 organises its work into three signature programmes which contribute to its overall strategic objective to Maintain and enhance the goods and services provided by biodiversity and ecosystems in order to secure livelihoods, food, water and health, enhance resilience, conserve threatened species and their habitats, and increase carbon storage and sequestration. The three signature programmes are:

- Integrating biodiversity and ecosystem management into development planning and production sector activities to safeguard biodiversity and rnaintain ecosystem services that sustain human wellbeing.
- Unlocking the potential of protected areas, including indigenous and commrmity conserved areas, to conserve biodiversity while contributing towards sustainable development.
- Managing and rehabilitating ecosystems for adaptation to and mitigation of climate change.

200. UNDP partners with the GEF, national and local governments, NGOs and CBOs to fund and implement projects in these thematic areas. GEF-funded projects and activities are integrated into UNDP's programme of work on environment and energy.

201. UNDP is well placed to suppmt countries in integrating biodiversity and ecosystems management into development processes. With its on-the-ground presence, local knowledge, and ability to promote the important interface between local, national, and global communities and scientific research, UNDP assists developing countries in removing barriers to effective biodiversity and ecosystems management based on their national priorities and strategies, and improving system capacity through integrated policy development, institutional strengthening, and non-governmental and conunuity participation.

202. UNDP has been active in the field of biodiversity conservation in Tanzania for over 40 years, collaborating for instance with FAO in 1965 to establish the Mweka Wildlife College. UNDP bas supprtted effmts to strengthen forest management in the country for over 20 years, and amongst other things presently serves as the in-country coordinator for UN REDD initiatives and chairs the Development Partners Group (DPG) in Tanzania.

203. Strategically, in terms of the UNDP Tanzania countiy programme, the project fits within the UNDAF Outcome 8: Relevant MDAs, LGAs and Non-State Actors improve enforcement of environment laws and regulations for the protection of ecosystems, biodiversity and the sustainable management of natural resources and all three outputs therein.

204. The Country Office maintains an environment unit, staffed by a core team of three professional plus supportive operations staff-well equipped to manage this initiative. The Regional Technical Advisor for Biodiversity based in Addis Ababa will supply specialised technical support as needed.

205. Overall, UNDP is well positioned to provide implementation support to the design and implementation of the adaptation measures at the community level, and on policy and institutional mainstreaming of adaptation. This is largely owing to its country presence, its coordination mandate, its established networks and its working relationships in-country.

LINKAGES WITH GEF-FINANCED PROJECTS

206. This initiative forms part of a suite of GEF supported initiatives that aim at biodiversity conservation. The project will collaborate closely with other related initiatives in Tanzania supported by both GEF and other co-financiers.

		able 15: Additiona GEF Approver ro	o ecto ini anzan	a	1
GEFID	Country	Project Name	Focal Area	Agency	Project Type
3428	Tanzania	SFM extending the Coastal Forests Protected Area Subsystem	Biodiversity	UNDP	FP
3695	Tanzania	Strengthening the Protected Area Network in Southern Tanzania: Improving the Effectiveness of National Parks in Addressing Threats to Biodiversity	Biodiversity	UNDP	FP

'lable 15: Additiona GEF Approved Projects 11, anzama

207. This project will collaborate closely with, and build on the findings of, other GEF projects in Tanzania, without repeating the effotts made in those projects. Notably, these are:

SFM extending the Coastal Forests Protected Area Subsystem: The aim of the project is to strengthen biodiversity management fundamentals within the Protected Area network in Tanzania. This project addresses the Coastal Forests which are arguably the most threatened of all hotspots ecosystems in Tanzania and Zanzibar islands. The governance framework is going to be deeply revised at national and district levels to extend the Protected Area network. Different legal, regulatory, financial and institutional tools are going to be renewed to implement an effective conservation management in protected areas and sustainable approaches with forest-adjacent communities. The project will pilot novel institutional arrangements and partnerships in three priority landscapes (Zanzibar, Kichi-Matumbi Hills, greater Rondo system on the Tanzanian mainland).

Strengthening the Protected Area Network in Southern Tanzania: Improving the Effectiveness of National Parks in Addressing Threats to Biodiversity: This project being implemented by the Tanzania National Parks (TANAPA) and Ministty of Natural Resources and Tourism, Tanzania with the aim of ensuring that the biodiversity of Southern Tanzania is better represented and buffered from threat within National Parks.

PROJECT CONSISTENCY WITH NATIONAL PRIORITIES/PLANS

208. The project will support the implementation of the 'Forest Management and Conservation Program' of the *National Forest Program* (NFP, 2001-2010), particularly strategy 7.4.S(vi). It will also assist in the implementation of sections of the 'financing strategy for the NFP, notably in the implementation of the mechanism identified in 7.7.5.2 of the NFP (i.e. 'eco-tourism assessment and mapping of potential **areas/sites for eco-tourism; promotion of identified sites to encourage private sector and communities to** develop eco-tourism products and services; regulations and guidelines for eco-tourism; basic infrastructure in place to attract investments'). All the sites forming the locus for project activities are fully aligned with the high biodiversity value montane sites requiring increased conservation investment that are identified in sub-programme 7.4.4.2 of the NFP. The establishment of an information management system for FNRs will also contribute to addressing the needs identified under sub-programme 7.4.4.4 ('Forest resources information and management planning') of the NFP.

209. The project is consistent with the NFP strategies for Joint Forest Management, and will fully conform to the draft *Guidelines for Participatmy Forest Resource Assessment and Management Planning* (2004, as updated and revised).

210. The project will directly support the implementation of Strategy (i) of Objective C ('Stable ecosystem and biological biodiversity maintained') of the *Tanzania Forest Service Strategic Plan* (TFS SP 2010-2013). It will specifically assist the TFS in addressing the following performance target areas: strengthen law enforcement capacity; train forest conservation staff; prepare reserve management plans; develop information database; and implement PFM. Project indicators are also closely linked to the TFS SP indicators (forest cover; increase in income/annum; number of illegal incidents; select species population disttibutionlnumbers) to ensure consistency in data collection and reporting.

211. The project will contribute to the implementation of the *National Climate Change Strategy* (NCCS, 2012). More specifically, it will improve adaptive capacity in the Forestry Sector by 'enhancing conservation of forests biodiversity and control of invasive species' (Strategic Intervention3b) and 'supporting alternative livelihood initiatives for forest dependent communities' (Strategic Intervention 3c). It will also strengthen the adaptive capacity of the Tourism Sector by 'promoting alternative tourism destinations' (Strategic Objective 7a).

212. The project will support the implementation of a number of the strategic actions required to address the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation that are identified under KRA 10 of the *National Strategy for Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation* (2013).

213. The project is also broadly aligned with the Action Programmes 'Attracting capital investment' and 'Enhancing and expanding the tourism product' of the *Integrated Tourism Master Plan for Tanzania*(2002).

SUSTAINABILITY AND REPLICABILITY

214. The project has been carefully designed to optimize prospects for improving the sustainability of the network of national protected areas in the following areas:

215. Environmental sustainability will be promoted in the project by improving the effectiveness of conservation efforts in protecting the indigenous species, habitats and ecological processes across Tanzania's sub-network of FNRs. The project will further support the expansion of the network of FNRs in order to ensure that all high biodiversity forest ceo-regions in the country will have at least one FNR conserving representative samples of its forest species and habitats. Environmental sustainability will be indirectly promoted by the project through increasing the financial resources available for the conservation management of FNRs. Improved revenue streams will enable the implementation of more effective mitigation measures to reduce the threats to native biodiversity contained in the FNRs and their buffer areas. The project will also facilitate the preparation of Reserve Management Plans (and their associated subsidiary plans) to ensure that a balance is maintained between the conservation of the biodiversity and heritage values of the reserve, the protection of native plants and animals in the reserves, and the rights of adjacent communities and other users to benefit from, and access and use, the reserve. Project investments will collectively contribute - in the medium to long-term - to restoring the indigenous forests, containing the spread of wildfires and IAS, reducing the impacts of erosion, controlling illegal harvesting of natural and mineral resources, and preventing further removal of native forests for subsistence agriculture in the biodiversity-rich high forest ecosystems, in the gazetted FNRs.

216. Institutional sustainability will be achievedby strengthening the institutional and individual capacities of the newly established Tanzania Forest Services (TFS) to administer the network of FNRs.The institutional sustainability of TFS to fulfil its conservation mandate for FNRs will largely be founded on its capacity to: (i) conceptualise and formulate FNR policies, regulations, strategies and programmes; (ii) fund and implement FNR policies, regulations, strategies and programmes; (iii) engage and build consensus among all stakeholders in the planning and management of FNRs; (iv) mobilise key information and knowledge; and (v) continually monitor, evaluate, report and leam from doing. The project will then contribute to improving the capacities of TFS in the following key areas: supporting the preparation of reserve management and development plans; securing the boundaries and entry points of FNRs; supplementing the core staffing complement, infrastmeture and equipment in FNRs; strengthening the govemance of FNRs; consolidating and improving the baseline knowledge of the FNR network; developing the skills and knowledge base of TFS staff; and improving the coordination and collaboration between individual FNRs.

217. <u>Financial sustainability</u> will be achieved by supporting the development and implementation of a Financial Plan for the FNR network. The project will specifically assist in the design and implementation of mechanisms to increase and diversify financial flows to FNRs, including: improving revenue from entty and other user fees; targeting additional focused donor funding suppmt; facilitating public-private partnerships in the large-scale connnercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs; developing and implementing commercial joint ventures with local conunuities in bee and butterfly farming enterprises along the reserve boundaries; and assessing the feasibility of introducing a **conservation levy in municipal water charges to offset management costs associated with the conservation** of water catchment forests. The project will also support the continued introduction of business planning processes in the FNRs, with direct links to the preparation of Reserve Management Plans and Annual work plans.

218. <u>Social sustainabilitywill</u> be enhanced by the project through the direct involvement of the private sector, local communities and NGOs in the ongoing conservation of, provision of services in, and sustainable resource use from FNRs - notably though partnerships, co-management and co-operative

PROJECT CONSISTENCY WITH NATIONAL PRIORITIES/PLANS

208. The project will support the implementation of the 'Forest Management and Conservation Program' of the *National Forest Program* (NFP, 200I-2010), particularly strategy 7.4.5(vi). It will also assist in the implementation of sections of the 'financing strategy for the NFP, notably in the implementation of the mechanism identified in 7.7.5.2 of the NFP (i.e. 'eco-tourism assessment and mapping of potential **areas/sites for eco-tourism; promotion of identified sites to encourage private sector and communities to develop eco-tourism products and services; regulations and guidelines for eco-tourism; basic infrastructure in place to attract investments'). All the sites forming the locus for project activities are fully aligned with the high biodiversity value montane sites requiring increased conservation investment that are identified in sub-programme 7.4.4.2 of the NFP. The establishment of an information management system for FNRs will also contribute to addressing the needs identified under sub-programme 7.4.4.4 ('Forest resources information and management planning') of the NFP.**

209. The project is consistent with the NFP strategies for Joint Forest Management, and will fully conform to the draft *Guidelines for Participatmy Forest Resource Assessment and Management Planning* (2004, as updated and revised).

210. The project will directly support the implementation of Strategy (i) of Objective C ('Stable ecosystem and biological biodiversity maintained') of the *Tanzania Forest Selvice Strategic Plan* (TFS SP 2010-2013). It will specifically assist the TFS in addressing the following performance target areas: **strengthen law enforcement capacity; train forest conservation staff; prepare reserve management plans;** develop information database; and implement PFM. Project indicators are also closely linked to the TFS SP indicators (forest cover; increase in income/annum; number of illegal incidents; select species population distribution/numbers) to ensure consistency in data collection and repmting.

211. The project will contribute to the implementation of the *National Climate Change Strategy* (NCCS, 2012). More specifically, it will improve adaptive capacity in the Forestry Sector by 'enhancing conservation of forests biodiversity and control of invasive species' (Strategic Intervention3b) and 'supporting alternative livelihood initiatives for forest dependent communities' (Strategic Intervention 3c). It will also strengthen the adaptive capacity of the Tourism Sector by 'promoting alternative tourism destinations' (Strategic Objective 7a).

212. The project will support the implementation of a number of the strategic actions required to address the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation that are identified under KRA 10 of the *National Strategy for Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation* (2013).

213. The project is also broadly aligned with the Action Programmes 'Attracting capital investment' and 'Enhancing and expanding the tourism product' of the *Integrated Tourism Master Plan for Tanzania*(2002).

SUSTAINABILITY AND REPLICABILITY

214. The project has been carefully designed to optimize prospects for improving the sustainability of the network of national protected areas in the following areas:

Environmental sustainability will be promoted in the project by improving the effectiveness of 215. conservation effmts in protecting the indigenous species, habitats and ecological processes across Tanzania's sub-network of FNRs. The project will further support the expansion of the network of FNRs in order to ensure that all high biodiversity forest ceo-regions in the country will have at least one FNR conserving representative samples of its forest species and habitats. Environmental sustainability will be indirectly promoted by the project through increasing the financial resources available for the conservation management of FNRs. Improved revenue streams will enable the implementation of more effective mitigation measures to reduce the threats to native biodiversity contained in the FNRs and their buffer areas. The project will also facilitate the preparation of Reserve Management Plans (and their associated subsidiary plans) to ensure that a balance is maintained between the conservation of the biodiversity and heritage values of the reserve, the protection of native plants and animals in the reserves, and the rights of adjacent communities and other users to benefit from, and access and use, the reserve. Project investments will collectively contribute - in the medium to long-term - to restoring the indigenous forests, containing the spread of wildfires and IAS, reducing the impacts of erosion, controlling illegal harvesting of natural and mineral resources, and preventing further removal of native forests for subsistence agriculture in the biodiversity-rich high forest ecosystems, in the gazetted FNRs.

216. <u>Institutional stlstainability</u> will be achievedby strengthening the institutional and individual capacities of the newly established Tanzania Forest Services (TFS) to administer the network of FNRs.The institutional sustainability of TFS to fulfil its conservation mandate for FNRs will largely be founded on its capacity to: (i) conceptualise and formulate FNR policies, regulations, strategies and programmes; (ii) engage and build consensus among all stakeholders in the planning and management of FNRs; (iv) mobilise key information and knowledge; and (v) continually monitor, evaluate, report and learn from doing. The project will then contribute to improving the capacities of TFS in the following key areas: supporting the preparation of reserve management and development plans; securing the boundaries and entry points of FNRs; supplementing the core staffing complement, infrashucture and equipment in FNRs; strengthening the governance of FNRs; consolidating and improving the baseline knowledge of the FNR network; developing the skills and knowledge base of TFS staff; and improving the coordination and collaboration between individual FNRs.

217. <u>Financial sustainability</u> will be achieved by suppmting the development and implementation of a Financial Plan for the FNR network. The project will specifically assist in the design and implementation of mechanisms to increase and diversify financial flows to FNRs, including: improving revenue from entry and other user fees; targeting additional focused donor funding support; facilitating public-private partnerships in the large-scale conunercial development of tourism and recreational facilities and services in FNRs; developing and implementing conunercial joint ventures with local communities in bee and butterfly farming entelprises along the reserve boundaries; and assessing the feasibility of introducing a **conservation levy in municipal water charges to offset management costs associated with the conservation** of water catchment forests. The project will also support the continued introduction of business planning processes in the FNRs, with direct links to the preparation of Reserve Management Plans and Annual work plans.

218. <u>Social sustainabilitywill</u> be enhanced by the project through the direct involvement of the private sector, local communities and NGOs in the ongoing conservation of, provision of services in, and sustainable resource use from FNRs - notably though partnerships, co-management and co-operative

governance arrangements. In particular, the project will seek to optmnse entrepreneurial and direct employment opportunities for the communities living in villages adjacent to the FNRs. This will include: (i) the creation of permanent and part-time employment opportunities (e.g. boundary clearing, construction activities, road and footpath maintenance, nature-based tourism activities, reserve staff); (ii) partnering in the planning, implementation and beneficiation from cmmnercial activities occmTing in FNRs (e.g. bee and butterfly farming, nature-based tourism and recreation); and (iii) assisting village governments to raise funding support for the development and implementation of more sustainable income-generating opportunities outside FNRs. The project will also support the establishment and functioning of cooperative governance mechanisms that will facilitate community and village government in reserve management decision-making processes (e.g. concluding MOUs with village governments, establishing reserve management advisory committees), and enable the reserve management teams to work with suiTounding villages in collaboratively seeking solutions for improving the balance between the needs of adjacent conununities and the biodiversity conservation objectives of the affected reserve. The involvement of stakeholders in project activities -at both the level of the protected area network and individual protected areas -will be guided by robust stakeholder engagement plans. These stakeholder engagement plans will also make strong provision for conflict management with different categories of user groups. In line with UNDP policies, attention will be paid to gender equity, and in particular to ensure the full participation of women in all project activities.

219. **Replication** will be achieved through the direct replication of selected project elements and practices and methods, as well as the scaling up of experiences. The project will specifically use the lessons learnt from the: updating of the Reserve Management Plan (and associated annual work, subsidiary and business plans) (Output **1.1**, 2.1 and 2.3); implementation of Phase 1 of the tonrism concessioning process (Output 2.1); establishment of Reserve Management Advisory Committees (Output 1.3); establishment and operations of conm1ercial joint venture butterfly and bee farms (Output 2.3); and the piloting of the conservation levy in municipal water authority charges in the future phased roll-out of these approaches, technologies and systems across the entire network of FNRs. It is anticipated that the government will use the results of the concessioning processes in FNRs to fmther adapt and reform its PPP approaches across all categories of PAs in Tanzania.Project activities will contribute to the global evidence base of the cost-effectiveness of different community-based partnership approaches in and around protected areas. Information generated from this cost-benefit analysis will be used to refine and update connunity-based partnership approaches in other PAs across the country to ensure optimal returns for conservation funds **invested**.

220. Each project output will include the documentation of lessons learnt from implementation of activities under the output, and a collation of the tools and templates (and any other materials) developed during implementation. The Project Coordinator will ensure the collation of all the project experiences and information. This knowledge database will then be made accessible to different stakeholder groups in order to support better future decision-making processes in protected areas and more consistent adoption of best **practice.**

PART III: Management Arrangements

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATIONARRANGEMENT

221. The project will be implemented over a period of five years in line with HACT.

222. The UNDPCountry Office will monitor the implementation of the project, review progress in the realisation of the project outputs, and ensure the proper use of UNDP/GEF funds. Working in close cooperation with TFS, the UNDP Country Office (CO) will provide support services to the project - including procurement, contracting of service providers, human resources management and financial services - in accordance with the relevant UNDP Rules and Procedures and Results-Based Management (RBM) guidelines.

223. The project will be nationally implemented (NIM) by the Tanzania Forest Services (Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism) in line with the Standard Basic Assistance Agreement (SBAA of 30 May, 1978) and the United Nations Development Assistance Plan (UNDAP, 2011-2015).

224. The <u>TFS</u> will have the overall responsibility for achieving the project goal and objectives.ltwill be directly responsible for creating theenablingconditions for implementation of all project activities. TFS will work in close cooperation with the Vice President's Office (VPO), as the GEF Focal Point, and the Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT). TFS will also coordinate activities on a local landscape level with the Office of the Prime Minister, Regional and Local Government (PMORALG) through direct engagement with district and regional government offices.

225. The TFS will designate the Head of the Natural Forest Section (NFS), under the Directorate of Resources Management (DRM),to act as the <u>Project Director</u> (PD). The PD will provide the strategic oversight and guidance to project implementation⁴⁹.

226. The day-to-day administration of the project will be carried out by a national Project <u>Coordinator</u>(PC), with the support of a<u>Project Administrative Assistant</u> (PAA). The PC has the authority to administer the project on a day-to-day basis on behalf of TFS, within the constraints laid down by the Project Steering Committee (PSC). The PC's prime responsibility is to ensure that the project produces the results specified in the project document, to the required standard of quality and within the specified constraints of time and cost. The PC will prepare Annual Work Plans (AWP) in advance of each successive year and submit them to the Project Steering Committee for approval. The PC will liaise and work closely with all partner institutions to link the project with complementary national programs and initiatives. The PC is accountable to the PD for the quality, timeliness and effectiveness of the activities carried out, as well as for the use of funds. The PAA will provide project administration support to the PC, as required. The tetms of reference for the PC and PAA are detailed in Section IV. Part 1.

227. The PCwill be technically supported by contracted national and international service providers. Recruitment of specialist support services and procurement of any equipment and materials for the project will be done by the PC, in consultation with the PD and in accordance with relevant recruitment and procurement rules and procedures. The terms of reference of the key national and international service providers to be contracted by the project are detailed in Section IV. Part 1.

228. A Project Steering Conunittee (PSC) will be constituted to serve as the executive decision making body for the project. While the final composition of the PSC will be determined at the Project Inception

⁴⁹ The PD will not be paid from the project funds, but will represent a Government in-kind contribution to the Project.

Workshop (see Section [, Part IV), it will include representation from the MNRT, VPO, UNDP and PMORALG. The Project Steering Committee will ensure that the project remains on course to deliver the desired outcomes of the required quality. The PSC will meet at least twice per annum (more often if required).

229. The PCwill produce an Annual Work Plan (AWP) to be approved by the PSC at the beginning of each year. These plans will provide the basis for allocating resources to planned project activities. Once the PSCapproves the AWP, this will be sent to the UNDP Regional Technical Advisor for Biodiversity at the GEF Regional Coordinating Unit (RCU) for clearance. Once the AWP is cleared by the RCU, it will be sent to the UNDP/GEF Unit in New York for final approval and release of the funding. The PC will further produce quatterly operational repmts and Annual Progress Reports (APR) for review by the PSC, or any other repmts at the request of the PSC. These reports will summarize the progress made by the project versus the expected results, explain any significant variances, detail the necessary adjustments and be the main reporting mechanism for monitoring project activities.

FINANCIAL AND OTHER PROCEDURES

230. The financial arrangements and procedures for the project are governed by the UNDP mles and regulations for National Implementation Modality (NIM). All procurement and financial transactions will be governed by applicable UNDP regulations under NIM.

AUDIT CLAUSE

231. The Project audits will be conducted according to UNDP Financial Regulations and Rules and applicable Audit policies.

PART IV: Monitoring Framework and Evaluation

MONITORING AND REPORTING

232. The project will be monitored through the following Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) activities.

Project start-up:

233. A Project Inception Workshop will be held <u>within the first 4 months</u> of project start with those with assigned roles in the project organization structure, UNDP country office and where appropriate/feasible regional technical policy and programme advisors as well as other stakeholders. The Inception Workshop is crucial to building ownership for the project results and to plan the first year annual work plan.

234. The Inception Workshop should address a number of key issues including:

- a) Assist all partners to fully understand and take ownership of the project. Detail the roles, support services and complementary responsibilities of UNDP CO and the UNDP-GEF Regional Office vis-a-vis the project team. Discuss the roles, functions, and responsibilities within the project's decision-making structures, including repm1ing and communication lines, and conflict resolution mechanisms. The Terms of Reference for project staff will be discussed again, as needed.
- b) Based on the project results framework and the relevant GEF Tracking Tool, if appropriate, finalize the first AWP. Review and agree on the indicators, targets and their means of verification, and recheck assumptions and risks.
- c) Provide a detailed overview of reporting, monitoring and evaluation (M&E) requirements. The Monitoring and Evaluation work plan and budget should be agreed and scheduled.
- d) Discuss financial reporting procedures and obligations, and arrangements for annual audit.
- e) Plan and schedule Project Steering Committeemeetings. Roles and responsibilities of all project organization structures should be clarified and meetings planned. The first Project Steering Committeemeeting should be held within the first 6 months following the inception workshop.

235. An <u>Inception Workshop</u> report is a key reference document and must be prepared and shared with participants to formalize various agreements and plans decided during the meeting.

Quarterly:

- Progress made shall be monitored in the UNDP Enhanced Results Based Management Platform.
- Based on the initial risk analysis submitted, the risk log shall be regularly updated in ATLAS. Risks become critical when the impact and probability are high.
- Based on the information recorded in ATLAS, a Project Progress Report (PPR) can be generated in the Executive Snapshot.
- Other ATLAS logs can be used to monitor issues, lessons learned etc. The use of these functions is a key indicator in the UNDP Executive Balanced Scorecard.

Auuually:

Annual Project Review/Project Implementation Reports (APR/PIR): This key report is prepared to monitor progress made since project start and in pm1icular for the previous reporting period. The APRIPIR combines both UNDP and GEF reporting requirements.

The APR/PTR includes, but is not limited to, reporting on the following:

- Progress made toward project objective and project outcomes each with indicators, baseline data and end-of-project targets (cumulative)
- Project outputs delivered per project outcome (annual)
- Lesson learned/good practice
- AWP and other expenditure repm1s
- Risk and adaptive management
- ATLAS Quat1erly Progress Reports (QPR)
- Portfolio level indicators (i.e. GEF focal area tracking tools) are used by most focal areas on an annual basis as well.

Periodic Monitoring through site visits:

236. UNDP CO and the UNDP RCU will conduct visits to project sites based on the agreed schedule in the project's Inception Report/Annual Work Plan to assess first hand project progress. Other members of the Project Steering Committeemay also join these visits. A Field Visit Report/BTOR will be prepared by the CO and UNDP RCU and will be circulated no less than one month after the visit to the project team and Project Steering Corrunitteemembers.

Mid-tel'ln of project cycle:

237. The project will undergo an independent Mid-Term Evaluation at the mid-point of project implementation. The Mid-Term Evaluation will determine progress being made toward the achievement of outcomes and will identify course correction if needed. It will focus on the effectiveness, efficiency and timeliness of project implementation; will highlight issues requiring decisions and actions; and will present initial lessons learned about project design, implementation and management. Findings of this review will be incorporated as recommendations for enhanced implementation during the final half of the project's term. The organization, terms of reference and timing of the mid-term evaluation will be decided after consultation between the parties to the project document. The Terms of Reference for this Mid-term evaluation will be prepared by the UNDP CO based on guidance from the Regional Coordinating Unit and UNDP-GEF. The management response and the evaluation will be uploaded to UNDP corporate systems, in particular the U NDP Evaluation Office Evaluation Resource Center (ERC).

238. The relevant GEF Focal Area Tracking Tools will also be completed during the mid-term evaluation cycle.

End of Project:

239. An independent <u>Final Evaluation</u> will take place tlu-ee months prior to the final Project Steering Cmmnitteemeeting and will be undertaken in accordance with UNDP and GEF guidance. The final evaluation will focus on the delivery of the project's results as initially planned (and as corrected after the mid-term evaluation, if any such con-ection took place). The final evaluation will look at impact and sustainability of results, including the contribution to capacity development and the achievement of global environmental benefits/goals. The Terms of Reference for this evaluation will be prepared by the UNDP CO based on guidance from the Regional Coordinating Unit and UNDP-GEF.

240. The Terminal Evaluation should also provide reconunendations for follow-up activities and requires a management response which should be uploaded to PIMS and to the UNDP Evaluation Office Evaluation Resource Center (ERC).

241. The relevant GEF Focal Area Tracking Tools will also be completed during the final evaluation.

242. During the last tlu-ee months, the project team will prepare the Project Terminal Report. This comprehensive report will sununarize the results achieved (objectives, outcomes, outputs), lessons learned, problems met and areas where results may not have been achieved. It will also lay out recommendations for any further steps that may need to be taken to ensure sustainability and replicability of the project's results.

L aming and knowledge sharing:

243. Results from the project will be disseminated within and beyond the project intervention zone tluough existing information sharing networks and fonnns.

244. The project will identify and participate, as relevant and appropriate, in scientific, policy-based and/or any other networks, which may be of benefit to project implementation though lessons learned. The project will identify, analyse, and share lessons learned that might be beneficial in the design and implementation of similar future projects.

245. Finally, there will be a two-way flow of information between tllis project and other projects of a similar focus.

Communications and visibility requirements

246. Full compliance is required with UNDP's Branding Guidelines. These can be accessed at <u>http://intra.undp.org/coalbranding.shtml</u>. and specific guidelines on UNDP logo use can be accessed at: <u>http://intra.undp.org/branding!useOfLogo.htmJ</u>. Amongst other things, these guidelines describe when and how the UNDP logo needs to be used, as well as how the logos of donors to UNDP projects needs to be used. For the avoidance of any doubt, when logo use is required, the UNDP logo needs to be used alongside the GEF logo.The GEF logo can be accessed at:<u>http://www.thegef.org/gef/GEF logo.The UNDP logo can be accessed at http://intra.undp.org/coalbranding.shtmJ</u>.

247. Full compliance is required with the GEF's Communication and Visibility GuideHnes (the "GEF GuideHnes"). The GEF Guidelines can be accessed at: <u>http://www.thegef.org/gef/sites/ thegef.org!files/ documents/C.40.08 Branding the GEF%20final 0.pdf</u>. Amongst other things, the GEF Guidelines describe when and how the GEF logo needs to be used in project publications, vellicles, supplies and other project equipment. The GEF Guidelines also describe other GEF promotional requirements regarding press releases, press conferences, press visits, visits by Government officials, productions and other promotional items.

M&E workplan and budget

Tab(e 16: :!!if.!?!i!!'K. and Evaluation Work plan and Budget

Type of M&E activity		Responsible Parties	Budget US\$ Excluding project ream staff time	Time frame
Inception Workshop and Report		PC UNDP CO, UNDPOPEF	Indicative cost: 60000	Within first two months of project start up
Measurement of Means of Verification of project results.	† •	F RTA/PC will oversee the hiring of specific studies and institutions, and delegate responsibilities to relevant team members.	To be finalized in Inception Phase and Workshop.	Start, mid and end of project (during evaluation cycle) and annually when required.
Measurem.ent of Means of			To be determined as	Annually prior to ARRJPIR
Verification for Project Progress on <i>output and</i>	•	PC	part of the Annual Work Plan's	and to the definition of
		PC	preparation.	unitur work plans
ARR/PIR	•	UNDPCO UNDPRTA	None	Annually
reports	•	UNDPEEG		
Periodic status! progress	•	PC	None	Quarterly
Mid-term Evaluation	• •	PC UNDPCO UNDPRCU	Indicative	At the mid-point of project
Final Evaluation	• • •	External Consultants (i.e. evaluation PC UNDPCO UNDPRCU	Indicative cost:	At least three months before the end of project
	•	External Consultants (i.e. evaluation team)	45,000	implementation
Project Terminal Report		PC UNDPCO local consultant	0	At least three months before the end of the project
Audit	•	CO and team	Indicative cost per 6,000	Yearly
<u>Visits to</u> field sites TOTAL	•	UNDPCO UNDPRCU (as appropriate) Government	For GEF supported projects, paid from !A fees and	Yearly
COST			 Total Budget and Work P	_1

UNDP Total Budget and Work Plan (TBW) in the

Excluding project staff (PC and PAA) time and UNDP staff US\$ 115,000 and tralel e.\penses

 :i:Note: Costs included in this table are part and parcel of the additional to it.

 PRODOC
 PIMS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

PART V: Legal Context

248. This document, together with the UNDAP (2011-2015), constitute a Project Document as referred to in the Standard Basic Assistance Agreement.

249. Consistent with the Article III of the Standard Basic Assistance Agreement, the responsibility for the safety and security of the implementing partner and its personnel and property, and of UNDP's property in the implementing partner's custody, rests with the implementing partner.

- 250. The implementing partner shall:
 - a) put in place an appropriate security plan and maintain the security plan, taking into account the security situation in the country where the project is being call'ied out; and
 - b) assumeall risks and liabilities related to the implementing partner's security, and the full implementation of the security plan.

251. UNDP reserves the right to verify whether such a plan is in place, and to suggest modifications to the plan when necessary. Failure to maintain and implement an appropriate security plan as required hereunder shall be deemed a breach of this agreement.

252. The implementing partner agrees to undertake all reasonable efforts to ensure that none of the UNDP funds received pursuant to the Project Document are used to provide support to individuals or entities associated with terrorism and that the recipients of any amounts provided by UNDP hereunder do not appear on the list maintained by the Security Council Committee established pursuant to resolution 1267 (1999). The list can be accessed via http://www.un.org/Docs/sc/committees/1267/1267ListEng.htm. This provision must be included in all sub-contracts or sub-agreements entered into under this Project Document.

SECTION II: STRATEGIC RESULTS FRAMEWORK (SRF)

Th is I'roject will contribute to achieving the rollowing UNOA P Ou tcome: 'Relevant MOAs, LGAs and Non-State Actors improle cnfa<cement of environment laws and regulations for the protection of ecosystems, biodil-crisity and the sustainable management of naturnl resounces'

UNDAP Outcome lud lentors: Business plans show improved financial scorecard for national system of coastal forest protect «!="-'and target landscapes'

Primary nppllcable Key Result Arca: 'Environn><nt and Energy for Sustainable Del-elopmtnt'

Applicable GEF Strategic Objecth e and Program:Biodinrsity Focal Area Strattgy: "Con«:n-ation and sustainable use of biodil-ersity and the maintenance of ecosystem goods and «:n-ices". Objectil"1: "Improve Sustainability of Protected Area Systems'.

Ap plkable GEF Expected Outcomes: Outcome 1.1 'Impro-1<d management effectiveness of existing and new protect«!areas'; and Outcome 1.2 'Increastd re1-enue for protected area systems to nl <t tot:tl expenditures required for management'.

Applicable GEF Outcome IndIrntors: Protecttd area nunagement effectiveness as r<eorded by Manogement Effectileness Tn rking Tool; and 'Increased revenue for protected area systems to meet total expenditures re(juired for n=agement'

	Indicator	B!lRllne (201212013)	Targells (End of Project)	Sou rce of nrl nca tlon	Risks and Assu mptions
	Numbt r and exten t (ha) of fomlally gazetted FNRs	5 186,883 ha	11 305,600 ha	Government Notice of dtclaralion	Assumptions: - The Tl'S remains the responsi ble authority for the planning and manogement of
Projrct Objrctlre To c.•pand, financially S <cure and="" ngthtn<br="" stre="">the management of Tanzan ia's Forest Nature Reserve</cure>	Financi3l sust3in>bility scorecard for FNR nctwork	2t%	35%	Proj <et of<br="" review="">FinanciJJ Su stoinobility S core<ard< td=""><td> FNRs during the project durot ion The TFS Zonal offices provideongoing financiol, adntinistrati\e 3nd technical support to th.l FNRs </td></ard<></et>	 FNRs during the project durot ion The TFS Zonal offices provideongoing financiol, adntinistrati\e 3nd technical support to th.l FNRs
network in response to the threats to biodil'trsity.	Capacity de\elopn×nt indicator score for TfS	S) stemic: 59% Institutional:50% Individual:55%	Systemic: 62% Institutional: 58% Ind i vidual:62%	Project review of Capacity Delclopment Indicator Scorecard	 The Government supports the g:uetting of new FNRs The Government continues to support the natural resounce use restrictions in FNRs The enabling policy and
	Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool scorecard			Project review of METT scorecard (ewry two rears)	strntegic planning framework for FNRs and IR1 (notably

PRODOC PIMS5106 Enhancing tire Forest Nnrure Rcsenes Nettrork in 1'nnzouin

	Indkator	Baseline (2012/2013)	Target/s (End of Projo <l)< th=""><th>Soun:eof vtrificallon</th><th>Risks and Assumptions</th></l)<>	Soun:eof vtrificallon	Risks and Assumptions
	(average) All FNRs E.•isting rNRs (6) l'roposod/New FNRs (5)	All FNRs:38% Existing FNRs:42 'k Proposed FNRs:33%	All FNRs:>51'k E.•isting I'NRs:>52'k ProposrdFNRs:>48'k		i.r.o.benefit-sharing) arc updated and apprmed Risks: - Local conununitics living in and
	Incom⊲/an num (US\$), by sou rce, from: TFS budget Donor income O\\n income	11'S budget: US\$1,763,000 Donor income: US\$150,000 O"n income: <us\$10,000< td=""><td>TIS budget:>US\$2,SOO,OOO Donor income>US\$300,000 O"n income:>US\$100,000</td><td>TIS annual report and financial audit</td><td>around the rc.srvcs conflict with TFS owr restrictions on their acces to, and use of, land and naturol resources in FNRs. This conflict in tum kJds to a significant increase in the illegal clearance of, and unsustainable</td></us\$10,000<>	TIS budget:>US\$2,SOO,OOO Donor income>US\$300,000 O"n income:>US\$100,000	TIS annual report and financial audit	around the rc.srvcs conflict with TFS owr restrictions on their acces to, and use of, land and naturol resources in FNRs. This conflict in tum kJds to a significant increase in the illegal clearance of, and unsustainable
	Number of endemic and threatened species effecti\ely consen•ed in fomt.1lly gaulled FNRs	Site level endemics: 129 Threatened animal" t 2	Si te level endemics: >t95 Threatened animals: >34	Research and monitoring datal reports	 levels of han-esting of natural =ources from, FNRs by these communities The Gm-emment and TIS do not commit adequate resources and funding to significantly improve the management effectiveness of FNRs. This may, in tum, limit the interest of the private scolar in investing in large.scale tourism concessions inFNRs The effects of climate change further exacerbates the fragmentation of high formts in FNRs, leading to an incr ase in the vulnerability of endemic forest species
O utcome I Consolidating and improving the management of the	1.2 The core staffing complement1.3 The gol-emance of, and beneficial to the staffing complement	boundaries of six FNRs are secure t, infrastructure and equipment in efit-sharing in, six FNRs is ≪reng	si • FNRs is in place thened		
rNR network	1.4 The capadty of the TFS to p	lan and manage the •ix FNRs, as p	part of a wider network of FNRs, i	s improved	

P/111S5/06 Enhancing tile ForeJI Nature Resenves Nelwork in Tmozania

Indicator	Bastline (2012/2013)	Torgtt/s (End of Projoct)	Sourreof nrific:atlon	Risks and A>sumptions
Number of 3ClHe R(.S(rYe Manogement Plans in the si • targeted FNRs	O(4 outdated)	6	ReserYe Managcm <nt Plans I'NR quart<rly onnual<br="">reports Project reports</rly></nt 	Assu mptions: - IlteTFS will not have to re- engage villages and communities in the gazetting of the proposed FNRs - The TFS appoints sufficient and suitable management staff to
Extent (km) of boundaries adequately demarcated and routinely maintained in the six targeted FNRs	-210km	661km	FNR quarterly/annual reports Project reports	administer and rronage the new FNRs - Compel <nt civil<br="" local="">c:nginetring businesses are available to implement coMiruction :md rtnovation</nt>
Number of the targtted FNRs \\it h all entry points adequately signposted and secured	0	6	FNR quarterly/annuol reports Project rrports	 activities in far-flung I'NRs Boundary demarcation and infrastructu re works do not compromise the ecological integrity of the FNRs
Number of rang«staff in the six targeted FNRs who are adequately equipped	0	60	FNR quart <rly annual<br="">reports Project reports</rly>	 Local villoge go\cmn tnt \\ill act in the best interests of local communilies i.r.o. btnefits derived from FNRs and project activities
Number of the targeted FNR s \\ith funct ional basic transport and infT3.Slructure (i.e. minimum of 2 operational vehicles, 4 operational motorbikes, one administrative office and 3 functional ranger outposts).	2 (but not fully functional)	6	FNR quart <rly annual<br="">reports Project reports</rly>	Risks: – Local communities living in and around the reserYes connict with 'TFS O'er restrictions on lifeir acress to, and use of, land and natural resources in FNRs. This conflict in tum leads to a significant increase in the illegal
E.tent (km) of footpaths and roads in the si• targeted FNRs under routine III3inten3.0Ce	Roods: -11km Footpaths: -34km	Roods: 158km Footpaths: 230km	I'NR quarterly/annual reports Project reports	clearance of, and unsu stainoble levels of harv<>sting of natural resources from, FNRs by the.sc

PIMS5106 Enhonciog the Forest Nuture Reserves Nellrork in 1(mwnia

	Indicator	Das <llne (2012/2013)</llne 	Targetls (End of Projed)	Sour <eof verification</eof 	Risks and Assumptions
	(dearing, •teps, drainage, •ignagc)				 communities The Government and TFS do not conunit adequate resources
	Number of target ed FNR s with signed MOUs with all affected villages, and an operating joint co.nl.lilag(ment structure.	0	6	MOU's - The Government and TF MOU's - The Government and TF PRR quarterly/annual - improYe the maRJgem Project reports - effectiveness of FNRs.	and funding to significantly improYc the maRJgement effectiveness of FNRs.
	Value (US\$) of funding rai «d in support of the development and imple mentation of conunuity- ba «d li velihood oppo rtunities for villages with signed MOUs 1\ith the six targeted FNRs	<uss 10,000="" annum<="" td=""><td>>US\$100,000/annum</td><td>reports</td><td></td></uss>	>US\$100,000/annum	reports	
	Number of FNR and TFS-support staff completing technical, conservation, enforcement, communiC'3tions and tourism skills development courses and trai ning programmes	N/A	40	reports TFS Annual Report	
	Numhtr of FNR working forum meetings/annum	0	4	reports	
Outcome 2 Strengthening the financiaJ sustainability of the FNR network	2.2 The destinations, at tractions,	of tourism and recreational facili facilities and semces in FNRs ar \ities in targeted FNRs are identif	< effectively marketed to target		

PIMS5106 Enhancing tile Forest Nature Rt•serl'es Net..-ork in Tanzania

lndiC'alor	Da.stllne (2012/2013)	TargeVs (J;nd or Proj 1)	Sour«of nriflcatlon	Risksand Au um11tlOI\S
Numbtr of subsidiary FNR Tourism Det elopment Plans	0	п	Tourism Developm nt Pf:llls FNR quarter ly/onnuol reports Project reports	 Assumptions: Income from the developnt and use of FNRs is 'ring.fenccd' for re-investment into their maintenance and m.inagement The tourism de\'elopment opportunities Identi fied in the
Numbtr of nature-bosed tourism and/or recrtational conression <llcases and<br="" owarded="">under de \elopn <nt fnrs<="" in="" td=""><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>Concessiollf lease agreements TIS Annual Report</td><td> tourism development pl:lliS will be finoncially vioble for private stetor investment The joint venture comnltrdal farming activities are financially suslainableand will not </td></nt></llcases>	0	2	Concessiollf lease agreements TIS Annual Report	 tourism development pl:lliS will be finoncially vioble for private stetor investment The joint venture comnltrdal farming activities are financially suslainableand will not
Income/annu m(USS) to FNRs from n:ture-based tourism c:oncessionsllta.ses	USSO	>USSIQ000	TI'S Annual Report ond Financial Audit	 compromise the biologkal integrity of the FNR s Local governJ<nt \\ill="" focilitote<br="">and support impro,-ements to</nt>
Numbtr of individu ols from FNR-djacent vill3ges btnditing directly from tourism conct.Ssionslleases (construction and/or operat ional phases)	0	>100	Proj <et reports<br="">Conc-essionaire reports FNR quarterly/annual reports</et>	 the re.serre-acress roods and sign3ge Commercial operators, hotel chains and tour companies will participate in the marketing of FNRs
Number of visitor>/annum to FNRs	Day: <2000 0\∙emight:<300	Day:>5000 O\cmight: >500	FNR quarterly/annual reports TI'S Annual Report	Risks: – Local communities living in and around the reserves connict with TFS orer restrictions on !.heir
Numbtr of, and income (USS/annum) from, <u>joint wnture</u> bte and butterfly farms in FNRs	Numbtr: 0 Income (USS/annum): 0	Numbtr:>4 Income (USS/annum): >US\$50,000	Fam1operator annual and financial reports FNR quarterly/annual reports TI'S Annual Report and Financial Audit Project Reports	access to, and use of, land and natural resounces in FNRs. This con nict in turn leads to a significant increase in the illegal clrarance of, 3nd uruustainable le, els of hafy esting of natural resources from, FNRs by thc. <e communitit:s</e

PRODOC PIMS5/06 Euhauciug the Forest Nature Rescnes Neiii'Oik iu Tauzauia

Indicator	D!lWUnc (201212013)	TargeVs (End ofliojec:t)	Source of erification	Risks and Assumptions
				 Tite Go\'emntent and 11'S do not commit adequate resources and funding to significant))' improve the management
Financial p13n for FNR network	Yes	No	Financial Plan Project reports	effectheness of FNRs. This may, in lum, limit the interest of the private sector in investing in
Additional ring-fenced income (USS/annum) raiJ from new/additional dooor soun:es for FNR dcvclopntent and management	N/A	>US\$300,000	11'S Annual Report and Financial Audit Project Reports	large.sca.le tourism concrssions in FNRs



Indicator	DaseUne (1012/2013)	Target/s {End of Projed)	Source of • nlncation	Risks and Assumptions
Numbtr of subsidiary I'NR Tourism Development Plans	0		Tourism Devdopm <nt Plans I'NR quarterly/annual reports Projtct reports</nt 	Assumptions: – Income from the developm< nt and useofrNRs is 'ring-fenced' for re-in\'esln.enl into lheir mainth:lnce and m.1n3gement – The tourism development opportunities identified in the
Numbtr of nJture-b:ISed tourism and/or rectional conressionslleases awarded and under developn><:nt in FNRs	0	2	Concession/lease agreements TFS Annual Report	 tourism development plans \\ill be financially viable for private stctor in; estment The joint \tntureommcrrial farming activities are financially sustainableand will not
Income/annum (USS) to I'NRs from nalure-b.\s.ed tourism concessions/leases	usso	>USSI0,000	TFS Annual Report and Financial Audit	 compromise the biological integrity of the FNRs Local govern ment \\ill facilitate and support improven>cnts to
Numbtr of individuals from FNR-adjocent villages benefit ing dirtelly from tourism concessions/leases (construction and/or operational phases)	0	>100	l'rojtct Reports Concessionaire reports I'NR quanerly/onnual reports	 the restrie-access roods and signage Commercial operators, hotel chains and tour companies y=,1 poniripate in the morktting of I'NRs
Numbtr of visitors/annum to FNRs	Day:<2000 0,emight:<300	Day: >5000 Overnight: >500	I'NR quarterly/annual reports TFS Annual Report	Risks: – Local communities living in and around lhe reS(rves connict with TFS over restrictions on thdr
Numbtr of, and income (US\$/annum) from, joint nn!Ure bee and bullerfly farms in FNRs	Number: 0 Income (USS/annum):0	Numbtr:>4 Income (USS/annum): >US\$50,000	Fann operator 3llnual and financi>l reports FNR quarterly/annual reports TFS Annual Report and Financial Audit Projtct Reports	access to, and use of, land and natural resources in I'NRs. This conflict in tum k.lds toa significant inrrrase in the illegal clarance of, and unsustainable levels of han-esting of natural resoutles from, FNRs by lese communities

PRODOC PIMS5106 Euhauciug tire Forest Nature Resenes Network ill 1'tmymia

Indlralor	DastUnc (1012/1013)	TnrgeUs (End or Projoel)	Source or •crilkallon	Ruks and Assumptions
				 The Government and TIS do not commit adequate resources and funding to significantly improve the management
Pinandal plan for FNR network	Yes	No	Financial Plan Project reports	effectiveness of FNRs. This may, in tum, 1 imit the interes1 of the priYate stor in inw.sting in
Additional ring-fenced ii'K'ome (USS/annum) raised from new/addilional donor sour&:es for FNR development and management	N/A	>US\$300,000	IFS Annual Report and Financial Audit Project Reports	large-scale tourism concessions in I'NRs



SECTION III: TOTAL BUDGET AND WORKPLAN

Allns Award ID:		1	Buslness Unll:	Tanzania
Allns ProjcctlD:	5106		Projoct Tillo:	Enhancing the Forest N•ture Res <rve biodi\trsity="" constrvation="" for="" in="" network="" t31lzani:t<="" td=""></rve>
A> <ard td="" tillt:<=""><td>PL\IS Enhancing the l'orest N•ture Restrve network for biodi\'trsity ron rvation in Tanzruti3</td><td></td><td>Implon>tnllng Partner</td><td>Tanz.ani•Forest Service</td></ard>	PL\IS Enhancing the l'orest N•ture Restrve network for biodi\'trsity ron rvation in Tanzruti3		Implon>tnllng Partner	Tanz.ani•Forest Service

GEl' Outcome/	Responsible	Fund	Donor	ATI.AS	ATJAS Budget Ol'\$cripllon	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount		
Alias Activity	Pari)//	10	Name	Budgtl		YEAR I	YEAR2	YEARJ	YEAR	YEARS		Budge
	Jmplemonllog Agent			Code		(USD)	(USD)	(USD)	4 (USD)	(USD)	TOTAI,	•
				71200	International Consultants	21 000	33 000	33 000	12 000	0	99 000	1
				71300	Local Consultants	20 000	40 000	20 000	0	0	80 000	2
				71400	Contractual Services - Individ.	60 000	60 000	40 000	30 000	20 000	210 000	3
				71600	Travel	65 000	55 000	45 000	40 000	34 000	239 000	4
				72100	Contractual Services - Comp.	190 000	480 000	400 000	70 000	0	1 140 000	5
Component I: Reforming the	NIM	62000	GEF-	72200	Equipment and furniture	45 000	160 000	80 000	30 000	0	315 000	6
			10003	72800	Information technology equip.	0	35 000	0	0	0	35 000	7
				74100 Professiona	Professional Services	30 000	18 000	17 000	15 000	10 000	90 000	8
institutional framework to				74200	Audio-visual & printing prod.	18 000	14 000	8 000	6 000	0	46 000	9
strc.'ngthen the managemtnl				75700	Training, Workshops & Conferences	8 000	6 000	6 000	3 000	3 000	26 000	10
efrmi\- tness of				Total - C	omponent 1 (GEF)	457 000	901 000	649 000	206 000	67 000	2 280 000	
notion:ll protected				71600	Travel	24 000	6 000	0	0	0	30 000	11
3fe3S				72200	Equipment and furniture	6 000	24 000	36 000	14 000	0	80 000	12
			UNDP-	72300	Materials and goods	50 000	90 000	75 000	55 000	10 000	280 000	13
	NIM	04000	TRAC	74100	Professional Services	15 000	35 000	35 000	25 000	10 000	120 000	14
				75700	Training, Workshops & Conferences	15 000	15 000	10 000	5 000	5 000	50 000	15
				Total- Co	omponent 1 (UNDP-TRAC)	110 000	170 000	156 000	99 000	25 000	560 000	
		2201			TOTAL COMPONENT 1	567 000	1 071 000	805 000	305 000	92 000	2 840 000	
2:	NIM	62000	GEF-	71300	Local Consultants	0	35 000	80 000	19 000	0	134 000	16

PRODOC PIMS5106 £nlrancing the Forest Nature Resenves Nettmrk in Tanzania

Improving the financial sustai nability of			10003									
the network of national prote.:ted		-1		71400	Contractual Services – Individ.	0	40 000	45 000	45 000	16 000	146 000	17
areas				71600	Travel	30 000	25 000	35 000	35 000	15 000	140 000	18
				72100	Contractual services - Comp.	210 000	240 000	175 000	165 000	165 000	955 000	19
				72300	Materials and goods	40 000	110 000	40 000	20 000	0	210 000	20
				75700	Conference & events	0	10 000	15 000	5 000	0	30 000	21
				Total - Component 2 (GEF)		280 000	460 000	390 000	289 000	196 000	1 615 000	
F				71200	International Consultants	0	0	30 000	0	30 000	60 000	22
	NThl	a-1000	UNDI'-	71300	Local Consultants	0	0	12 500	0	12 500	25 000	23
	IN I III	a-1000	TRAC	71600	Travel	0	0	1 500	0	1 500	3 000	24
				74100	Professional Services	5 000	18 000	15 000	14 000	5 000	57 000	25
				74200	Audio-visual & printing prod.	6 000	18 000	16 000	2 000	0	42 000	26
				75700	Training, Workshops & Conferences	8 000	14 000	12 000	4 000	2 000	40 000	27
				Total - Component 2 (UNDP-TRAC)		19 000	50 000	87 000	20 000	51 000	227 000	
ľ					TOTAL COMPONENT 2	299 000	510 000	477 000	309 000	247 000	1 842 000	
	:-liM	62000) GEI'- 10003	71400	Contractual Services - Individ.	24 000	26 000	28 000	32 000	34 000	144 000	28
				71600	Travel	12 000	10 000	9 000	9 000	6 000	46 000	29
				72800	Information technologyequipt.	15 000	0	0	0	0	15 000	30
				Total - P	roject Management (GEF)	51 000	36 000	37 000	41 000	40 000	205 000	
				71400	Contractual Services - Individ.	17 000	18 000	20 000	21 000	20 000	96 000	31
Projed				71600	Travel	8 000	8 000	7 000	6 000	6 000	35 000	32
Monagement	NThI	a-1000	UNDP- TRAC	72200	Equipment and furniture	48 000	4 000	0	0	0	52 000	33
	NIN			72400	Comms and audio-visual equip.	6 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	4 000	25 000	34
				72500	Supplies	1 500	1 000	1 000	1 000	500	5 000	35
				Total - P	roject Mngmt. (UNDP-TRAC)	80 500	36 000	33 000	33 000	30 500	213 000	
100			1	тот	AL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	131 500	72 000	70 000	74 000	70 500	418 000	
-5-	The second second			-	TOTAL PROJECT	997 500	1.653.000	11.35230000	(6585(0100)	409/500	\$1000000	

BUDGET NOTES

Budge Ill	Budget notes
	Contractual appoint ment of an international protected area planning consultancy to provide professional and technical support to the TFS in the d rafting of six

PRODOC PIMS5106 Enlrancing the Forest Nature Resenves Netll'ork in Ttmymlia

	reserve management plans, and requisite subsidiary plans (Output 1.1)
2	Contracting the services of an information systems specialist to provide technical support to TFS in establishing an information management system for FNRs
2	(Output 1.4)
	Appointment of short-term local contract labour to: (i) clear and maintain brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ USSIOO/km (Output 1.1); (ii)
3	constructinstall boom gates and reserve entry/boundary signage @ US2000/reserve (Output 1.1); and (iii) maintain (clearing, steps, drainage, signage, etc.) the
	primary footpath system in six FNRs (Output 1.2)
	Travel costs of FNRffFS staff (fuel and/or DSA) associated with: (i) the fomlal gazetting of the five new FNRs@ US\$1000/reserve(Output 1.1); (ii) the
	preparation of six management plans for FNRs @ US\$4000/reserve (Output 1.I); (iii) physically (re)locating all surveyed reserve boundary markers in five
4	FNRs @ US\$5000/resenve (Output 1.1); (iv) enforcement and compliance patrols in six: FNRs (Output 1.2); (v) the iterative negotiation of village-based MOUs
	with village governments, and provision of support to livelihood development initiatives, in five FNRs @ US\$8000/reserve (Output 1.3); (vi) 40 FNR staff to attend
	short course training and skills development programmes (Output 1.4); and (vii) FNR staff to attend the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4)
	Contractual appointment of architectural/civil engineering flnn/s to project manage the planning and construction of: (i) new offices in four FNRs @
5	US\$90000/office; (ii) the renovation and expansion of existing offices in two FNRs @ US\$4£XXXltoffice; (iii) the construction/renovation of sixteen r.mger
5	outposts in six FNRs@ US\$40000/outpost; and (iv) rehabilitate (i.e. filling, surfacing, grading, drainage, signage, etc.) the primary roads in six: FNRs (Output
	1.2)
6	Procurement of: (i) five 4x4 hard top or pickup vehicles (each equipped with an extra fuel tank, bullbar, winch, tow bar and spotlights) for six FNRs@ US\$
0	45000/vehicle (Output 1.2); and (ii) eighteen 125-250 cc off-road motorcycles for six FNRs @ US\$5000 per motorcycle (Output 1.2)
7	Procurement of computer, scanner, AIIA2 printer, router and GIS and database soflware for the information management system (Output 1.4)
8	Legal support services and communication and advertising costs associated with: (i) the gazetting of the five new FNRs@ US\$5000/reserve (Output 1.1); and (ii)
0	the drafting of village-based MOUs and collective co-management structures for six FNRs (Output 1.3)
9	Costs of audio-visual and printed information and communication materials for communities surrounding the six FNRs (Output 1.3)
10	Meeting costs (venue, meals, drinks, etc.) associated with: (i) stakeholder consultation proce5scs during the preparation of the six FNR management plans @
10	US\$1000/resence (Outpull.!); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4)
	Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awareness-raising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road
	shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/reserve (Output 1.3)
12	Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications
12	infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2)
	(i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing resenve boundary markers in five FNRs @ US\$2000/rcserve (Output
ļ	1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushculte11>) of maintaining the: brusbcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$2000/reserve (Output
	1.1); (iii) Material costs of entry points and entry/boundary signage (signs, poles, concrete, entry booms, etc.) in six FNRs (Output 1.1); (iv) Procurement of
13	uniforms (boots, overalls, trousers, shirts, hats) and other basic safety equipment (communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, first aid supplies)
	for 60 ranger staff in six: FNRs @ USSIOOO per ranger (Output 1.2); (v) arterial costs of road and footpath upgrade and maintenance (gravel, drains, gabions,
	concrete, paving, tanolith logs, etc.) in six: FNRs (Output 1.2); and (vi) Material costs of road and footpath signage (signs, poles, concrete) in six FNRs (Output
	1.2)

Т

т

PJMS5J(Xi Enhancing the Forest Nat!lrc Resenves Nt'tll'ork in Tanzania

14	Implementation of professional and technical shortcourse skills development and training programmes for 40 pre-selected staff(@ 6 courses of US\$500/courre per individual) from FNRs and other sections of TFS (Output 1.4)
15	Meeting costs (venue, meals, drinks, etc.) associated with information-sharing, communications and negotiation processes in villages adjacent to six FNRs@ US\$10000/reserYe (Output 1.3)
16	(i) Second menbosts of TFS staff (2 x 48 weeks @ US\$400/week) to maintain a !-stop shop in TFS to guide and assist prospective im-estothrough the phase I concessioninglicase process (Output 2.1); and (ii) Contracting the services of a professional fund-raiser (160 weeks @US\$600/wk) to develop and implement fund-raising initiatives (Output 2.3)
17	Appointment of local contract labour to provide support to the operations of the butterfly and bee famt joint ventures in FNRs (Output 2.3)
18	Travel costs (fuel and DSA) of FNRITFS staff associated with: (i) the tourism planning and concessioning processes (Output 2.1); (ii) the tourism planning and hosting of tour operators/agencies (Output 2.2); and (iii) the planning and management of the commercial butterfly and bee farming joint ventures (Output 2.3)
19	Contractual appointment of:(i) an international company or corporation to support the TFS in the implementation of tourism planning and tourism concessioninglleasing processes (Output 2.1); (ii) civil engineering firmls to rehabilitate (i.e. filling, surfacing, grading, drainage, signage, etc.) targeted access. roads to rNRs with a tourism concession (Output2.1); (iii) a marketing and communications company to design and implement a branding and marketing strategy, design and print marketing material (brochures, fact sheets, pamphlets, etc.) and design and host a website for FNRs (Output2.2); (iv) a financial planning firm to prepare a financial management plan for FNRs, prepare business plans for commercial ventures and assess the feasibility of water charge.s for catchment forest reserves (Output 2.3); and (v) NGO/NPOs or private operator to project-manage the implementation of the business plans for the butterfly and bee farming joint ventures (Output 2.3)
20	(i) Material costs (including installation costs) of 30 directional signs to the FNRs@ US\$1000/sign (Output 2.2); and (ii) Procurement of butterfly and bee farming materials (bee - hives and stands; protective clothing; honey extractors; stainless steel storage tanks; buckets; honey filters; etc. / butterfly - composters; irrigation system; shade net cages; buckets; collection traps/nets; shipping boxes; etc.) (Outpu12.3)
21	Meeting costs (\enue, meals, drinks.etc.) associated with: hosting prospective inve.s.tor meetings and tourism stakeholder consultation meetings (Output 2.1);
22	Contracting the services of: (i) an international mid-term evaluation consultant (IO weeks @US3000/wk) (M&E); and (ii) an international final evaluation consultant (10 weeks @US3000/wk) (M&E)
23	Contracting the service-s of: (i) a local mid-temt evaluation consultant (10 weeks @USIOOO/wk) (M&E); and (ii) a local final evaluation consultant (15 weeks @USIOOO/wk) (M&E)
24	Local travel costs and DSA of international consultants (M&E)
25	(i) Implementation of a nature based tourism development and training programmes for 6 pre-selected staff (@US\$5000/staff member) from FNRs and other sections of TFS (Output2.1); (ii) Translation and meeting rosts of inception meeting (J\1&E); and (iii) Annual auditing of project @US\$6000/audit (M:&E)
26	Production rosts of preparing tourism plans, concessions manual, investment prospectus and tourism information packages (Output 2.1)
27	Costs (transport, meals, drinks, overnight accommodation) of hosting day and overnight visits to FNRs for targeted tour operaton>/agencicslhotel chains@ 20 site visits @ US\$2000/visit (Output 2.2)
28	Contractual appointment of a Project Manager(@ US\$600/wk for 240wks)
29	Pro rata travel costs (fuel and DSA) of project management staff
30	Laptops, software licenses, portable hard drive, router, printers, 3G cards, data projector, ISP contract for project staff

.

.

PJMS5106 Enhancing lhe Forest Nt!lure Resen•es Netll'ork in Tanumio

	reserve management plans, and requisite subsidiary plans (Output 1.1)
2	Contracting the services of an information systems specialist to provide technical support to TFS in e-stablishing an information management system for FNRs
2	(Output 1.4)
	Appointment of shorHemtlocal contract labour to: (i) clear and maintain brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$100Jkm (Output 1.1); (ii)
3	construct/install boom gates and reserve entry/boundary signage @ US2000/rese£Ye (Output 1.1); and (iii) maintain (clearing, steps, drainage, signage, etc.) the
	primary footpath system in six FNRs (Output 1.2)
	Travel costs of FNR!fFS staff (fuel and/or DSA) associated with: (i) the formal gazetting of the five new FNRs @ US\$1000/reserYe(Output 1.1); (ii) the
	preparation of six management plans for FNRs @ USS4000/reserve (Output 1.1); (iii) physic-ally (re)locating all surveyed reserve boundary markers in five
4	FNRs @ USS5000/reserve (Output 1.1); (iv) enforcement and compliance patrols in six FNRs (Output 1.2); (v) the iterative negotiation of village-based MOUs
	with village governments, and provision of support to livelihood de/Clopment initiatives, in ftYe FNRs @ US\$8000/reserve (Output 1.3); (Yi) 40 FNR staff to
	attend short course training and skills development programmes (Output 1.4); and (vii) FNR staff to attend the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4)
	Contractual appointment of architectural/civil engineering firm/s to project manage the planning and construction of: (i) new offices in four FNRs@
5	US\$90000/office; (ii) the renovation and expansion of existing offices in two FNRs @ US\$40000/office; (iii) the construction/renovation of sixteen ranger
5	outposts in six FNRs@ USS400Cl0/outpost; and (iv) rehabilitate (i.e. filling, surfacing, groding, drainage, sign age, etc.) the primary roads in six FNRs (Output
	1.2)
6	Procurement of: (i) five 4x4 hard top or pickup vehicles (each equipped with an extra fuel tank, bullbar, winch, tow bar and spotlights) for six FNRs@ US\$
0	45000/vehiclc (Output L2);and (ii) eighteen 125-250 cc off-road motorcycles for six FNRs @ US\$5000 per motorcycle (Output 1.2)
7	Procun:ment of computer, scanner, AI/A2 printer, router and GIS and database software for the information management system (Output 1.4)
8	Legal support services and communication and advertising co.sts a£sociated with: (i) the gazetting of the five new FNRs @ US\$5000/reserve (Output 1.1); and (ii)
	the drafting of village-based MOUs and collective co-management structures for six FNRs (Output 1.3)
9	Costs of audio-visual and printed information and communication materials for communities surrounding the six FNRs (Output 1.3)
	Meeting costs (wnue, meals, drinks, etc.) afsociated with: (i) stakeholder consultation processe-s during the preparation of the six FNR management plans @
IO	Meeting costs (wnue, meals, drinks, etc.) a£sociated with: (i) stakeholder consultation processe-s during the preparation of the six FNR management plans @ US\$1000/resen-e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4)
	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road
10 11	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4)
11	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications
	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2)
	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output
	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushcutters) of maintaining the brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs @ US\$2000/rcsen'e (Output
11	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushcutters) of maintaining the brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen'e (Output 1.1); (iii) Material costs of enll)' points and entry/boundary signagc (signs, poles, concrete, entry booms, etc.) in six FNRs (Output 1.1); (iv) Procurement of
11	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen-e (Output 1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushcutters) of maintaining the brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen'e (Output 1.1); (iii) Material costs of enll)' points and entry/boundary signagc (signs, poles, concrete, entry booms, etc.) in six FNRs (Output 1.1); (iv) Procurement of unifonns (boots, overalls, trousers, shirls, hats) and other basic safety equipment (communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, fm;t aid supplies)
11	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushcutters) of maintaining the brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (iii) Material costs of enll)' points and entry/boundary signagc (signs, poles, concrete, entry booms, etc.) in six FNRs (Output 1.1); (iv) Procurement of unifonns (boots, overalls, trousers, shir1s, hats) and other basic safety equipment (communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, fm;t aid supplies) for 60 ranger staff in six FNRs @ USS1000 per ranger (Output 1.2); (v) arterial costs ofroad and footpath upgrade and maintenance (gmvel, drains, gabions,
11 12	US\$1000/resen e (Output 1.1); and (ii) the annual FNR working forum meetings (Output 1.4) Travel costs associated with the implementation of a communications and awarcness-mising program in villages adjacent to five FNRs and informational road shows presented to local government administrations@ US\$6000/rcscrve (Output 1.3) Procurement of basic furnishing and equipment for ranger outposts and administrative offices, including inter alia desks, tables, chairs, communications infrastructure and storage space @US\$5000 per office/outpost (Output 1.2) (i) Material costs (i.e. stone, cement, concrete beacons) of installing, replacing or repairing reserYe boundary markers in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (ii) Material costs (e.g. slashers, axes, chainsaws, brushcutters) of maintaining the brushcut reserve boundaries in five FNRs@ US\$2000/rcsen e (Output 1.1); (iii) Material costs of enll)' points and entry/boundary signagc (signs, poles, concrete, entry booms, etc.) in six FNRs (Output 1.1); (iv) Procurement of unifonns (boots, overalls, trousers, shir1s, hats) and other basic safety equipment (communications, torch, backpack, binoculars, water bottles, fm;t aid supplies)

PIMS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

14	Implementation of professional and technical shortcourse skills development and training programmes for 40 pre-selected starr(@ 6 courses of USS500/course
	per individual) from FNRs and other sections of TFS (Output 1.4)
15	Meeting costs (venue, meals, drinks, etc.) associated with infomtation-sharing, communications and negotiation processes in villages adjacent to six FNRs@ US\$10000/reserve (Output 1.3)
16	(i) Sccondment cosls of TFS staff(2 x 48 weeks@ US\$400/week) to maintain a 1 stop shop in TFS to guide and assist prospective investors through the phase 1 concessioninglease process (Output 2.1); and (ii) Contracting the services of a professional fund-raiser (160 weeks @US\$600/wk) to develop and implement fund-raising initiatives (Output 2.3)
17	Appointment of local contract labour to provide support to the operations of the butterfly and bee farm joint venture-S in FNRs (Output 2.3)
18	Travel costs (fuel and DSA) of FNRITFS staff associated with: (i) the tourism planning and concessioning processes (Output 2.1); (ii) the tourism planning and hosting of tour operators/agencies (Output 2.2); and (iii) the planning and management of the commercial butterfly and bee farming joint ventures (Output 2.3)
19	Contractual appointment of: (i) an international company or corporation to support the TFS in the implementation of tourism planning and tourism concessioninglleasing processes (Output 2.1); (ii) civil engineering finn/s to rehabilitate (i.e. filling, surfacing, grading, drainage, signage, etc.) targeted access roads to FNRs with a tourism concession (Output 2.1); (iii) a marketing and communications company to design and implement a branding and marketing strntegy, design and print marketing material (brochures, fact sheets, pamphlets, etc.) and design and host a website for FNRs (Output 2.2); (iv) a financial planning firm to prepare a financial management plan for FNRs, prepare business plans for commercial ventures and assess the feasibility of water charges for catchment forest resenves (Output 2.3); and (v) NGO/NPOs or private operator to project-manage the implementation oft business plans for the butterlly and bee farming joint ventures (Output 2.3)
20	(i) Material costs (including installation costs) of 30 directional signs to the FNRs@ USSIOOO/sign (Output 2.2); and (ii) Prucurement of butterfly and bee farming materials (bee- hives and stands; protective clothing; honey extractors; stainless steel storage tanks; buckets; honey filters; etc./ butterfly- composters; irrigation system; shade net cages; buckets; collection traps/nets; shipping boxes; etc.) (Output 2.3)
21	Meeting costs (venue, meals, drinks, etc.) associated with: hosting prospective investor meetings and tourism stakeholder consultation meetings (Output 2.1);
22	Contracting the services of: (i) an international mid-term evaluation consultant (10 weeks @US3000/wk) (M&E); and (ii) an international final evaluation consultant (10 weeks @US3000/wk) (M&E)
23	Contracting the services of: (i) a local mid-teml evaluation consultant (IO weeks @USIOOO/wk) (M&E); and (ii) a local final evaluation consultant (15 weeks @USIOOO/wk) (M&E)
24	Loc.altravel costs and DSA of international consultants (M&E)
25	(i) Implementation of a nature-based tourism development and training programmes for 6 pre-selected staff(@US\$5000/staff member) from FNRs and other sections of TFS (Output 2.1); (ii) Translation and meeting costs of inception meeting (M&E); and (iii) Annual auditing of project @US\$6000/audit (M&E)
26	Production costs of preparing tourism plans, concessions manual, investment prospectus and tourisminfom1ation packages (Output 2.1)
27	Costs (transport, meals, drinks, overnight accommodation) of hosting day and overnight visits to rNRs for targeted tour opemtors/agencies/hotel chains @ 20 site visits @ US\$2000/visit {Output 2.2}
28	Conlr.ictual appointment of a Project Manager{@ US\$600/wk for 240wks)
29	Pro rata travel costs (fuel and DSA) of project management staff
30	Laptops, software licenses, portable hard drive, router, printers, 3G cards, data projector, ISP contract for project staff

Plt.fS5106 EtJiumcillg the Forest Nature Reserves Neflmrk ill Tanzania

31	Contractual appointment of a Project Administrative Assistant (USS400/wk for 240 weeks)	
32	Pro rata travel costs (fuel and DSA) of project management staff	
33	Procurement of dedicated vehicle for the project management team (pickup or hard top) and office chairs, desks, tables, storage cupboards, etc.	
34	Cell phone costs of project management staff	
35	Procurement of office supplies	

SUMMARY OF FUNDS':		Year I	Vtarl	Y arJ	Yar4	YearS	TOTAl.
	Fundtr • GEF	788 000	I 397 000	I 076000	536000	303 000	4100 000
	Funder • UNOP-TRAC	209 500	256000	276 000	152 000	106 500	000
	TOTA1,	997 500	1 653 000	1352 000	688 000	409 500	5 100 000

		% orrunder-	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT COST	ommltlrd amount		
GEF	205000	5.0%	
UNDIOAS	913 000	5.0%	

• Refer to Section IY PM IV for the ro-fin:mcing break dol/11.11Je above refers only to funds m.Inaged undtr lh<: Full-Project's Alias Award.

PRODOC P/MS5/06 Enhancing /Ire Forest Nature Resen·I's Network ill Tcmzmria

SECTION IV: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

PART 1: Terms of Reference for project staff

PROJECT COORDINATOR

Background

The Project Coordinatorwill be locally recruited, based on an open competitive process. He/She will be responsible for the overall management of the project, including the mobilization of all project inputs, supervision over project staff, consultants and sub-contractors. The Project Coordinatorwill report to the PD for all of the project's substantive and administrative issues. From the strategic point of view of the project, the Project Coordinatorwill report on a periodic basis to the Project Steering Committee(PSC). Generally he/she will be responsible for meeting government obligations under the project, under the national implementation modality (NIM). The incumbent will pelform a liaison role with the Government, UNDP, implementing partners, NGOs and other stakeholders, and maintain close collaboration with any donor agencies supporting **project activities.**

Duties and Responsibilities

- Supervise and coordinate the production of project outputs, as per the project document;
- Mobilize all project inputs in accordance with procedures for nationally implemented projects;
- Supervise and coordinate the work of all project staff, consultants and sub-contractors;
- Coordinate the recruitment and selection of project personnel;
- Prepare and revise project work and financial plans;
- Liaise with UNDP, relevant government agencies, and all project pallners, including donor organizations and NGOs for effective coordination of all project activities;
- Facilitate administrative backstopping to subcontractors and training activities supported by the **project;**
- Oversee and ensure timely submission of the Inception Report, Combined Project Implementation Review/Annual Project Report (PIR/APR), Technical repm1s, qum1erly financial reports, and other reports as may be required by UNDP, GEF, TFSand other oversight agencies;
- Disseminate project reports and respond to queries from concerned stakeholders;
- Report progress of project to the PSC, and ensure the fulfilment of PSC directives;
- Oversee the exchange and sharing of experiences and lessons learned with relevant conuunity based integrated conservation and development projects nationally and internationally;
- Ensure the timely and effective implementation of all components of the project;
- Assist relevant government agencies and project pm1ners including donor organizations and NGOswith development of essential skills through training workshops and on the job training thereby upgrading their institutional capabilities;

- Coordinate and assists national Pis with the initiation and implementation of any field studies and monitoring components of the project
- Carry regular, announced and unannounced inspections of all sites and the activities of any project site management units.

Qualifications and experience

- A post-graduate university degree in Business and/or Environmental Management;
- At least 10 years of relevant experience in business and/or natural resource planning and management (preferably in the context of protected area financial planning and management);
- At least 5 years of project management experience;
- Work experience in international projects or within international organisations is highly desirable;
- Working experience with the project national stakeholder institutions and agencies is desired;
- Ability to effectively coordinate a large, multi-stakeholder project;
- Ability to administer budgets, train and work effectively with counterpart staff at all levels and with all groups involved in the project;
- Strong writing, presentation and reporting skills;
- Strong computer skills;
- Excellent written communication skills; and
- A good working knowledge of Kiswahili is a requirement.

PROJECT ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Background

The Project Administrative Assistant (PAA) will be locally recmited based on an open competitive process. He/She will be responsible for the overall administration of the project. The Project Assistant will report to the Project Coordinator. Generally, the Project Administrative Assistant will be responsible for supporting the Project Coordinator in meeting government obligations under the project, under the national implementation modality (NIM).

Duties and Responsibilities

- Collect, register and maintain all information on project activities;
- Contribute to the preparation and implementation of progress reports;
- Monitor project activities, budgets and financial expenditures;
- Advise all project counterparts on applicable administrative procedures and ensures their proper implementation;
- Maintain project correspondence and connunication;
- Support the preparations of project work-plans and operational and financial planning processes;
- Assist in procurement and recruitment processes;

- Assist in the preparation of payments requests for operational expenses, salaries, insurance, etc. against project budgets and work plans;
- Follow-up on timely disbursements by UNDP CO;
- Receive, screen and distribute correspondence and attach necessary background information;
- Prepare routine conespondence and memoranda for Project Coordinators signature;
- Assist in logistical organization of meetings, training and workshops;
- Prepare agendas and arrange field visits, appointments and meetings both internal and external related to the project activities and write minutes from the meetings;
- Maintain a project filing system;
- Maintain records over project equipment inventory; and
- Perform other duties as required.

Qualifications and experience

- A post-school qualification (diploma, or equivalent);
- At least 5 years of relevant administrative and/or bookkeeping experience;
- Work experience in international projects or within international organisations is highly desirable;
- Demonstrable ability to administer project budgets, and track financial expenditure;
- Demonstrable ability to maintain effective communications with different stakeholders, and arrange stakeholder meetings and/or workshops;
- Excellent computer skills, in pat1icular mastery of all applications of the MS Office package;
- Excellent written communication skills; and
- A good working knowledge of Kiswahili is a requirement.

OTHER CONSULTANTS/ CONTRACTED INDIVIDUALS

Position Titles	Indicative \$/person/ week	Estimated person weeks	Tasks to be performed
Local			
Information management system specialist	1000	80	Output 1.4 \Vork with designated TFS staff to: identify the scope of information needs; develop data and information collection methodologies; collate existing and new information; converting information into electronic datasets; design and establish an electronic information management system; identify hardware, software and networking requirements; develop data access and maintenance protocols; and train at least 2 staff members from TFS in GIS, geospatial database administration.

Position Titles	Indicative \$/person/ week	Estimated person weeks	Tasks to be performed
PPP administrative	400	48	davelophdent.
support- seconded		-	Maintain a 1-stop shop in TFS to guide and assist prospective
TFS staff (2)			investors through the phase 1 (Output 2.1). This may include:
			distribution of investor prospectus to prospective investors; liaison
			with prospective investors; organising site visits for prospective
			investors; responding to requests for information; liaison with FNR
			conservators; inviting EOis for tourism development concessioning
			and records of the
Professional fund-	1000	96	Output 2.3
raiser			Identify projects for external funding; target potential funders for
			these projects; prepare detailed funding proposals; liaise with
			different development partners and other prospective funders; and
			build working partnerships with development partners and other
			funders
Evaluation experts for	1000	25	M&E
mid-term (1) and final			The standard UNDP/GEF project evaluation TOR will be used. This
(I) evaluation			will include: supporting the mid-term and the final evaluations;
			assisting the international evaluation consultant in order to assess the
			project progress, achievement of results and impacts; supporting the
			drafting of the evaluation report and discussing it with the project
			team, government and UNDP; and as necessary, participating in
			discussions to extract lessons for UNDP and GEF.
International			
Destante de ser a la c	2000	22	0
Protected area planning	3000	33	Output 1.1 Describe professional and technical "healesterning" surgest to TES in
specialist			Provide professional and technical 'backstopping' support to TFS in
			the iterative drafting of new, and the updating of existing RMPs (and
	2000	1.4	linked subsidiary plans) in six FNRs (Output 1.1)
experts for	3000	14	M&E
mid-term (1) and final			The standard UNDP/GEF project evaluation TOR will be used. This
(1) evaluation			will include: leading the mid-term and the final evaluations; working
			with the local evaluation consultant in order to assess the project
			progress, achievement of results and impacts; developing the draft
			evaluation report and discussing it with the project team, government
			and UNDP; and as necessary, participating in discussions to extract
			lessons for UNDP and GEF.

Complete and more thorough ToRsfor these positions will be developed by the Project Coordinator, once recruited.

PART II: Project maps



Contextual maps of the targeted Forest Natme Reserves (proposed • Chome, Magamba, Mkingu, Uzungwa Scarp and Minziro; existing-Rungwe)



_Wtlf!U








PART III: Stakeholder Involvement Plan and Coordination with other Related Initiatives

1. Stake/wider idelllijication

During the project preparation stage, a stakeholder analysis was undertaken in order to identify key stakeholders, assess their interests in the project and defines their roles and responsibilities in project implementation. The table below describes the major categories of stakeholders identified, and the level of involvement envisaged in the project.

	respect of FNRs)	project
	Ministries, Departments and Agencie	
Vice President's Öffice (VPO)	The DoE is responsible for the coordination of all national and international matters related to environmental protection and management.	The DoE will ensure the alignment and integration of the project activities with national environmental strategies and plans.
Division of Environment (DoE)	It is also responsible for national reporting to the relevant international conventions.	
Ministry of Natural	The WINKT has responsibility for overseeing	Theiwing the FBD, facilitat
Resources and Tourism (MNRT)	the management of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in the country.	the formal proclamation of the targeted FNRs. It will also develop the enabling policies and regulations in support of the
Forest and Beekeping Division (FBD)	The FBD is directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectors	effective planning and management of FNRs.
Tanzania Forest Service (TFS)	TFS is is an executive agency mandated with the management of national forest reserves (natural and plantations), bee reserves and forest and bee resources on general lands	The TFS will have overall responsibility for implementation of the project. It will coordinate the implementation of all project activities, and may be responsible for the direct implementation of a number of these activities. It will take the lead role in ensuring ongoing communications with all government agencies and other partners in respect of project implementation.
Tanzania Tourist Board (TFB)	TTB is a government organisation responsible for the the second development of the tourism industry, and ensuring compliance	THE dues will assist the project in the nither any planned development activity implemented
National Envil'onment Management Council	The	by the project will conform to all national environmental quality standards.
(NEMC)	With, the national environmental quality The MEM standards. developme	The MEM will support the project by assisting in the regulation, monitoring

Table 17: Stakeholder Involvement Plan

Ministry of Enel.gy and	is responsible	e for facilitating	
Minerals	of the	and mineral sectors	and

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the
	respect of FINRs) in Tanzania through legislation, policies, strategies and plans for sustainable use.	project enforcement of illegal woodfuel harvesting and mining activities in, or impacting on, FNRs.
Ministry of Finance and Economic Affairs (MFEA)	The MFEA is the central executive authority responsible for national financial policy and the management of state finances.	The MFEA will be res:po•nstme for ensuring the ongoing allocation of funds in the state budget for TFS (and thus FNRs).
	The MFEA prepares, administers and monitors the state budget.	The MFEA will approve any state budget funds to be allocated as co-financing for the project.
Prime Minister's Office- Regional Adminsistration and Local Government (PMORALG)	The PMORALG is responsible for improving the coordination between MDAs, Regional Administrations and Local Government Authorities. They are also responsible for monitoring and improving the institutional capacity and management systems of local government to deliver better quality services.	The PMORALG will facilitate improved linkages between, and alignment with, the project activities and relevant local government initiatives and programmes. PMORALG may also fund, through the Regional Authorities, complementary community development projects around FNRs.
Regional authorities (Regional Administrative Secretariats, RAS)	The regional authorities provide technical advice and support, and exercise supervision to, the District Councils.	
Distl'ict Councils	District Councils are responsible for delivering a range of social, economic and ecological services within their territories of jurisdiction.	WDCs may provide arbitration and conflict resolution services, where conflicts may arise between communities and FNRs. District/ \Vard community development staff working in the region of FNRs will support
Ward Development Council (IVDC)	The \VDC is responsible for developing general development plans for the ward. Further, the WDC must manage disasters and environmental related activities within its	the implementation of project activities.
Village Authorities	ward. for The Village Councils are planning and coordinating development activities; rendering assistance and advice to the villagers engaged in agriculture, forestry, horticultural, industrial or any other activity; and for encouraging village residents to undertake and participate in communal enterprises.	Village Councils will provide a institutional vehicle for the project to secure the support, involvement and beneficiation of local communities in project-related activities. They will represent affected communities in the negotiation and conclusion of JFA's between TFS and local communities.
Village Assembly (Village Council)	Any proposed by-laws must be adopted by the village assembly before being submitted to the District Council for approval.	

PIMS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reselves Network in Tanmnia

PART III: Stakeholder Involvement Plan and Coordination with other Related Initiatives

1. Stakeholder identification

100004-00

During the project preparation stage, a stakeholder analysis was undertaken in order to identify key stakeholders, assess their interests in the project and defines their roles and responsibilities in project implementation. The table below describes the major categories of stakeholders identified, and the level of involvement envisaged in the project.

Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs)Vice President's Office (VPO)The DoE is responsible for the coordination of all national and international matters related to environmental protection and management. It is also responsible for national reporting to the relevant international conventions.The DoE will ensure the a integration of the project a national environmental straMinistry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT)The MNRT has responsible for overseeing the management of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in the country.The MNRT will, through the the forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectorsThe TFS will have overall implementation of the project and bee resources on general landsTanzania Tourist Board (ITB)TIB is a government organisation responsible for the promotion and development of the The VIMU-% responsible forThe TTB will assist the pr The VIMU.% responsible for	ponsibilities in the
Vice President's Office (VPO)The DoE is responsible for the coordination of all national and international matters related to environmental protection and management. It is also responsible for national reporting to the relevant international conventions.The DoE will ensure the a integration of the project an national environmental straMinistry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT)The MNRT has responsibility for overseeing the management of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in the country.The MNRT will, through t the fBD is directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectorsThe TFS will have overall implementation of the project an activities, and may be resp direct implementation of activities. It will take the I ensuring ongoing communit government agencies and respect of project implement activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of the project and activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of the activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of the activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of the activities and tremptonen of the project implement of the activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of the project implement of the project implement of the activities. It will assist the pr BOMMENGE and tremptonen of the project implement of	
(DoE)the relevant international conventions.Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism (MNRT)The MNRT has responsiblity for overseeing the management of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in the country.The MNRT will, through of the formal proclamation of FNRs. It will also develop policies and regulations in effective planning and mat FNRs.Forest and Beekeping Division (FBD)The FBD is directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectorsThe TFS will have overall implementation of the pro- coordinate the implementa activities, and may be resp direct implementation of a activities. It will take the I ensuring ongoing communi government agencies and respect of project implement activities. It will take the I ensuring ongoing communi government agencies and respect of project implement activities and may be respTanzania Tourist Board (ITB)TIB is a government organisation responsible for the promotion and development of theThe TTB will assist the pr	ctivities with
Resources and Tourism (MNRT)the management of all natural, cultural and tourism resources in the country.the formal proclamation of FNRs. It will also develop policies and regulations in effective planning and mar FNRs.Forest and Beekeping Division (FBD)The FBD is directly responsible for the development of forest policy, laws and regulations and supervising their implementation in the forestry sectorsthe formal proclamation of FNRs. It will also develop policies and regulations in effective planning and mar FNRs.Tanzania Forest Service (TFS)TFS is is an executive agency mandated with the management of national forest reserves (natural and plantations), bee reserves and forest and bee resources on general landsThe TFS will have overall implementation of the pro- coordinate the implementa activities, and may be resp direct implementation of a activities. It will take the I ensuring ongoing community government agencies and respect of project implement for the promotion and development of the	
(TFS)the management of national forest reserves (natural and plantations), bee reserves and forest and bee resources on general landsThe TFS will have overall implementation of the pro- coordinate the implementa activities, and may be resp direct implementation of a activities. It will take the l ensuring ongoing commun government agencies and respect of project implement for the promotion and development of theThe TTB will assist the pro- production and proportion	f the targeted the enabling a support of the
(ITB) for the promotion and development of the products	ject. It will ation of all project ponsible for the a number of these lead role in nications with all other partners in
and services in f	ensuring that any
Environment Management Council (NEMC)enforcement of, and ensuring compliance with, the national environmental quality standards.planned development acti by the project will confor environmental quality standards.	rm to all national
Ministry of Energy and developmy is responsible for facilitating The MEM will support the assisting in the regulation	
Ministry of Energy and development is responsible for facilitating assisting in the regulation Minet als of the and mineral sectors	and

Table 17: Stakeholder Involvement Plan

PRODOC PIMS5106 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs)	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the project
	in Tanzania through legislation, policies, strategies and plans for sustainable use.	enforcement of illegal woodfuel harvesting and mining activities in, or impacting on, FNRs.
Ministry of Finance and Economic Affairs (MFEA)	The MFEA is the central executive authority responsible for national financial policy and the management of state finances.	the ongoing allocation of funds in the state budget for TFS (and thus FNRs).
	The MFEA prepares, administers and monitors the state budget.	The MFEA will approve any state budget funds to be allocated as co-financing for the project.
Prime Minister's Office Regional Adminsistration and Local Government (PMORALG)	The PMORALG is responsible for improving the coordination between MDAs, Regional Administrations and Local Government Authorities. They are also responsible for monitoring and improving the institutional capacity and management systems of local government to deliver better quality services.	The PMORALG willimprovedlinkages between, and alignment with, theproject activities and relevant localgovernment initiatives and programmes.PMORALG may also fund, through theRegional Authorities, complementarycommunity development projects aroundFNRs.
Regional authorities (Regional Administrative Secretariats, RAS)	The regional authorities provide technical advice and support, and exercise supervision to, the District Councils.	
	Local Authorities (I	LGAs)
\Vard Development Council (\VDC)	District for arefordelivering a range of social, economic andecological services within their territories ofjurisdiction.The WDC is responsible for developinggeneral development plans for the ward.Further, the \VDC must manage disasters and	WDCs may provide and connic resolution services, where connicts may arise between communities and FNRs. District! Ward community development sta working in the region of FNRs will support the implementation of project activities.
	environmental related activities within its ward.	
village Authorities	planning and coordinating development activities; rendering assistance and advice to the villagers engaged in agriculture, forestry, horticultural, industrial or any other activity; and for encouraging village residents to undertake and participate in communal enterprises.	Village Councils will provide a institutional vehicle for the project to secur the support, involvement and beneficiation local communities in project-related activities. They will represent affected communities in the negotiation and conclusion of JFA's between TFS and loca communities.
Village Assembly (Village Council)	Any proposed by-laws must be adopted by the village assembly before being submitted to the District Council for	

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs)	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the project		
Village Natural Resource Committees (VNRC)	The VNRC are responsible for overseeing the protection, conservation and lawful utilization of forest resources	The VNRC will actively support the in situ implementation of project activities, notably in the monitoring and enforcement of reserve regulations. They will assist in the development and adoption of any regulations and by-laws necessary for the ofFNRs.		
Multilateral organizations(e,g, UNDP, EU, GIZ, World Bank)	Multilateral organisations will play a critical ro assistance (through the MNRT, TFS, TFF, PMC development and operationalization of FNRs.			
Development Partners Group (DPG)	The DPG will provide the institutional framewor aligning project activities with other complement across Tanzania.			
Eastern Arc Mountains	The EAMCEF will provide targeted funding, support to FNRs, and			
Endowment Fund	surrounding communities, within the Eastern Arc region in support of project activities.			
Non-Gover	nment Organisations (NGOs) and Community	Based Organisations (CBO's)		
ГFCG,	NGOs and CBOs will support project activities	through the ongoing implementation of		
NGOs (e.g. WWF, WSCT, CARE, Cl, AWF, CEPF,	complementary training, awareness-raising and education programmes in the villages abutting the FNRs.			
IUCN,MJUMITA TNRF)	NGOs and CBOs may also be contracted or concessioned, on a competitive bid basis, to implement specific community-development, tourism development or conservation management project activities.			
enos (e.g. women/ youth groups,	The project may also enter into partnership agreements with existing NGO- or CEO-funded initiatives in, or linked to, the conservation management of FNRs.			

people living around the FNRs are one of the principal stakeholders in the project. Local people will be directly involved in, and benefit from, project activities in a number of ways. These include inter alia: (i) direct employment in conservation and tourism activities within the FNRs; (ii) participation in community-state-private sector nature-based tourism enterprises; (iii) training for, and involvement in, alternative livelihood and energy-use projects in villages; (iv) establishment and administration of community-based tourism/recreation enterprise; (v) controlled/subsidised access to sustainable natural resource use in fNRs; and (vi) co-management of FNRs.

Academic institutions	Academic institutions will provide technical and	support to, as	as supply and
(e.g. Sokoine university,	maintain key datasets for, the project.		
Institute of Resource	They may also be contracted, on a competitive bid basis, to it	mplement specific	research,
Assessment, TAFORI,	technical and training/skills development project activities.		
IRA, Olmotonyi,			
TAWIRI, MWIKA)			

PRODOC

PIMS5/06 Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network in Tanzania

Organisation	Mandate of the organisation (particularly in respect of FNRs)	Anticipated roles and responsibilities in the project
Tanzania Association of Foresters	The Tanzania Association of Foresters will prov project in the implementation of activities.	ide professional advice and support to the
	Private sector	
recreation destinations for and marketing of commen	an important project partner in the ongoing develop r local and international visitors. They will directly rcial concessions in FNRs. They may also assist in re-based tourism services and facilities in and arour	participate in the establishment, management supporting, or partnering in, community- based
	of Tour Operators, seek to include specific FNRs (a	•
	ease visitor numbers (and hence income) to FNRs. It the private sector may procure carbon credits thro	
0	itation and restoration of native forests in the FNRs.	e .

The Tanzania Forest Service (TFS) will be the main institution responsible for different aspects of project implementation. **It** will work in close cooperation with all other affected institutions.

2. Information dissemination, consultation, and similar activities that took place during the PPG

Throughout the project's development, very close contact was maintained with stakeholders at the national and local levels. All affected national and local government institutions were directly involved in project development, as were key donor agencies. Numerous consultations occurred with all of the above stakeholders to discuss different aspects of project design. These consultations included the following:

- (i) At the national level, consultations were held in Dares Salaam with the senior management of the TFS, the office of the Office of the Vice President's Office (Environment) and the UNDP CO. These meetings were designed to seek clarification as well as confirmation of government commitments, particularly related to co-financing of the project.
- (ii) A series consultative visits to, and meetings with the management of, all the target 6 FNRs located in Mbeya, Kagera, Lindi, Morogoro, Tanga and Kilimanjaro regions were undertaken. These meetings sought to collect evidence-based data, driven by the reality on the ground.
- (iii) Consultative workshops were organized in Dar es Salaam with representatives of all key NGOs currently implementing activities in target FNRs in order to understand the scope of their projects and explore possibilities for synergy, including co-financing.
- (iv) A consultationmeeting was held in Morogoro, bringing together all the conservators from the II FNRs, to review the draft project document and provide the necessary comments on the accuracy, adequacy and practicability of the proposed interventions.
- (v) Aconsolidated stakeholder workshop was convened in Morogoro, where project activities were presented for approval and endorsement by all stakeholders. This workshop included representatives from key government Ministries (i.e. Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism, Minist1y of

Agriculture Food Security and Cooperatives, Ministry of Livestock Development and Fisheries, Ministry of Water and Jn-igation, Ministry of Industries Trade and Marketing, PMO-RALG, training and research institutions, development prulners, NGOs and civil society partners.

(vi) Finally, after the draft documentation wasprepared, it was then circulated for final.review and comments and inputs.

3. Approach to stakeholder participation

The projects approach to stakeholder involvement and patlicipation during project implementation is premised on the principles outlined in the table below.

l'rindpll'	Stalwhuldl'r r•n• tkipntion mill:	
Value Adding	be an essential means of adding value to the project	
Inclusivity	nclude all relevant stakeholders	
Accessibility and Access	be accessible and promote access to the process	
Transparency	be based on transparency and fair access to information; main provisions of the project's	
	plans and results will be published in local mass-media	
Fairness	ensure that all stakeholders are treated in a fair and unbiased way	
Accountability	be based on a commitment to accountability by all stakeholders	
Constructive	Seek to manage conflict and promote the public interest	
Redressing	Seek to redress inequity and injustice	
Capacitating	Seek to develop the capacity of all stakeholders	
Needs Based	be based on the needs of all stakeholders	
Flexible	be flexibly designed and implemented	
Rational and Coordinated	be rationally planned and coordinated, and not be ad hoc	
Excellence	be subject to ongoing reflection and improvement	

4. Stakeholder involvement plan

The project's design incorporates several features to ensure ongoing and effective stakeholder participation in the project's implementation. The mechanisms to facilitate involvement and active participation of different stakeholder in project implementation will comprise a number of different elements:

(i)Project inception workshop to enable stakeholder awareness of the stru-t of project implementation

The project will be launched by a multi-stakeholder workshop. This workshop will provide an oppmtunity to provide all stakeholders with the most updated information on the project and the project work plan. It will also establish a basis for further consultation as the project's implementation commences.

The inception workshop will address a number of key issues including: assist all partners to fully understand and take ownership of the project; detail the roles, support services and complementary responsibilities of TFS and FNRs staff vis å vis the adjacent communities; and discuss the roles, functions, and responsibilities within the project structure, including reporting and communication lines, and conflict resolution mechanisms.

The Workshop will also be a forum to: finalize the first annual work plan as well as review and agree on the indicators, targets and their means of verification, and recheck assumptions and risks; provide a detailed overview of reporting, monitoring and evaluation (M&E) requirements; and plan and schedule project meetings for the Project Steering Committee.

(ii)Constitution of Project Steering Committeeto ensure representation of stakeholder interests in project

A Project Steering Committee(PSC) will be constituted to ensure broad representation of all key interests throughout the project's implementation. The representation, and broad terms of reference, of the PSC are fmther described in Section **I**, Part ill(Management Arrangements) of the Project Document.

(iii)<u>Establishment of a Project Management team to oversee stakeholder engagement processes during</u> project

The Project Management team - comprising a Project Coordinatorandpart-time Project Administrative Assistant (PAA)- will take direct operational and administrative responsibility for facilitating stakeholder involvement and ensuring increased local ownership of the project and its results. The Project Coordinator and PAA will be located close to, or in, the TFS offices in Dares Salaam to ensure coordination among key stakeholder organizations at the national level during the project period.

(iv) Project communications to facilitate ongoing awareness of project

The project will develop, implement and maintain a communications strategy to ensure that all stakeholders are informed on an ongoing basis about: the project's objectives; the projects activities; overall project progress; and the oppmtunities for involvement in various aspects of the project's implementation. This strategy will ensure the use of conununication techniques and approaches that appropriate to the local contexts such as appropriate languages and other skills that enhance communication effectiveness.

(v)Stakeholder consultation and participation in project implementation

A comprehensive stakeholder consultation and participation process will be developed and implemented for each of the following activities:

- Negotiation and formalization of agreement Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the FNRs and each adjacent village government;
- Beneficiation of local conununities from their involvement in commercial tourism concessions/leases; and
- Identification and piloting of alternative income-generating activities in targeted FNRs.

A participatmy approach will be adopted to facilitate the continued involvement of local stakeholders including the vulnerable and marginalized members of the community (including women) and institutions (such as NGOs and CSOs) in the implementation of the project activities within the targeted FNRs. Wherever possible, opportunities will be created to train and employ local residents from villages within, or adjacent to, the targeted FNRs

(vi)Formal structures to facilitate stakeholder involvement in project activities

The project will also actively seek to establish formalised structures to ensure the ongoing palticipation of local and institutional stakeholders in project activities. This will be done through employment of Community Liaison Officers (CLOs) at each of the targeted FNRs. The primary responsibility of CLOs will be that of ensuring effective engagement of adjacent cmmnunities through creation of the necessary enabling environment (e.g. by identifying and addressing needs of the communities and identifying and providing the necessary incentives for their involvement).

(vii)Capacity building

All project activities are strategically focused on building the capacity - at the systemic, institutional and individual level - of the targeted FNRs in order to ensure sustainability of initial project investments. Significant GEF resources are directed at building the capacities of TFS at the national and at the FNR levels as well as at the individual staff levels. The project will invest in building the capacities of executive management staff, planning staff and operational management staff. Wherever possible, the project will also seek to build the capacity afforest adjacent communities (e.g. local community groups and vulnerable and marginalized segments) to enable them to actively participate in project activities.

4. Coordination with other related initiatives

The project will work closely in prutnership with NGOs and the EAMCEF to ensure complementarity of its activities in suppmt of the protected ru-ea planning, development, management and expansion processes **cmTently underway in Tanzania**.

The project will actively participate in, and provide technical input into, the GEF-funded review and updating of the *National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan* (NBSAP) coordinated by the Division of Environment in the Vice President's Office (VPO) with support from GEF. Specific inputs will include sharing experience **on communication systems, with emphasis on transparency, effectiveness, good governance, gender** inclusiveness as well as aspects of capacity building, technology transfer and workable approaches for effective local community involvement.

The project will also collaborate closely with a number of national NGOs (TFCG, WCST, MJUMITA, MCDI, WCS) and international NGOs (WWF, CARE International in Tanzania, Jane Goodall Institute) that are currently implementing forest and biodiversity conservation activities adjacent to FNRs areas like in Amani, Nilo (in the East Usambara) and Magamba (in the West Usambara mountains).

Furthermore, the project will also cooperate with research and training institutions such as Sokoine University of Agriculture and Tanzania Forest Research Institute (TAFORI) that are constantly conducting socioeconomic and environmental reseru-ches related to the targeted FNRs. One of the priority research areas requiring particular attention through research training relates to the projected impacts of climate change on FNRs as well as the possible resultant oppmtunities through REDO+ and PES.

The experiences learnt from the previously implemented projects, such as the UNDP/GEF Small Grant funded projects (e.g. "Improving livelihoods of Nilo Nature Reserve adjacellf local communities through implementation of non-consumptive activities") will directly guide the achievement of project goals and the

implementation of the project activities. Other related projects that are currently being funded by GEF/UNDP in Tanzania and from which to draw lessons/share experiences with include: (i) the Lake Victoria Environmental Management Programme (LVEMP); (ii) Lake Tanganyika Integrated Environmental Management Programme and (iii) *Strengthening the Protected Areas Network in Southern Tanzania* (*SPANEST*) seeking to improving the effectiveness of National Parks in addressing threats to biodiversity in **Southern Tanzania**

Wherever practicable, the project will share capacity and resources with other projects (e.g. NGOs/CSOs) in the implementation of complementary project activities such as those targeting PES, REDD+ as well as initiatives aimed at improving the socio-economic and livelihood wellbeing of forest adjacent communities in and around targeted FNRs.

The project will seek to hannonize its outputs and activities-notably in respect of sustainable financing- with other regional initiatives (e.g. GEF/UNDP Small Grant Projects), through a close collaboration and information exchange with the relevant partners, such as NGOs that are currently implementing or planning to implement complementary initiatives in and around targeted areas.

The project will liaise closely with the Tanzania Forest Fund and the EAMCEF, to explore further opportunities for co-financing pilot and possibly incremental activities. It will specifically explore the prospects of sourcing financial support for developing sustainable and attractive business environment for **private sector investments**.

The project will, as required, use the capacity and resources of UNDP and the VPO to facilitate the regional sharing of lessons learnt from, and best practices developed in, project implementation.

PART IV: Letters of co-financing commitment

[Refer to separate file for letters of cojinancing commitment]

$c; \bullet; cc, JiGl!ii)!; f, jf_{\bullet} \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ o .$,;• ; <i>l:l::</i> > 08∖hMay 2014	••••< i{Y!!!! } · Cp}iniJill;ing Grant	4ci't W'fi?t <i>ifoflnaiJ.tlltg(1/§iJJ</i> 1,000,000
Ministty of Natural Resources and Tourism	30'hApril 2014	In-kind/ Grant	15,000,000
Tanzania Forest Conservation Group	25'h April2014	Grant	1,800,000
World Wide Fund for Nature 0NWFJ	29'h April 2014	Grant	400,000
Wildlife Conservation Society	28'h April2014	Grant	400,000
Eastern Arc Mountains Conservation Endowment Fund	29h April2014	Grant	1,000,000
Tot	al		19,600,000

PART V: METT, Capacity Development and Financial Scorecards

[Refer to separate files for individual scorecards]

Scorecard

I. Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) for 6 existing and 5 proposed Forest Nature Reserves (see attached file)

2. Financial Sustainability Scorecard for the Forest Nature Reserve sub-network (see attached file)

3. Capacity Development Assessment Scorecard for the Tanzania Forest Service*

* Summary scores for the capacity assessment scorecard are reproduced below.

Summary scores table: Capacity assessment scorecard for national protected are.as

Summary scores ror strategic areas or support:

		Systemic		1	Institutiona	d .		Individual		
Strategic Areas of Support	Project Scores	Total possible score	5	Project Scores	Total possible score	%	Project Scores	Total possible score	%	Average %
(1) Capacity to conceptualize and develop sectoral and cross-sectoral policy and regulatory frameworks	3	6	50%	2	3	67%	N/A	NA	NA	58%
(2) Capacity to formulate, operationalise and implement sectoral and cross- sectoral programmes and projects		1		1				12	58%	
(3) Capacity to mobilize and manage partnerships, including with the civil society and the private sector								3	67%	T
(4) Technical skills related specifically to the requirements of the SPs and associated Conventions								3	33%	Τ
(5) Capacity to monitor, evaluate and report at the sector and project levels								_	67%	T
TOTAL Score and average for % 's									-24	.

Summary or systemic, instllutional and individual capacity:

Systemic capacity	17/30	59%
Institutional capacity	26/45	50%
Individual capacity	12/21	55%

PRODOC PIMS5/06 Enhancing rhe Foresr Narure Reserves Nei\\Ork in Tanzania

107

PART VI: Technical Reports and Information

PPG Technical Report

'Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network for Biodiversity Conservation in Tanzania: Baseline Analysis and Costing' (2014) (Refer to separate file attached)

Selected refet ences:

Anon. 2010.Eastem Arc Mountains Forests of Tanzania. Nomination of properties for inclusion on the World Heritage List (Serial Nomination):

Anon. 2013. Executive Summmy: Baseline survey report for the 8 Nature Reserves and 1 National Park in the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tam, ania. EAMCEF.

Bayliss, J., et at., The current and future value of nature-based tourism in the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania. Ecosystem Services (2014), <u>hllp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/Lecoser.2014.02.006i</u>

Burgess, N.D. *eta/. 2001.The biological importance of the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania and Kenya.* Bioi. Conserv.134, 209-231.

Fisher, B. et a/. 2011. Measuring, modelling and mapping ecosystem services in the Eastern ArcMountains of Tanzania. Prog. Phys. Geogr. 35, 595–611.

Green, J.M.H. *et al.* 2012.Estimatingmanagementcostsofprotected areas: anovelapproaclifromtheEastemArcMountains.Tanza nia.Biol. Conserv.150,5-14.

Green, J.M.H. et a/. 2013. Deforestation in an African hotspot: extent, variation, and the effectiveness of protected areas. Biol. Conserv. 164, 62-72.

Nelson, F. et al. 2009. Payments for ecosystem services as a framework for COIIII/1/Illity-based conservation in Northem Tanzania. Conserv. Bioi. 24(1), 78–85.

Swetnam, R.D. et a/. 2010. Valuing ecosystem services in the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania. Br. Ecol. Soc. Bull. 41(1}, 7–10.

SIGNATURE PAGE

Country: Tanzania

(To be tompleted afier GEF CEO En.dm'St'l"r'Jcnt)

.

ł

÷.

.

.

.